

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)**  
**O. A. No. 1010 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1344 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Baboo Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1344 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of 2<sup>nd</sup> service pension to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 16 days in filing of Original Application. Applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay. Affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application is sufficient and being a pensionary matter delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1010 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**O. A. No. 1012 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1350 of 2023**

**Smt Rupali G Shinde**  
**W/o Late Sep Shinde Ghanshyam Ramchandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shaileendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shaileendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shaileendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 22 years, 02 months and 24 days in filing the original application.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsels for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>09.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**O. A. No. 1015 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1352 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Ramesh Kumar Prajapati** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms.Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1352 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional increment to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 01 month and 16 days in filing of Original Application. Applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay. Affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application is sufficient and being a pensionary matter delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1015 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 4)**

**O. A. No. 1017 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1366 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Navratna Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1366 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. This Original Application has been filed for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> MACP to the applicant.</p> <p>5. There is a delay of 04 years, 07 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application. Applicant has moved an application for condonation of delay. Affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application is sufficient and being a pensionary matter delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1017 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 5)**  
**OA(A) No. 1020 of 2023**

**LAC Ravi Singh Indolia (through wife Smt Anjali)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiva Nand Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiva Nand Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This original application has been filed by the applicant to suspend the sentence awarded by the DCM and enlarge him on Bail.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and allowed two weeks time to seek instruction and to file objection on Bail Application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 6)**

**EX- A. No. 276 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 699 of 2020**

**Smt Sabuli Devi wife of Rfn (Late) Kishan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 03.12.2021 passed in O.A. No. 699 of 2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction and to affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 7)**

**M. A. No. 1361 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1362 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 53 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh,  
Advocate/Respondents

Applicants-Respondent

**Versus**

**Sony Kushwaha Widow,  
Late Ex Nk Nagendra Singh Kushwaha**

Respondent-Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant –respondent on the application for leave to appeal.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No.1361 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 months and 27 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons of time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 03 months and 27 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1362 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 23.03.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No.53 of 2023.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX- A. No.82 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 508 of 2019**

**Smt Kalinder Devi alias Kalindi Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents counsel.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost Rs. 5000/- failing which officer responsible for delay in implementation of order shall be present personally before the bench to clarify the delay in filing of compliance of order.</p> <p>4. List on <b>11.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX- A. No. 331 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 868 of 2021**

**Ex Sep Bhopal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. None appeared on behalf of the applicant.</li><li>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed on respondents has not been deposited.</li><li>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 10,000/ failing with which officer responsible for delay in implementation of order shall be present personally before the Bench to clarify the reason of delay.</li><li>5. Cost shall be deposited by next date. Cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>6. List on <b>29.09.2023</b>.</li><li>7. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents/Legal Cell.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (SI. No.3)**

**O. A. No. 315 of 2022**

**Smt Seema Pandey, W/o Late Hav Hemant kumar Pandey** Applicant-  
Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondent Nos 1 to 6 and Shri Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 7 and 8 are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that certain documents have still not provided by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the respondents directed to submit list of documents which are required to be provided by the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O. A. No. 533 of 2022**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Ram Prakash Singh & 4 Others** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O. A. No. 1012 of 2022**

**Ex Hony Nb Sub Ram Kripal & another** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed in Registry during course of the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>15.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Ser No. 6)**

**O. A. No. 266 of 2023**

**Ex Swr Kalicharan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter affidavit on behalf of respondents No 1 to 4 is taken on record.</li><li>2. Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate has filed his valakatnama on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6 which is taken on record. Learned counsel for respondent No 5 and 6 prays for grant of time to file counter affidavit.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that illegal recovery from applicant's pension is being made by respondent No 5 and 6. Applicant has sought interim relief against the said recovery.</li><li>4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 25.02.1984 and discharged from service on 01.05.1985. Letter of illegal recovery has been received in the month of August, 2022 in which it is mentioned that due to erroneous data feed excess payment has been made to the applicant. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the said recovery is illegal in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in the case of <b><i>State of Punjab vs Rafiq Masih</i></b> (White Washer), Civil Appeal No 11527 of 2014 decided on 18.12.2014.</li><li>5. Keeping in view of submission of learned counsel for the applicant and case law cited and facts and circumstances of the case, recovery from pensionary benefits shall remain stayed till next date fixed.</li><li>7. List on <b>05.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**M. A. No. 465 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Rakesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O. A. No. 513 of 2023 with M.A. No. 613 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Sukhendra Singh Rathore**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. There is a delay of 20 years and one day in filing the original application. Ld. counsel for the applicant has moved an delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O. A. No. 866 of 2023**

**Sep Nasir Khan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Mohd Irfan Siddiqui, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Anju RR, Adcovate holding brief of Shri Mohd Irfan Siddiqui, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of the O.A. has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection on the maintainability of the O.A..</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O. A. (A) No. 626 of 2022**

**Sgt Suraj S** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on amendment application has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection on amendment application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>16.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No.544 of 2019 with M.A. No. 851 of 2019**

**Sub Maj (RT) Ran Bahadur Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the requested of Ld. counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>29.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O. A. No. 626 of 2020**

**Hari Om Singh, S/o Late Ex Sep Kedar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary affidavit filed by applicant is taken on record. Supplementary counter affidavit alongwith rebuttal papers may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Applicant has moved amendment application to amend the prayer clause of O.A.. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>3. Ld. counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on the amendment application.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O. A. No. 193 of 2021**

**Sub (NT) Suresh Shirahatti**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate holding brief of Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the requested of Ld. counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>12.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 781 of 2021**

**Ex Rifleman Sunder Singh**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the parties.</p> <p>2. List on <b>12.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 694 of 2022**

**Ex L/Nk (SHGD) Krishna Bihari Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Col RA Pandey (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Arun Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Arun Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Written argument filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Ld. counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a weeks' time to file written arguments.</p> <p>3. List on <b>29.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. (A) No. 549 of 2017**

**Om Prakash**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Om Prakash, In person  
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to file amendment application in original application.</p> <p>3. Amendment application will be filed within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>26.10.2023..</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. (A) No. 594 of 2018**

**Nk Gyneshwar Prasad Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not prepared for arguments. He prays for and is granted one more time to argue the case.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: left;"><i>RPM/RSP</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**T.A. No. 2 of 2019**

**Ex LNK RA Gupta**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Shivendra Pratap Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vivekanand, Advocate  
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>12.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>RPM/RSP</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>