

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1363 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1978 of 2023

Ex Nk Bablu Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashishs Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 02 months and 20 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>5. List on 18.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1364 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1981 of 2023

Sgt Vikram Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1981 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. Registry has reported that there is no delay in filing O.A., therefore, delay condonation application is dismissed being not required.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1364 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed for fixing correct basic pay of the applicant at par with his juniors.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks next.</p> <p>7. List on 19.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1365 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1982 of 2023

Ex Nk Rama Shankar Yadav Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 13 years, 03 months and 05 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. List on 08.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 449 of 2023 Inre O.A. (A) No. 399 of 2021

Ex Nk Radhey Shyam Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri RC Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This is a fresh execution application for implementation of order dated 12.07.2023 passed in O.A. (A) No. 399 of 2021.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 19.01.2024. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 452 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 591 of 2022

Ex Hav Umesh Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This is a fresh execution application for implementation of order dated 02.11.2022 passed in O.A. No. 591 of 2022.4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.5. List on 23.01.2024. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

C.A. No. 1 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 338 of 2022

Smt Shiv Kumari Singh W/o Late L/Nk Rakesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Mr. Shikshit Lal Srivastava,
Branch Manager SBI, Branch Jagdishpur, Distt Amethi & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6.</p> <p>2. Opposite parties are respondent No 5 and 6 of O.A. No. 338 of 2022. Notices were issued against the respondents of this case to show cause as to why they not be punished for their wilful disobedience of order dated 15.09.2022 passed in O.A. No. 338 of 2022.</p> <p>3. Opposite parties have filed an affidavit today which is taken on record. In this affidavit it is submitted that in compliance of order dated 15.09.2022 passed in O.A. No 338 of 2022, monthly recovery of excess money paid to the applicant has already been stopped in the month of December, 2022 and the delay in compliance of the order is neither deliberate nor intentional but it was due to miscommunication and internal process. It is further submitted that opposite parties have tendered unconditional apology for causing delay in compliance of order dated 15.09.2022. It is further submitted that pension amount of the applicant has migrated to Sparsh Portal on 28.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has not opposed the affidavit filed by opposite parties.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, notice issued to opposite parties is hereby discharged in this case.</p> <p>6. Accordingly this case is disposed off finally.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 24 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2021

Smt Bimala Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Gambhir Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated on 16.10.2023.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of compliance alongwith copy of PPO.</p> <p>5. List on 18.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A No. 111 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 38 of 2021

Swamy Nath, S/o Late Ex Nk Bagedu Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent is not present.2. Objection on affidavit of compliance filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.3. Reply, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the respondents within a week.4. List on 03.01.2024. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 135 of 2022

Ex Gdsm Rohitaswa Verma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that certain documents were required from the applicant but the same have not been made available.4. Applicant is directed to supply requisite documents to the respondents within four weeks.5. List on 08.01.2024. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A No. 24 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 254 of 2018

Ex Hav Bidhi Narayan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Applicant was directed to file objection, if any, on compliance report but today none is present for the applicant and objection has also not been filed.</p> <p>4. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that order has been complied with.</p> <p>5. Since order under execution has been complied with, this execution application is disposed off in full and final satisfaction.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

EX-A No. 100 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 850 of 2021

Ex Hav Sharafat Minya

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Explanation for delay in implementation of order dated 07.07.2022 is not sufficient. Respondents are, therefore, granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>4. List on 09.01.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

EX-A No. 193 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 621 of 2022

Ex Hav (Hony Nb/Sub) Mahamed Alam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the parties are not present. Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and PPO has been generated.4. Respondents are directed to file compliance report within two weeks alongwith copy of PPO.5. List on 05.01.2024. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

M.A No. 1885 of 2023 with M.A. No.1886 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 408 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicants- Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex ACP Nk Ram Pal Singh

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1885 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 02 months and 25 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 05.07.2023 some time took place in processing the case for filing leave to appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 02 months and 25 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1886 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 05.07.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 408 of 2023.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 566 of 2021

Hav (DSC) Chandra Pal Singh Chauhan (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection on affidavit of compliance filed by applicant is taken on record.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file reply.4. List on 22.01.2024. <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 338 of 2022

Smt Shiv Kumari Singh W/o Late L/Nk Rakesh Kumar Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant and affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6 are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Respondent No 5 and 6 (Bank respondent) submitted that pension account of the applicant has migrated to Sparsh Portal on 28.08.2023 and in compliance of order dated 15.09.2022, respondent No 6 has sent letter dated 16.10.2023 to PCDA (P), Prayagraj to refund the recovered amount to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 05.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 811 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1007 of 2022

Smt Ratnamani Sahu, M/o Late Cfn Durga Charan Sau & another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Ashutosh Srivastava, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent No 2 submits that he has filed Vakalatnama but his name has not been shown in the cause list as learned counsel for the respondent No 2.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to show his name as respondent No 2 when the case is listed next.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1007 of 2022</u></p> <p>4. This application has been filed for impleadment in respect of respondent No 5. In impleadment application applicant wants to implead Smt Deepika Behera wife of the deceased soldier as respondent No 5.</p> <p>5. We find that after making impleadment nature of O.A. will not change. Also there being no objection from the respondents, impleadment application is allowed. Applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment within a week.</p> <p>6. Rent agreement filed by the applicant is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to provide copy of rent agreement to learned counsel for the respondent No 2 during course of the day.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the respondents may seek instructions and file objection on rent agreement within four weeks.</p> <p>8. Issue notice to newly added respondent No 5 after impleadment. Applicant will take steps within a week.</p> <p>9. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 872 of 2022

Ex Sub (Lab Tech) Rajeev Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sanjai Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Anchit Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the parties are not present today.</p> <p>2. List on 03.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 969 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1178 of 2022

Smt Omwati Devi, M/o Late Sep Bijendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: **Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: **Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1178 of 2022</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. As per report by the Registry, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Delay condonation application is rejected being not required.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 969 of 2022</u></p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to file objection on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>5. As prayed, learned counsel for the respondents is granted two weeks time to file objection on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>6. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 51 of 2023

Nk (Amb Asst) Hari Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shyam Sundar Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sundar Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of Departmental Representative for the respondents, list on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 52 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Abu Talib Anwar **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Gaurav Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Gaurav Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Better counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed by applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 232 of 2023

Smt Kalpna Tripathi W/o Late Hav Yatindra Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week's further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 10.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 233 of 2023

Pramod Kumar S/o Late Ex Sep Indra Pal **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate
Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 333 of 2023

Ex Hav Narendra Singh Chauhan **Applicant**
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, no one is present on behalf of the parties.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 10.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 548 of 2023

Shri Vijay Singh Bisht, S/o Lt Col (TS) Jagat Singh Bisht Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but the same has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 29.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 883 of 2023

Ex HFO Rishi Muni Tripathi & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 23.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 888 of 2023

Ex Hav Arun Kumar Vimal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 02.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 27)
O.A. No. 991 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1324 of 2023

Ex Nk Birendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri RK Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1324 of 2023</u></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. There is delay of 08 years and 01 month in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter which being a recurring cause of action, delay may be condoned. He further submits that delay is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 991 of 2023</u></p> <p>8. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List this case on 10.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 1049 of 2023

ExRis Narendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 02.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1265 of 2023

Lt Col Shailesh Singh Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to file amendment application but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>3. A week's further time is granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file amendment application failing which the case will be dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p>4. List on 10.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 1294 of 2023

Col Joy Das Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant. Ms Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On prayer of applicant time was granted to implead six Brigadiers of Army and take steps within a week but steps has not been taken.</p> <p>3. Applicant is granted a week's further time to take steps to implead six Brigadiers of Army, else the case will be dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p>4. List on 08.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 31)

T.A. No. 33 of 2022 with M.A. No. 647 of 2023

Smt Siva Rani, w/o Late Sep Shri Ram Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Ms. Hemlata, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Hemlata, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 32)

T.A. No. 37 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1977 of 2023

Shweta Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chandra Kant Jha, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present. Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wants to withdraw this application as he is unable to press this case.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this application.</p> <p>4. This case is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 271 of 2017

Chintamani Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant who is present before the Tribunal and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents who is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that 'Severe Reprimand' is under challenge before the Hon'ble High Court at Lucknow Bench in Writ Petition No 8782/2022, <i>Abhishek Kumar vs UOI & Ors</i>, outcome of which is still awaited.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>4. List on 03.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 460 of 2020

Ex Sgt Rahul Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 35)

O.A. No. 977 of 2022

Smt Janki Devi W/o Late Ex Gnr Ram Shankar Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request made by Departmental Representative for the respondents, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 22.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 36)

O.A. (A) No. 370 of 2018

Ex Sub Maj Liladhar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.2. Written statement filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has provided copy of written statement to learned counsel for the respondents.3. List for hearing on 17.01.2024.4. In the meantime, respondents may file written statement. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 37)

O.A. (A) No. 377 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Pramod Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 30.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>