

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 606 of 2023 with M.A. No. 742 of 2023**

**Ex ACP Nb Sub Chanchal Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 742 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. As per office report, there is delay of 02 years, 11 months and 03 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents opposing the prayer submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient and he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 606 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on <b>14.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 608 of 2023**

**Nk Eldhose Abraham** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this O.A. is not maintainable as the applicant is posted in Rajasthan. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on maintainability.</p> <p>4. List on <b>14.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 610 of 2023**

**Nb Sub (Opr) Pradeep Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. Matter being pertaining to pay and allowances needs adjudication.</li><li>4. Admit.</li><li>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which learned counsel for the respondents may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks next.</li><li>6. List on <b>07.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**EX- A. No. 193 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 145 of 2013**

**Satendra Singh Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate  
Shri DC Lohumi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</li><li>2. Objection on compliance report filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. None is present for respondent No 6 and 7 to address the court.</li><li>4. Respondent No 6 and 7 may file replication, if any, within two weeks.</li><li>5. List on <b>09.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**EX - A. No. 43 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 658 of 2020**

**Ex Dfr Shiv Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col RC Dixit (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Order has been complied with but cost of Rs 10,000/- has not been paid</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to deposit cost failing which property worth Rs 10,000/- shall be attached.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**EX - A. No. 196 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 16 of 2016**

**Ex Rect Ashutosh Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file compliance report. Respondents are further directed to deposit cost of Rs 10,000/- by the date fixed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**EX - A. No. 224 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 281 of 2020**

**Ex Hav Ram Yatan Ram**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated on 15.03.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that nothing has been paid to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Let notice be issued to respondent No 5 and 6 returnable within four weeks. Steps within one week.</p> <p>6. List on <b>02.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**EX - A. No. 321 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 421 of 2020**

**Ex Gnr Ram Sharan @ Ram Saran** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri PK Khare, Advocate holding brief for Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is still pending with ADG (PS-4). As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance else officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain present before this Tribunal to clarify the reasons for non implementation of the order.</p> <p>3. List on <b>14.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**EX- A. No. 374 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 90 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Nirbhay Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that payment has been received by bank but amount has not been credited to applicant's account.</p> <p>3. Since amount has been released by the respondents, this application is rendered infructuous.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, execution application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**EX- A. No. 4 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 1295 of 2010**

**Ex Sub Chandra Deo Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This is a third execution application filed by learned counsel for the applicant for execution of order dated 25.01.2017.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order has not been fully complied with. He further submits that respondents have not granted two years extension in service and considered the applicant for promotion to the rank of Subedar Major.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has been fully complied with and nothing is left to be complied with.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents may file written objection within two weeks.</p> <p>6. List on <b>29.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 250 of 2021**

**Nisi Kumari W/o Ex Hav Raghubansh Chandra Verma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate  
Shri KK Seth, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Seth, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O. A. No. 311 of 2021**

**Smt Chandrakanta, W/o Hav Krishan Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate  
Shri Rajeev Dubey, Advocate  
Shri Pravin Pratap Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satendra Kumar Singh and, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Shri Rajeev Dubey and Shri Pravin Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. As prayed, two weeks further time as last opportunity is granted to learned counsel for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>14.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**  
**O.A. No. 464 of 2022 alongwith T.A. No. 35 of 2016 (Decided)**

**Smt Parbati Widow of Late Spr Parshu Ram Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Filing of rejoinder affidavit has already been forfeited.</p> <p>3. List on <b>07.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 986 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1194 of 2022**

**Mrs Dhan Maya Thapa** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.08.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 504 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Ramakant**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dilip Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dilip Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. This O.A. has been filed against alleged illegal recovery of Rs 4,16,410/- from pension of the applicant, for release of pensionary benefits, refund of amount deducted and payment of pension on last salary drawn by the applicant.</li><li>3. There is prayer of interim relief also against recovery of alleged excess recovery made by the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents were directed to seek instructions and file affidavit with regard to recovery of amount but till date nothing has been filed by the respondents.</li><li>5. Matter needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks next.</li><li>8. List on <b>10.08.2023</b>.</li><li>9. Regarding interim relief it is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant who is ex Naib Subedar was informed by the respondents on last day of his service i.e. 30.12.2022 that excess payment has been made and huge amount was recovered and rest amount that is Rs 4,16,410/- is still to be recovered. He was never communicated earlier about any excess payment. Learned counsel for the applicant relied upon <b><i>State of Punjab &amp; Ors vs Rafiq Masih (White Washer)</i></b>, (Civil Appeal No 11527 of 2014 decided on 18.12.2014).</li><li>10. In view of the argument of learned counsel for the applicant and facts and circumstances of the case recovery of the alleged amount of Rs 4,16,410/- shall remain stayed till next date of listing.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 120 of 2020**

**Smt Anita Singh W/o Late Ex Nk Indrajeet Singh & Others** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter was heard at some length but it requires further hearing.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.07.2023</b> for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 227 of 2021**

**Ex Sep Ravendra Singh** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed. Opportunity to file supplementary counter affidavit is closed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.08.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p>4. Original documents shall be retained by the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 594 of 2021**

**Smt Mamta Chauhan Widow of Late Maj Virendra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Dev Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed even after providing sufficient opportunities. It is made clear that if the rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the date fixed, the case shall be heard in absence of rejoinder affidavit. On request of brief holder the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.08.2023</b> as part heard case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 108 of 2022**

**Ex Craftsman/ Welder Krishna Bhan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>24.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> Member (J)</p>