

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX- A. No. 305 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 9 of 2017**

**Smt Radha Devi, W/o Sep Gyan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for none is present for the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that operation of order under execution has been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court. Copy of order produced by learned counsel for the respondents for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to bring copy of stay order on record.</p> <p>4. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX- A. No. 93 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 835 of 2021**

**Ex Sep Raj Nath Tiwari**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shashwat Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**The Record Officer AMC & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shashwat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Govt. sanction has been accorded. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**M.A. No. 1298 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1299 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 203 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sub Padmaker Prasad Pandey**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 03.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 203 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months and 07 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O. A. No. 872 of 2022**

**Ex Sub (Lab Tech) Rajeev Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sanjai Srivastava, Advocate  
Shri Anchit Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the parties.</p> <p>2. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative submits that parawise comments has been received and handed over to learned counsel for the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O. A. No. 873 of 2022**

**Ex Sub (Lab Tech) Vidhya Sagar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sanjai Srivastava, Advocate  
Shri Anchit Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</li><li>4. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**  
**O. A. No. 84 of 2023**

**Signalman Abhay Datt Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. List revised.</li><li>2. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. None is present for the applicant to address the court.</li><li>4. Original Application is <b>dismissed in default.</b></li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p><i>SB/RPM</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O. A. No. 333 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Narendra Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant further prays that he intends to file amendment application.</p> <p>5. As prayed, learned counsel for the applicant is granted a week time to file amendment application.</p> <p>6. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O. A. No. 446 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Chandra Shekhar Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O. A. No. 448 of 2023**

**MWO Raj Kumar Mishra (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order placed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**T. A. No. 30 of 2022**

**Hav Satendra Kumar Yadav** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: ....., Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Notice served to the applicant is deemed to be sufficient.</p> <p>3. None is present on behalf of the applicant, therefore, Transferred Application is <b>dismissed on default</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**Dy- No.2446 of 2023**

**Gnr DMT Akash Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as learned counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Registry has pointed out two defects in Original Application. Applicant is directed to remove defects within 15 days.</li><li>4. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O. A. No. 230 of 2017**

**Smt Urmila Devi Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O. A. No. 95 of 2022**

**Ex Rect Ravindra Yadav**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Arguments concluded.</li><li>3. Judgment reserved.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 398 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Ashwani Kumar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit clarifying the anomalies shown in pay scale of the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**T.A. No. 2 of 2021 with T.A. No. 1230 of 2010**

**Ex Hav Suresh Chandra**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite grant of several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed in TA No. 2/2021.</p> <p>3. On prayer of the learned counsel for the respondents one more time is granted as last opportunity to file counter affidavit. Counter affidavit may be filed within six weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**T.A. No. 4 of 2021**

**Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Santosh Kumar Pandey (Retd)** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri R Chandra, Advocate  
Shri KC Ghildiyal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply received from PAO (OR) Signals has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to file reply, as mentioned in order dated 19.05.2023.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**T.A. No. 10 of 2022**

**Smt Rajeshwari Devi, W/o Late Hav Ram Vir Singh Chouhan** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>23.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>SB/RPM</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>