

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 863 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1092 of 2023

Ex LD Arun Prakash Trivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none appeared on behalf of the applicant. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that copy of O.A. has not been provided to respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is directed to provide copy of O.A. to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. List on 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 865 of 2023 with M. A. No. 1108 of 2023

Mrs Dil Kumari Malla W/o Late Hav Dan Bahadur Mall Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1108 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 08 years, 11 months and 19 days in filing Original Application. In O.A. prayer has been made for grant of family pension and other pensionary benefits.</p> <p>4. Heard Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 865 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 26.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 3)

O. A. No. 866 of 2023

Sep Nasir Khan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Mohd Irfan Siddiqui, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mohd Irfan Siddiqui, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection.</p> <p>4. List on 24.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 219 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 170 of 2022

Hony Capt Karamvir

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 21.02.2023 passed in O.A. No. 170 of 2022. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 5)

EX- A. No. 221 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 428 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Dhirendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 01.11.2022 passed in O.A. No. 428 of 2022. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 6)

EX- A. No. 225 of 2023 Inre O. A. (A) No. 667 of 2020

Smt Firoz Bano Wife of Mohd Yunus Khan (Deceased) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms Appoli Srivastava Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection stating that this application is premature. She prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file objection.</p> <p>4. List on 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Suppl. No. 7)

M. A. No. 1090 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1091 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 425 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Bhola Shankar Sharm

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1090 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 month and 07 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 09.05.2022 there has been some delay in filing leave to appeal due to late receipt of instructions from the department. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 01 month and 07 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1091 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.05.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 425 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved in this case for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX- A. No. 189 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 444 of 2019

Ex MWO Hari Narayan Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated on 16.06.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file compliance report.4. List on 22.09.2023. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX- A. No. 353 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 785 of 2021

Ex Sub (Nur Tech) Dhanabalan R Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated on 16.05.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file compliance report alongwith PPO and deposit cost due against the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on 22.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX- A. No. 16 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 120 of 2022

Ex Hav Hriday Narayan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sanotsh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 10.07.2023. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 22.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 24 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 254 of 2018

Ex Hav Bidhi Narayan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Bhardwaj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued. He prays for and is granted a week's time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX- A. No. 107 of 2023 Inre EX- A. No. 102 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1222 of 2022 &
O.A. No. 355 of 2020

Smt Sadhana Sharma, W/o Ex Late Sep Jitendra Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Shri DC Lohumi, learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 is present. He has filed affidavit of compliance which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX- A. No. 108 of 2023 Inre O. A. No. 390 of 2021

Ex Sub / SKT Arvind Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance is in process. He prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 26.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 635 inreg O.A. No. 872 of 2023

Smt Pushpa Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narendra Pratap Singh, Advocate
Shri Neeraj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Narendra Pratap Singh and Shri Neeraj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. There is delay of 82 days in filing Original Application for which delay condonation application has been moved by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's time to seek instructions and file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 03.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 156 of 2023 with M.A. No. 154 of 2023

Ex Nk Tek Bahadur Gurung

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate, Maj SM Mustafa, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri VP Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 154 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 24 years, 05 months and 02 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Objection has been filed by the respondents and in objection point of jurisdiction has also been raised on the ground that applicant is resident of district Syangja, Nepal.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed reply of objection raised by the respondents. In his reply applicant has submitted that matter being related to pension which is a recurring cause of action, delay may be condoned. He further submitted that O.A. has territorial jurisdiction as applicant has been receiving pension from PCDA (P), Allahabad.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 156 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>11. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 495 of 2023

L/Nk Chandra Prakash & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Satyendra K Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satyendra K Tiwari and Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 22.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX- A. No. 53 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 17 of 2017

Sqn Ldr RK Kashyap (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate, Mrs Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Synopsis filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Copy of synopsis has been provided to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>4. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 271 of 2017

Chintamani Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In the instant case applicant has been denied promotion due to award of severe reprimand.</p> <p>3. Against order of Principal Bench on point of severe reprimand appeal is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. List on 31.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 68 of 2019

Ex Sub Nand Lal Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 20.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 236 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Bachan Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Advocate
Shri AK Srivastava, Advocate, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 21.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 924 of 2022

Ex Nk Vijay Bahadur Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to press this application.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, the O.A. is dismissed as not pressed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A.(A) No. 377 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Pramod Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that post confirmation petition preferred by the applicant under Section 164 (2) of the Army Act, 1950 has been decided on 04.07.2023.</p> <p>3. Since learned counsel for the applicant is not present, in the interest of justice the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>4. List on 02.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>