

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 466 of 2023 with M.A. No. 559 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Rajendra Bhagat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate  
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate  
Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection against the maintainability of the O.A.</li><li>4. List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 473 of 2023 with M.A. No. 564 of 2023**

**Smt. Priya Mishra, Widow of**  
**Late Sep Janardan Mishra & another**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 07 months and 16 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being delay of more than six months in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</li><li>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</li><li>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 473 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. Heard.</li><li>8. Matter pertains to grant of special family pension and needs adjudication.</li></ol>

9. Admit.

10.Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **20.07.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 478 of 2023 with M.A. No. 567 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Fayaz Khan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that petition is not proper as some pages of the petition are torned and full contents are not available.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to supply a complete copy of the petition to the respondents within a week.</li><li>5. List on <b>02.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 479 of 2023 with M.A. No. 569 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Samser Ali** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 09 years 04 months and 01 day. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that the applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay. Delay is neither deliberate nor intentional, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being delay of more than six months in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</li><li>5. Considering the explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</li><li>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 479 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. Heard.</li><li>8. Matter pertains to grant of notional increment and needs adjudication.</li><li>9. Admit.</li></ol>

10.Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11. List on **27.07.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 490 of 2023 with M.A. No. 582 of 2023**

**Ex POEL (P) Hemant Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 months and 18 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being delay of more than six months in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</li><li>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</li><li>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 490 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</li></ol>

8. Admit.
9. Issue notice to Respondent No. 5, Manager, SBI, CPPC returnable within four weeks.
10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
11. List on **20.07.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

RK/-



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 6)**

**EX-A. No. 99 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 84 of 2021**

**Smt. Sonil@ Soni Wife of** Applicant  
**(Late) Gnr Rathod Yogesh Prahlad**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Shyam Sunder holding brief for Sri Vinod Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</li><li>4. List on <b>29.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 7)**

**EX-A. No. 100 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 850 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Sharafat Minya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</li><li>4. List on <b>04.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 8)**

**EX-A. No. 103 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 195 of 2022**

**Smt. Bodhi Kumari W/o**  
**Ex Sep Llahi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions and file compliance report.</li><li>4. List on <b>04.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 9)**

**M.A. No. 576 of 2023 with M.A. No. 577 of 2023**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 23 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Hav (PA) Sachin Kumar Yadav** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant /respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A No. 576/2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.10.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 23 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 month and 04 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of Hon'ble Principal Bench judgement passed in MA No. 31 of 2019 (Diary No. 11/2019 in O.A No. 133/2016, RB Chennai) in the case of UOI and Ors Vs. Ex. Sep. P. Titus decided on 16.11.2021, Hon'ble Principal Bench has held that "<b><i>The Tribunal is conferred with power under the Act and the Rules framed thereunder to condone delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing the application for grant of leave to appeal despite there being no specific provision in Section 31 of the Act.</i></b>"</p> <p>5. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application as well as judgement dated 16.11.2021 passed by Hon'ble Principal Bench, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p>

**M.A No. 577/2023**

6. Upon hearing, submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.
7. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

RK/-



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 10)**

**EX-A. No. 169 of 2022 Inre: EX-A. No. 107 of 2019**  
**Inre: O.A. No. 226 of 2014**

**Ex Sub Radhey Shyam Upadhya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and PPO shall be issued within a week. Further, seeks time to file compliance report.</li><li>3. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity three weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. List on <b>25.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 11)**

**EX-A. No. 354 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 784 of 2021**

**Ex Sep Nar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Sri Rajeev Tiwari, Account Officer, O/o PCDA (P) Prayagraj.</li><li>2. Sri Rajeev Tiwari, Account Officer, O/o PCDA (P) Prayagraj made statement before the Court that PPO has been issued and seeks time to file compliance report. Two weeks time is granted for the same.</li><li>3. List on <b>23.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No.12)**

**EX-A. No. 26 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 274 of 2021**

**Hav Vikash Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate  
**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time as a matter of last opportunity to file compliance report.</li><li>3. List on <b>29.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 587 of 2021**

**Ex Rfn Jai Shankar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that some document(s) has wrongly been placed on the record to which he seeks permission to file formal application to change those documents.</li><li>3. Permitted accordingly.</li><li>4. List on <b>19.05.2023</b>.</li><li>5. In the meantime, applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, to the counter reply filed by the respondents.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 381 of 2022**

**Ex NK (ACP Nb Sub) Kaushalesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within four weeks by the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>25.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 569 of 2022**

**Ex Cfn Kamal Kant** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col AK Srivastava (Retd) and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of CA today itself and seeks time to file rejoinder. Two weeks time is granted to the applicant to file RA.</li><li>3. List on <b>16.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 733 of 2022**

**Ex Sgt Suryakant Srivastava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Sri Manoj Kumar Awasthi holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Departmental representative, JWO Raja Ram Rai, present before the Court and submits that payment has been made and assures that PPO will be issued shortly. Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the statement made on behalf of respondents.</li><li>3. In view of above, nothing remains in the matter to adjudicate.</li><li>4. Accordingly, O.A stands disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 787 of 2022 with M.A. No. 977 of 2022**  
**Alongwith M.A No. 595 of 2023**

**Maj J Belwal**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the record shows that the case was fixed and time was granted to the applicant to file reply on the objection over the delay condonation application. It has been brought to the notice that in between instead of filing reply, the applicant filed amendment application. It has also been transpires that copy of the amendment application has not been supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to supply the copy of the amendment application just now to the respondents who may file objection to the amendment application, if any, within two weeks.</li><li>3. List on <b>19.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 807 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Maj Rajmani Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shrikant Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shrikant Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present</li><li>2. Despite several opportunities, supplementary rejoinder affidavit has not been filed by the applicant. Opportunity to file the same is hereby stand closed.</li><li>3. List on <b>07.07.2023</b> for final hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 988 of 2022**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Chhabi Nath Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate  
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time as a last opportunity to file counter reply.</li><li>3. List on <b>10.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 1063 of 2022**

**Ex Sub (Nur Tech) Shekh Istak** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the order record reveals that counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents but inadvertently on the previous dated i.e. 16.01.2023 it has been recorded that CA has been filed and taken on record.</li><li>3. The order dated 16.01.2023 is recalled suo-moto.</li><li>4. Respondents are granted further four weeks time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>5. List on <b>10.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 96 of 2023**

**Ex Hony Capt Ashok Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>3. List on <b>12.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 22)**

**EX-A. No. 195 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 352 of 2017**

**Hony Nb Sub Suresh Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Similar matter is pending before the Larger Bench and its outcome is still awaited.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 752 of 2020**

**Dhakne Deepak Babanrao** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.04.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Arguments on behalf of the applicant have been concluded.</li><li>3. List on <b>12.05.2023 as Part Heard.</b></li><li>4. Respondents are directed to file the leave record of the applicant and also retain the original documents for perusal of the Court.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>