

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1269 of 2023

Master Maanik Chaudhary through his mother Kamlesh Singh W/o Late Lt Akash Chaudhary Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pawan Bisht and
Ms Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection, if any, on maintainability of the case.</p> <p>4. List on 14.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1270 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1823 of 2023

Ex Sub Dharam Nath Tewary Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List Revised.</p> <p>2. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1823 of 2023</u></p> <p>4. There is delay of 07 years, 10 months and 02 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicnat has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>6. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons mentioned in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it has not been explained on day to day basis.</p> <p>8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that ground shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1270 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 14.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 1472 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1473 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 21 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Sub (PA) ASH Mohammad Khan

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India and others.2. This leave to appeal has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 02.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 21 of 2022.3. There is a delay of 08 months and 02 days in filing of leave to appeal.4. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment, applicants sent the order to the concerned Records and further it was sent to JAG Department and Chief of Army Staff, New Delhi for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal.5. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicants have utterly failed in the present case.6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is rejected.8. Leave to Appeal being time barred is also rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;"><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

M.A. No. 1474 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1475 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 642 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants-Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Smt Ran Maya Gurung, W/o Rfn Am Bahadur Gurung Respondent-Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others.</p> <p><u>M.A. No.1474 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 04 months and 05 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate, but for the reasons of time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 04 months and 05 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1475 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 29.03.2023 of this Tribunal passed in O.A. No. 642 of 2022.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others submits that the disease to which the husband of the applicant had passed away while in service is due to “PNEUMOCYSTIS CARNII PENEUMONITIS (HIV INFECTION)” which is neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service, this fact has not been considered and certain other important points raised in counter affidavit have not been considered in impugned judgment.</p> <p>8. After perusing the order impugned, we are of the view that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 3 of 2022 Inre EX-A. No. 234 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 248 of 2015

Smt Malti Devi W/o(Late) Hav Deo Lakhan Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal &
Shri Yogesh Chandra Bhatt, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant was granted time to file reply to objection on affidavit of compliance, if any. No objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Today, learned counsel for the applicant submits that compliance of the order has been made.</p> <p>5. Since, order has been complied with, this execution application is dismissed in full satisfaction of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 325 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 585 of 2019

Nb Ris (RT) Rakesh Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Tadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed on respondents has also not been paid.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance and deposit cost due against respondents failing which affidavit of official responsible for delay in implementation of the order shall be filed by the next date of listing.</p> <p>4. List on 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 183 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 921 of 2022

Ex Sgt Vinod Kumar Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate holding brief of Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate, brief holder, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 305 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 432 of 2017

Santosh Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order has been made.4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of compliance till next date of listing.5. List on 23.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 320 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 168 of 2021

Jyoti

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that for compliance of the order, certain documents have been sought from the applicant which has not been provided by the applicant.4. List on 07.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

OA No. 863 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1094 of 2022

Smt Hemlata W/o Late Ex Sep Manoj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla and
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 5.</p> <p>2. Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

OA No. 26 of 2023

Ex Sgt Sandeep Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Shri Kapil Sharma
and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Additional affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply/rejoinder affidavit.4. List on 23.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

OA No. 484 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1636 of 2023 with M.A. No. 571 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub James T Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh
and Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.3. On the request of brief holder, the case is adjourned for the day.4. List on 14.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

OA No. 649 of 2023

Sub (Pharm) Pawan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 21.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

OA No. 718 of 2023 with M.A. No. 870 of 2023

Ex Nk Shiv Kishor Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection on delay condonation application has not been filed.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 870 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. Heard on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. It is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that ground shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 718 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 21.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

OA No. 816 of 2023

Ex Sub Lalit Narayan Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through virtual mode and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent No. 3 is taken on record.3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 872 of 2023 with M.A. No. 635 of 2023

Smt Pushpa Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Narendra Pratap Singh
and Shri Neeraj Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri P.K. Khare, Advocate holding brief of Shri Narendra Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondent are present.</p> <p>2. Objection to delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Reply to objection filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 635 of 2023</u></p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. There is delay of 02 months and 22 days in filing this Original Application.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that ground shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 872 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 14.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;"><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.17)

O.A. No. 902 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ravindra Nath Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Aman Rawat, Advocate holding brief of Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 1015 of 2023

Ex Sub Ramesh Kumar Prajapati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for one more chance, as last opportunity to file counter affidavit, which is granted.</p> <p>4. List on 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1017 of 2023

Ex Sgt Navratna Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1054 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Naryan Dutt Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: In Person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 07.12.2023. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>SB</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A.(A) 597 of 2022 with M.A. No. 704 of 2022

Sep Dushyant Singh

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Supplementary counter affidavit to the amended portion of O.A. has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file supplementary counter affidavit.4. List on 14.12.2023. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A.(A). No. 1245 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1768 of 2023

Sep Raj Karan Singh (Dismissed) Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav
and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection on bail application has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted ten days further time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any, on bail application.4. List on 09.11.2023. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 305 of 2017

Rajbeer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd)
and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 07.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 65 of 2021 with M.A. No. 247 of 2022

Sep Deepak Singh Chouhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Shiva Nand Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Shiva Nand Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Time was granted to the respondents to file objection, if any, to application filed by the applicant under Section 340 of Cr. P.C.3. Objection has not been filed.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection, if any.5. Respondents are directed to provide original documents for perusal of the Bench on the next date fixed.6. List on 23.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 753 of 2022

BHM (Gnr) Ram Babu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Col AK Srivastava(Retd), Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Respondents were directed to produce 5 years ACRs of relevant period and other documents relating to promotion of the applicant but requisite documents have not been produced by the respondents.3. Respondents are directed to produce requisite documents on next date of listing.4. List on 23.11.2023.5. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A.(A.) No. 290 of 2015

Kaptan Singh

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri SK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the parties are not present. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>2. List on 15.12.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A.(A.) No. 549 of 2017

Om Prakash

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : In Person and Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the parties are not present. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative is present.</p> <p>2. List on 15.12.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)

T.A. No. 84 of 2012

Narendra Kumar Rana Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Further hearing is required in the matter.3. List on 16.11.2023 for hearing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)

T.A. No. 39 of 2017

Govind Singh Negi Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri SG Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. List this case on 23.11.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>SB</i></p>