Form No. 4 **{See rule 11(1)}** ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No 8)

M.A. No. 1372 of 2023 inre O.A. (A) No 784 of 2020

Union of India & Ors

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: **Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal**, Advocate Versus

Ex Gnr Naresh Kumar Sharma

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent:, Advocate
Notes of
Orders of the Tribunal
the

the Registry		
Rogiony	28.08.2023 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. Heard Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the applicant-respondents.	
	2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of	of the Armed Forces Tribunal
	Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 12.07.2023 passed in O.A. No. 784 2020.	
	3. As per office report there is delay of 04 days in filir	ng leave to appeal.
	4. In regard to delay, submission of learned	counsel for the applicant-
	respondents is that there is no delay in filing leave to appeal as this delay took place in receiving order of this Tribunal.	
	5. In view of the above, we are of the view that there	e is no delay in filing leave to
	appeal.	
	6. We find that no much or less point of law of g	general public importance is
	 involved for grant of leave to appeal. Accordingly, leave to appeal is liable to be dismissed. 7. It is also pertinent to mention here that in view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in <i>Union of India & Ors vs Purushottam Lal</i>, 2023 SCC online SC 314, applicant-respondents has efficacious remedy to assail the order passed by this Tribunal before Hon'ble High Court under Article 226 of Constitution of India. 8. Thus, leave to appeal has been filed mechanically without any justification and is liable to dismissed. Accordingly, leave to appeal is dismissed. 	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)