

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1165 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1614 of 2023

**Smt Lokeshwati W/o Rfn Late Rajendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. There is delay of 16 years, 01 month and 27 days in filing this Original Application.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</li><li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</li><li>6. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. (A) No. 1169 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1628 of 2023**

**Ex Cpl Praveen Awasthi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Vatslay Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. There is delay of 09 months and 11 days in filing this Original Application.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</li><li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection on delay condonation application.</li><li>6. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 351 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 532 of 2022

Smt Jal Devi, W/o Late Ex Nk Ram Naresh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of the order dated 16.02.2023 passed in O.A. No. 532 of 2022.</li><li>4. This is fresh execution application.</li><li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>6. List on <b>04.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 354 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 507 of 2022

**Mukesh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of the order dated 09.01.2023 passed in O.A. No. 507 of 2022.</li><li>4. This is fresh execution application.</li><li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>6. List on <b>08.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 3 of 2022 Inre Ex-A. No. 234 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 248 of 2015

**Smt Malti Devi W/o (Late) Hav Deo Lakhn Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate  
Shri Yogesh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Yogesh Chandra Bhatt, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance report has already been filed by the respondents but applicant has not filed any objection.</li><li>3. Objection to compliance report has not been filed by the applicant.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week time to file objection, if any, otherwise, it will be deemed that applicant has no objection to compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 192 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 438 of 2019

Ex Hav Kamal Dev Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Order under execution has been passed on 26.11.2021. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed on the respondents has also not been deposited.</li><li>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10,000/- failing which officer responsible for implementation of the order will remain physically present before the Tribunal on the next date fixed to clarify cause of delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>4. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</li><li>5. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 214 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 500 of 2021

**Ex Hav Bhola Prasad Yadav**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost of Rs. 20,000/- has been deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance failing which officer responsible for delay in implementation of the order shall remain physically present before the Tribunal on the next date fixed to clarify the reasons for delay in implementation of the order.</li><li>4. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</li><li>5. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 108 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 390 of 2021

Ex Sub/SKT Arvind Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt. sanction has been accorded on 21.07.2023. He prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>4. List on <b>16.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**EX-A. No. 127 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 670 of 2020**

**Uday Bhan**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 191 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 23 of 2022

Hav PA Sachin Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 819 of 2022

**Lt Col Yashendra Pratap Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant are taken on record.</li><li>3. Pleadings are complete.</li><li>4. List on <b>23.11.2023</b> for hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 849 of 2022**

**Sub MV Karunagaran**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that reliefs sought by the applicant has been granted to him. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>17.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 1077 of 2022**

**Lt Col Aakash Arora** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Satya Saharawat, Advocate  
Ms. Aditi Laxman, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satya Saharawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments from PCDA (P) Prayagraj only have been received. He prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>28.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 26 of 2023

**Ex Sgt Sandeep Kumar Shukla**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Learned counsel for the respondents were directed to file policy letter but the same has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file policy letter.</li><li>4. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 115 of 2023**

**Ex ACP Nb Sub Nagendra Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>28.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 450 of 2023

**Ex Sgt Brijesh Pratap Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. For order, see our order placed on separate sheets.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 473 of 2023

**Smt Priya Mishra Widow of Late Sep Janardan Mishra & another** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</li><li>4. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 484 of 2023 with M.A. Nos. 571 & 1636 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub James T**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is already on record.</li><li>3. An amendment application has been filed by the applicant for challenging the order dated 16.05.2023 which is passed during the pendency of the O.A.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the proposed amendment.</li><li>5. Having heard submission of both sides, we are of the view that ground shown in the affidavit are sufficient to allow amendment application. Accordingly, amendment application is allowed. Amendment application stands disposed of.</li><li>6. Applicant is directed to incorporate amendment within two weeks.</li><li>7. Rejoinder affidavit may also be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</li><li>8. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O. A. No. 498 of 2023 with M.A. No. 596 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Balaji Tripathi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection to delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply to the objection given by the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 555 of 2023**

**Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Rakesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 865 of 2023**

**Mrs Dil Kumari Malla W/o Late Hav Dan Bahadur Mall** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 956 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1270 of 2023**

**Manju Devi W/o Late Ex Hav Rama Nand Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1270 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Objection to delay has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant for grant of pension which is delayed by 06 months and 12 days.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and cause shown for the delay, if any, is sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 956 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>SB/RSP</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. (A) No. 292 of 2016**

**Shaik Sabber**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri GK Gaur, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri GK Gaur, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. A preliminary objection has been raised by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the parties are directed to file written points of arguments in nutself within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. (A) No. 930 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1459 of 2023

**Ex Sub MK Dubey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objection on bail application has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week time to file objection on bail application.</li><li>4. Counter affidavit may also be filed by the respondents.</li><li>5. List on <b>06.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)**

**M.A. 408 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 358 of 2022**

**Smt Ram Maya Ghale W/o Late Ex Nk Dambar Bahadur Ghale** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection to delay filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. A prayer has been made on behalf of the applicant to adjourn the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 296 of 2015 with M.A. No. 464 of 2019**

**Prakash Rai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Maj RD Singh (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj RD Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Respondents were directed to file reply to M.A. No. 464 of 2019 which has been filed for providing documents.</li><li>3. Reply has not been filed.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that requisite documents have been weeded out by the respondents.</li><li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to file written reply to MA No. 464 of 2019 within two weeks.</li><li>6. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</li><li>7. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 217 of 2017**

**Brijesh Kumar Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, case is adjourned for the day.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal, original documents are available with them for perusal of the Bench.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents are directed to retain original documents till the next date of listing for perusal of the Bench.</li><li>5. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</li><li>6. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 254 of 2021**

**Sigmn Yogesh Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Subham Gupta, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Subham Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)**

**O.A. No. 262 of 2021**

**Ex Sub Nathu Lal Mishra**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Capt Danish Farooqui, Departmental Representative are present.</p> <p>2. An affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents and Departmental Representative could not explain and satisfy the court that amount of Rs. 1,57,400/- recovered/debited during the final settlement of account was balance of advance pay taken by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that applicant has never taken advance pay during his entire service.</p> <p>5. In view of above, respondent no. 4 is directed to depute some expert officer to appear before the Bench on the next date fixed and explain about date and amount of advance pay taken by the applicant and also to show relevant documents regarding this entry/payment.</p> <p>6. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 849 of 2021**

**Ex Sub Amlesh Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. 2. Heard arguments of Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 31)**

**T.A. No. 80 of 2016**

**Anoop Kumar** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>26.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were directed to produce original documents pertaining to this case for perusal for the bench but today, original documents have not been produced by the respondents. It amounts to adjournment by the respondents.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to produce original documents on the next date of listing before the Bench.</p> <p>4. List on <b>30.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>5. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>SB/RSP</i></p>