

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Ser No. 2)

Ex-A/306 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 351 of 2022

**Ex Rifleman Laxman Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His/her name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. Respondents were directed to file compliance report but the same has not been filed.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**Ex-A/307 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 352 of 2022**

**Ex Rifleman Chandra Mohan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Ld. Col Nidhikant Dhyani(Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**Ex-A/96 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 94 of 2018**

**Smt Sundari Devi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost has been deposited on 12.09.2023. He further submits that matter is still pending before PS4. He prays for and is allowed 03 weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance alongwith affidavit of concerned officer regarding cause of delay in implementation of order and present status of the case.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex-A/185 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1795 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 653 of 2020**

**Ex Flt Cadet Charul Verma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has already been filed. None is present on behalf of the applicant</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1795 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This application has been filed for impleadment of SBI (PDA) which respondents have not objected. Application is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicant shall incorporate the change within a week. M.A. No. 1795 of 2023 is allowed and disposed off.</p> <p>4. Let notice be issued to SBI (PDA) returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p><b><u>Ex-A/185 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 653 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>5. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex-A/192 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 438 of 2019

Ex Hav Kamal Dev Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

Union of India & Ors

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Maj Aman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed on the respondents has also not been deposited.</li><li>3. Officer responsible for delay in implementation of the order is not present today. Registry is directed to issue notice to concerned officer to show cause why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him.</li><li>4. On request of Departmental Representative for the respondents three weeks further time is allowed to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable which shall be remitted to applicant.</li><li>5. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</li><li>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents and OIC Legal Cell.</li></ol> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex-A/214 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 500 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Bhola Prasad Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost due against the respondents has been deposited.</p> <p>4. Lt Col BK Pradhan from ECHS Department present in the Tribunal submits that matter has been sent to higher authorities i.e. ECHS Central Organisation for clarification. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex-A/327 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 238 of 2019**

**Ex L/Nk Awadhesh Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj.</p> <p>2. Compliance report has already been filed by the respondents. Objection filed by applicant on affidavit of compliance of respondent No 5 and 6 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that respondent No 5 and 6 have credited amount of Rs 25,19,663/- on 30.04.2022 and calculation to this effect has also been submitted by bank. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that still balance of Rs 3,45,355/- has emerged as per calculation of the applicant which is mentioned in para 5 of the Execution Application No 327 of 2022. In his objection, applicant has re-iterated the calculation shown in para 5 of the Execution Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that the respondent PCDA (P) be directed to place on record the entitlement details prepared in this case in pursuance of order of this Tribunal dated 03.04.2019.</p> <p>5. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj submits that entitled details has already been submitted through PPO issued by the respondent and there is no provision of preparing other entitlement details on any fixed proforma.</p> <p>6. Before passing final order in this case, respondent No 5 and 6 are directed to file supplementary affidavit regarding balance amount, if any, as alleged by the applicant and calculated in para 5 of the Execution Application No 327 of 2022 by the next date fixed.</p> <p>7. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**EX-A. No. 276 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 699 of 2020**

**Smt Sabuli Devi Wife of Rfn (Late)**

**Kishan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 315 of 2022**

**Smt Seema Pandey, W/o Late Hav Hemant Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 6 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondent No 7 and 8 are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed by respondents No 1 to 6. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 6 submits that he shall file supplementary counter affidavit within two days. As prayed, three days further time, as last opportunity, is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. None is present for respondent No 9. Case shall proceed ex-parte against respondent No 9.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>04.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 695 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Dalvir Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri AK Dixit, Advocate holding brief for Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 842 of 2022**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Surrender Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter and rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the parties are taken on record.</li><li>3. Arguments concluded.</li><li>4. Order reserved.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 1079 of 2022**

**Chandra Kali Devi, W/o Late**  
**Ex LS Narendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit could not be filed as parawise comments are still awaited.</p> <p>3. Respondents are granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit, failing which case shall proceed ex-parte against the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 87 of 2023**

**Ex RHM Shiv Shakti Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter and rejoinder affidavits filed on behalf of the parties are taken on record.</li><li>3. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</li><li>4. List on <b>20.12.2023</b> for hearing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 119 of 2023 with M.A. No. 116 of 2023**

**Ex Spr Rajesh Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri AK Dixit, Advocate holding brief for Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Time was granted to learned counsel for the applicant for filing rejoinder affidavit. Today learned counsel for the applicant is not present. Brief holder submits that further time be granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. Applicant is granted three weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 131 of 2023**

**Ex PO MR1 Vikram Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Amit Asthana, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 327 of 2023 with M.A. No. 370 of 2023

**Lt Col Anurag Bahi (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file reply to objection on delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 331 of 2023**

**Smt Priti Widow D/o Ex Late Sep Ram Chander** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari,  
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vushnu Kant  
Awasthi, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and he shall file it shortly. He prays for and is allowed a week's time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 334 of 2023

**Ex Hav Karna Bahadur Chhetri**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari  
and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 431 of 2023

**Ex ACP Nk Vijay Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents and rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard arguments of Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Judgment reserved.</li></ol> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 432 of 2023

**Ex ACP Nk Manvendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. On request of Departmental Representative for the respondents, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.01.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 439 of 2023

**Nb Sub (TA) Bhuvanesh Kumar Pathak**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Naveen Giri and Shri Sachida Nand  
Tiwari, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 1045 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1405 of 2023**

**Smt Vidya Devi W/o Ex Sep (late)**

**Kaptan Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra and Ms Supriya Srivastava,  
Advocates

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Awadhesh Shukla, Advocate appearing on behalf the respondent No 5 and 6 prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file Vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 submits that copy of amendment application has not been received. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to provide copy of amendment application to learned counsel for the respondents during course of the day.</p> <p>4. The amendment application has been filed to correct the name of respondent No 6 by adding 'Indian Bank' after the chief Manager which learned counsel for the respondents has not objected. Amendment application is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate the change during course of the day.</p> <p>5. Notice has been accepted by Shri Awadhesh Shukla, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent No 5 and 6, therefore, notice need not be sent to respondent No 5 and 6.</p> <p>6. List on <b>22.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No 1165 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1614 of 2023**

**Smt Lokeshwati, W/o Rfn Late**

**Rajendra Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Applicant

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application has not been filed by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1614 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing original application. There is delay of 16 years, 01 month and 27 days in filing original application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1165 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>8. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List this case on <b>02.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. (A) No. 197 of 2015**

**Ex LAC Shubham Yadav**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A.(A.) No. 1169 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1628 of 2023**

**Ex Cpl Praveen Awasthi**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1628 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing original application. There is delay of 09 months and 11 days in filing original application.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons explained in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the delay condonation application and submitted that day-to-day delay has not been explained.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing learned counsel for the parties we find that reasons given in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application being sufficient, delay in filing original application is allowed and delay is condoned.</p> <p>6. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A.(A.) No. 1169 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks next.</p> <p>8. List on <b>16.01.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 271 of 2017

**Chintamani Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that similar case is pending before the Hon'ble High Court on the point of jurisdiction of this Tribunal regarding Severe Reprimand.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to submit details of the case which is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</li><li>4. List on <b>29.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 335 of 2022

**Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.28)**

**O.A. No. 311 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Raj Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, learned counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Arguments concluded.</li><li>3. Order is reserved.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 29)

T.A. No. 92 of 2016

**Alopi Shanker Bajpae**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**The Commandant Rajput Regimental**

Respondents

**Centre, Fatehgarh & Ors**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. Reply may be filed within six weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>16.01.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 30)**

**T.A. No. 4 of 2022**

**Lagnesh Mishra**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Shri Rang Nath Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 31)**

**T.A. No.58 of 2022**

**Smt Radha Devi W/o Late**  
**Shaheed Dinesh Singh Bhadauriya** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate  
Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>31.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri JN Mishra and Dr. Amit Asthana, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment on the ground that he is not prepared today.</p> <p>3. List on <b>14.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>