

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)

M.A. No. 1591 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1008 of 2022

MWO Shambhu Sharan Singh(Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri ....., Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purna Singh, Ld. Central Govt. Standing Counsel on correction application.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed for correction in order dated 01.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 1008 of 2022.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in affidavit, correction application is allowed.</p> <p>4. Date of discharge, date of increment and date of notional increment have been corrected to read as under :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Date of discharge – For ‘30.06.2019’, Read ‘30.06.2020.’</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Increment – For ‘01.07.2019’, Read ‘01.07.2020’.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Notional increment – For ‘01.07.2018 to 30.06.2019’, Read ‘01.07.2019 to 30.06.2020’.</p> <p>5. With the aforesaid correction, application stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"><div style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>SB/RPM</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)

M.A. No. 1592 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1593 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 379 of 2021

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocates

Applicants-Respondents

Versus

Smt Sarswati Devi widow of  
Late Nb/Sub Digember Singh Kholia  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri....., Advocate

Respondent-Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.07.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 379 of 2021.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 406 days in filing of leave to application.</p> <p>4. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the Judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application.</p> <p>5. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicants have utterly failed in the present case.</p> <p>6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p>8. Leave to Appeal being time barred is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/RPM</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**M.A. No. 1613 of 2023 Inre O.A. (A). 898 of 2023**

**Ex Lt (MNS) Imrana Begum**

## Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on early hearing application.</p> <p>2. Having heard submissions of both sides, early hearing application is allowed.</p> <p>3. List this case expediting for hearing on <b>29.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/RPM</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1093 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1488 of 2023

Hav Rakesh Kumar Sharma  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms. Alka Saksena, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant Ms. Alka Saksena, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 months and 21 days.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on maintainability and delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>16.10.2023</b>.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX.A. No. 314 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1028 of 2022

Ex Nk Ramfer Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.09.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for execution of order dated 06.04.2023 passed in Original Application No. 1028 of 2022. This is fresh execution application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>4. List on 06.12.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/sb</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 1493 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 444 of 2023

Ex HFO (MWO) Yogendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.09.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This application has been filed for correction in order dated 13.07.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 444 of 2023.</div><div>4. For the reasons stated in affidavit, correction application is allowed.</div><div>5. Service No. of the applicant, i.e. ‘No. 680675-R’ has been mentioned in the title of the judgment.</div><div>6. With the aforesaid correction, application stands disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/sb</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

**EX. A. No. 189 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 444 of 2019**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

**EX. A. No. 353 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 785 of 2021**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

## Versus

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	<p><b>Orders of the Tribunal</b></p>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA(P), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>rom/sb</p>





**EX. A. No. 16 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 120 of 2022**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/-.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rpm/sb</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX. A. No. 195 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 546 of 2018

Lt Col Raja Singh Parihar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>22.09.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SM Mustafa, Advocate holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Notice has not been issued to respondents No. 4 and 5.</div><div>3. Let notice be issued to respondents No. 4 and 5 returnable within four weeks. Step be taken within a week.</div><div>4. List on 17.11.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/sb</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 1242 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1243 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 91 of 2023

Col Vijay Narayan Shukla, VSM

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veerendra Mohan, Advocate

Applicant

**Versus**

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard, Shri Veerendra Mohan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has moved application for leave to appeal under Section 31 of Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is reported by the Registry that there is delay of 01 month and 11 days in filing this LTA.</p> <p>3. It is provided in Section 31 (2) of AFT Act, 2007 that application may be filed within 30 days from the date of judgment passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Applicant has moved M.A. No. 1242 of 2023 for condoning the delay in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents has filed objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. Reply filed by the applicant on objection of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1242 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. As reported by the Registry, leave to appeal has been filed with delay of 01 month and 11 days.</p> <p>8. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that he has no knowledge about the passing of judgment on 25.05.2023. On 18.07.2023, on inquiry from Registry, it is transpired him that judgment has been passed on 11.07.2023. A request was made to supply copy of judgment by the applicant under the rules which was received on 01.08.2023. It is further submitted that there is no delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the submission made by learned counsel for the applicant and it is submitted by her that it has been wrongly stated that judgment was passed on 11.07.2023 but final order was passed on 25.05.2023 by the Tribunal after adjudicating the matter and there is delay in filing leave to appeal in terms of Section 31 of AFT Act, 2007 and delay has not been explained by the applicant as averred in delay condonation application.</p>

10. After considering the submission made by learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, we are of the view that delay of 01 month and 11 days as reported by the Registry is not deliberate but it seems to be due to reasons shown in the affidavit and delay condonation application is liable to be allowed. Accordingly, delay in filing leave to appeal is condoned and delay condonation application stands allowed.

**M.A. No. 1243 of 2023**

11. This application has been filed for grant of leave to appeal.

12. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that there are so many grounds to allow the application. He further submits that order passed by the Tribunal is against the principles of natural justice and mandate of the Hon'ble Apex Court, vague and incorrect denial of facts by the respondents in their counter affidavit were not considered by the Tribunal against the respondents. The Tribunal has ignored the facts of lowering the assessment from Outstanding to Above Average by the same I.O. Judgment has not been passed after framing the issues involved in this case. Judgment is without reason, ignoring the law laid down in Rule 5, Order 20 of Civil Procedure Code and Order 20, Rule 4 (2) of Civil Procedure Code. It was declared and expressed by the Tribunal that the applicant has no case without writing the judgment which is against policy as laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court.

13. Learned counsel for the respondents has opposed the contention of learned counsel for the applicant and submitted that no point of law of general public importance is involved in this matter and present matter is in *personam*.

14. After considering the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record carefully, we are of the considered view that detailed judgment has been passed by the Tribunal and there is no point of law of general public importance is involved in this matter and application for leave to appeal is liable to be rejected.

15. Accordingly, leave to appeal is **rejected**.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri)  
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)  
Member (J)

*rpm/sb*

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O. A. No. 743 of 2021 with Dy-No 541 of 2023

Smt AMBIYA KHATOON Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey  
and Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 has already filed his counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. Service of notice is deemed to be sufficient on rest of the respondents and case is proceeding ex-parte against them.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder affidavit has already been filed.</p> <p>5. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>6. List on <b>10.11.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

**O. A. No. 863 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1094 of 2022**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O. A. No.1021 of 2022

**Buddhi Sagar Mishra S/o Late Ex Nk Awadesh Mishra & another** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate  
**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is already on record.</p> <p>3. Leaned counsel for the applicant does not intent to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>5. List on <b>06.11.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>



**O.A. No. 37 of 2023**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard arguments of Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 100 of 2023 with M.A. No. 95 of 2023

Ex Nk Ravi Bhushan  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani(Retd)  
and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Today is last opportunity to file counter affidavit but counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted one more last opportunity to file counter affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

**O.A. No. 247 of 2023**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	<p><b>Orders of the Tribunal</b></p>
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.11.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>rpm/sh</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**Ramesh Singh S/o Late Ex Nk Gopal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.11.2023.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

**O. A. No. 424 of 2023 with M.A. No. 518 of 2023**

**Ex Nk/Cik Periyanna Arunachalam** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Dhiraj Kumar,  
Advocates

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate holding brief of Dhiraj Kumar, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection filed by the applicant to objection of respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>06.11.2023.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O. A. No. 495 of 2023

**L/Nk Chandra Prakash & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Satyendra K Tiwari and Shri Santosh Singh, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O. A. No. 588 of 2023

Ex Nk Devi Prasad  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.09.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 06.11.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/sb</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**Smt Shaheen Bano**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate holding brief of Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.11.2023.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

and Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocates

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.09.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard arguments of Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O. A. No. 233 of 2022

Ex Hony Sub Maj Ajay Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>22.09.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Case is ripe for hearing.</div><div>3. List on 09.10.2023 for final hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rpm/sb</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O. A. No. 403 of 2022

Ex Sub/Clk Dipak Kar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.09.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This is a part-heard case.</p> <p>3. List before the appropriate Bench for hearing on <b>12.10.2023</b>.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rpm/sb</i></p>

