

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (supp Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 620 of 2023

Col Anshuman Vivek (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SG Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate appeared on behalf of the applicant and submitted that in the instant case he had filed Vakalatnama but his name has not been shown in the cause list.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing on admission of the O.A. it is pointed out by learned counsel for the respondents that verification of the pleadings of O.A. is defective and is not in accordance with rules.</p> <p>4. We have perused the contents of the O.A. The contents of paras of the O.A. are not specifically verified by the applicant and at two places verification clause is vacant. This fact has also been omitted by the registry. Registry is directed to list this O.A. for hearing on admission after proper verification of each para by the concerned applicant as per rule.</p> <p>6. List on 05.07.2023.</p> <p>7. Registry is also directed to show name of Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate as learned counsel for the applicant in the cause list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 622 of 2023 with M.A. No. 755 of 2023

Ex ACP Nb Sub S Ravi Shankar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 755 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 01 year, 02 months and 22 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 622 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex- A. No. 201 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 111 of 2012

Vineeta Kohli Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ram Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present to address the court.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has been complied with. He further submits that he has filed an application for recall of order dated 02.03.2023 and 18.04.2023.</p> <p>3. Objection on recall application may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex- A. No. 24 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2021

Smt Bimala Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Gambhir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On call of the case None is present on behalf of the parties to address the court.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents that matter is pending with PIFA since 09.12.2022. She prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which Smt Alka Sharma (PIFA) shall be present before this Tribunal on the date fixed to clarify the reasons for non implementation of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. List on 18.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX- A. No. 128 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 139 of 2021

Smt Birmo Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep (EBR) Prem Raj Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate
Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present to address the court.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 25.05.2023. She prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 09.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

M. A. No. 1303 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1304 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 307 of 2016

Union of India & Others

Applicants-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Jagirman Rai

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In compliance of order dated 06.04.2023 learned counsel for the applicants-respondents has filed affidavit mentioning therein correct address of respondent-applicant.</p> <p>3. Issue notice to Ex Sub Jagirman Rai, respondent-applicant at his correct address as mentioned in affidavit on present and permanent address returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p>4. List on 10.08.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 346 of 2019

Prem Sagar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Tripathi, Advocate holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present to address the court.</p> <p>2. Calculation sheet has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. Last opportunity was provided to the applicant for filing calculation sheet but today neither learned counsel for the applicant is present nor has the calculation sheet been filed. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 08.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 381 of 2021

Ex Maj Taj Mohd Sheikh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kazim Ibrahim, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihorti, Advocate
Shri Nimit Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kazim Ibrahim, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihorti, Shri Nimit Srivastava and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavits have been filed by all parties.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 03.08.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)
O.A. No. 283 of 2022

Hav Sanjoy Samanta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments completed.</p> <p>3. Order is reserved.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 517 of 2022

Col Niraj Nayan Bajpayee (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite providing sufficient opportunities respondents have failed to file counter affidavit. As prayed, two weeks further time as last opportunity is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 22.08.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 856 of 2022

Smt Khutta Devi W/o Late EX Nk Rajpal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Satff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is allowed to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 29.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 143 of 2023

Ex Hav (GD) Jagpal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms. Shreya Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Shreya Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 18.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O. A. No. 319 of 2023 with M.A. No. 356 of 2023

Cpl Upendra Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present to address the court.</p> <p>2. As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. List on 09.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 320 of 2023 with M.A. No. 357 of 2023

Vinod Kumar S/o Ex Sep Ram Sarup & Another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 357 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 14 years, 08 months and 12 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 320 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 514 of 2023 with M.A. No. 615 of 2023

Ex Sgt Naval Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Defects pointed out by the registry have been removed and application for condonation of delay has been filed by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 615 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 06 months in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 514 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

T.A. No. 1 of 2022

Wg Cdr Peter Carl Francis D' Souza (Retd) Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Anurag Tyagi, Advocate
Shri Udayan Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is allowed to learned counsel for the applicant to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 09.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 220 of 2016

Radhey Shyam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Senior Accounts Officer, PAO (OR) Rajput Regimental Centre, Fatehgarh (UP) will be able to clarify regarding deduction of principal and interest component from FSA of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, Senior Accounts Officer, PAO (OR) Rajput Regimental Centre, Fatehgarh shall be present before this Tribunal on the date fixed to assist the court.</p> <p>4. List on 07.08.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 245 of 2018

Dharmendra Singh Tomar

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 25.07.2023 for further hearing.</p> <p>3. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 551 of 2021

Ex Hav Arun Kumar Ray Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present to address the court.</p> <p>2. Neither rejoinder affidavit has been filed by learned counsel for the applicant nor is he present to address the court, therefore opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is closed.</p> <p>3. List on 18.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 408 of 2022

Rect Rinku Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Arvind Kumar Jauhari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Balak Ram, Advocate holding brief for Shri Anil Kumar Jauhari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the respondents at length.</p> <p>3. List on 07.07.2023 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>