

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sup. No. 1)

O.A. No. 883 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1135 of 2023

Ex HFO Rishi Muni Tripathi & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra & Shri Kapil Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>31.07.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>M.A. No. 1135 of 2023</div><div><div>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application which is delayed by 10 months and 24 days in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</div><div>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</div><div>7. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</div><div>O.A. No. 883 of 2023</div><div><div>8. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>9. Admit.</div><div>10. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</div><div>11. List on 01.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB/RSP</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div></div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 875 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1118 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Arun Kumar Tripathi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

## Versus

### Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.07.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. As reported by the office, there is delay of 10 years, 07 months and 08 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List on <b>01.09.2023</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>SB/RSP</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex-A. No. 86 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 65 of 2020

Smt Chandrawati, W/O Late Ex Sep Sita Ram  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awsthi, Advocate

Applicant

**Versus**

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the respondents has filed affidavit of compliance alongwith copy of PPO which is taken on record.</div><div>3. Objection, if any, may be filed within two weeks by learned counsel for the applicant.</div><div>4. Cost has not been paid by the respondents. Respondents are directed to deposit cost due against them by the next dated fixed.</div><div>5. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/RSP</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex-A. No. 107 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 104 of 2016

Smt Pankaj Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>31.07.2023</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Objection to compliance has not been filed by the applicant. Objection may be filed by the applicant till the next date fixed.</div><div>3. List on <b>01.09.2023</b>.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>SB/RSP</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex-A. No. 123 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 179 of 2020

Hav Rajesh Kumar  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order in compliance has been complied with as conclusion of Court of Inquiry has been conveyed to the applicant on 26.07.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to file affidavit of compliance alongwith information given to the applicant regarding conclusion of Court of Inquiry.</p> <p>5. List on <b>01.09.2023</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 476 of 2023**

**Ex Sep ACP-1 Rakesh Chandra Pandey & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.07.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received counter affidavit after vetting. He prays for and is granted a week further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.08.2023</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>SB/RSP</p>

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex Nb Sub (PA) Manoj Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.07.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against delay condonation application has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week further time to file objection.</p> <p>4. List on <b>11.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**  
**O.A. No. 834 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1022 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub (PA) AK Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.07.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Objection to delay conconation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1022 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. As per office report, there is delay of 16 years, 03 months and 23 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate or intentional but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as it has not been explained on day to day basis.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, grounds shown is sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 834 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p>SB/RSP</p>



**O.A. No. 835 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1023 of 2023**

## Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.07.2023</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week further time to file objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 575 of 2019 alongwith T.A. No. 1312 of 2010

Smt Roopa Singh Teveotia,  
W/o Ex Hav (Late) Aman Pal Singh Teveotia  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Smt. Roopa Singh Teveotia, applicant in person and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present today.</p> <p>3. On request of the applicant, the case is adjourned today on the ground of non availability of learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>11.08.2023</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB/RSP</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. (A) No. 290 of 2015

Kaptan Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri SK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>31.07.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, no one is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. It is informed that learned counsel for the applicant being not well is unable to attend the court. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</div><div>3. List on 28.08.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>SB/RSP</div></div>