

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 993 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1326 of 2023

Ex JWO Devendra Pal Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1326 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is a delay of 01 year and 08 months in filing original application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 993 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 11.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp Sl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 994 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFO) Vindeshwari Prajapati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on admission.3. Matter being related to stepping up of pay needs adjudication.4. Admit.5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks next.6. List on 30.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp Sl. No. 3)
O.A. No. 995 of 2023

Ex Sub/Clk Sushil Kumar Dash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on maintainability and interim relief sought by the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 996 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1327 of 2023

Ex MWO Sunil Kumar Sharma Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and WO SK Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1327 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is a delay of 01 year and 08 months in filing original application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and WO SK Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, the Departmental Representative the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 996 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 997 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1328 of 2023

Ex Sub Durga Shanker Pathak Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tatsat Shukla, Shri Dhiraj Kumar and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1328 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is a delay of 08 year and 08 months and 01 day in filing original application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, the Departmental Representative the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 997 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1001 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1332 of 2023

Vishal Singh S/o Santosh Singh Chauhan
(Assam Rifles)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms Pooja Tripathi, Shri Jharna Kumar and Shri Ambikesh Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms Pooja Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on maintainability of Original Application.</p> <p>4. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: left;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp Sl. No. 7)
O.A. No. 1002 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1333 of 2023

Maj J Belwal (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 16 years, 11 months and 20 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 30.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp Sl. No. 8)
O.A. No. 1003 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1334 of 2023

Ex Hav Rohit Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Rahul Pal and Shri
Tatsat Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 10 years and 06 months in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>5. List on 11.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1006 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1337 of 2023

Saraswati Devi W/o Late Sub Kedar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1337 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is a delay of 05 years and 08 months in filing original application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Dhiraj Kumar and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, the Departmental Representative the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1006 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 30.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp Sl. No. 10)
Dy No. 2753 of 2023 Inre O.A. (A) No 784 of 2020

Union of India & Ors Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Ex Gnr Naresh Kumar Sharma Respondent-applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents is present.</p> <p>2. As reported by the registry there is delay of 04 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents opposing the report furnished by the registry submitted that there is no delay in filing leave to appeal. He submitted that impugned order was passed on 12.07.2023 and certified copy was prepared and served to applicant-respondents on 17.07.2023 which shows that there is no delay in filing leave to appeal as leave to appeal was filed on 16.08.2023.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, there being no delay in filing leave to appeal, objection raised by the registry is rejected.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to register this case.</p> <p>6. List on 28.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A/202/2017 Inre T.A. No. 15/2014 & Inre T.A. No. 1368/2010

Maj Kunwar Ambreshwar Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Rahul Kapoor, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Saraj, Advocate holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 are present. None is present on behalf of respondent No 4.</p> <p>2. Respondent No 4 was directed to file reply on objection to compliance report. No reply has been filed. Therefore, opportunity to file reply is closed.</p> <p>3. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A/42 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 402 of 2018

Ex Sub Prasanth M Kurup

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents are present.2. Reply to objection on compliance report has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has not been provided objection filed by the applicant on compliance report.4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to provide copy of objection within a week. Reply be filed by the respondents within three weeks.5. List on 06.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 517 of 2022

Col Niraj Nayan Bajpayee (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited.</p> <p>4. Despite granting several opportunities, respondents have failed to file counter affidavit even after granting last opportunity, therefore, opportunity to file counter affidavit is closed.</p> <p>5. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 937 of 2022

Amit Bharadwaj, S/o Late Sep Dulo Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 2. Arguments completed. 3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O. A. No. 973 of 2022

Ex Sep Raghvendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>4. List on 17.10.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 322 of 2023

Ex Sgt Praphool Chandra Saraswati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O. A. No. 381 of 2023

Hav/NA Abhay Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is allowed two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 05.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. (A) No. 29 of 2021

Ex Nk Shankar Ingali

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amendment has already been incorporated in O.A. Copy of amended O.A. has not been provided to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to provide copy of amended O.A. to learned counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p>4. Supplementary counter affidavit to amended O.A. be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

R.A. No. 46 of 2018 Inre T.A. No. 1451 of 2010

Union of India & Ors

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Ex Lance Dafedar Anil Kumar

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the applicant-respondents and Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent-applicant at length.</p> <p>2. For final adjudication of the case it is necessary to peruse the original record of Court of Inquiry.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents is directed to produce original Court of Inquiry within four weeks for perusal of the bench.</p> <p>4. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1515 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1516 of 2018
R.A. No. 46 of 2018 alongwith Ex-A/133 of 2017
Inre T.A. No. 1451 of 2010

Union of India & Ors

Applicant-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Ex Lance Dafedar Anil Kumar

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the applicant-respondents and Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent-applicant are present.</p> <p>2. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 378 of 2021

Hav Pranav Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amended copy of O.A. filed by the applicant in court today is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Despite granting several opportunities supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time as last opportunity to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 594 of 2021

Smt Mamta Chauhan Widow of Late Maj Virendra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit is already on record. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings completed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to seek instructions regarding pending case of the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 108 of 2022

Ex Craftsman/Welder Krishna Bhan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments heard.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 432 of 2022

Smt Nasiran Bibi W/o Late Sep Pyare Ali Khan
(through her daughter Najma Begum) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Faiz Ali Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Faiz Ali Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments heard.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 743 of 2022

Gp Capt Rajendra Pal Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit. Copy of supplementary counter affidavit shall be provided to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O. A. (A) No 373 of 2019

Ex Hav/SKT Kanhaiya Singh

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate,

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the parties are directed to file written arguments within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>