

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.1)**

**EX-A No.6 of 2019 Inre: O.A. No. 290 of 2016**

**Ex Sep Harendra Vikram Singh** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri YashpalAdvocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh,Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Maj GR Sawant, Record Officer, Mech Inf Regt are present.</p> <p>Compliance report in terms of order dated 16.01.2023 has not been filed by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this is second execution application, hence four weeks' further time may be granted to file compliance report.</p> <p>As prayed, four weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report on the condition of payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be paid to applicant by the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>02.03.2023</b>.</p> <p>If the order is not complied by the next date fixed, officer responsible for compliance of the order shall remain present in the Court to explain his position.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J) <small>Ukt/-</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.2)**

**EX-A No. 8 of 2021 Inre: O.A. No. 282 of 2016**

**Varun Kumar Pandey** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 30,000/- shall be deposited before the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on <b>03.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) Member (J) Ukt/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No.3)

EX-A No. 26 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 308 of 2019

**Hav Didar Singh** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra.

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that order passed by the Tribunal has been fully complied with and amount has been credited in the bank account of the applicant.</p> <p>Since, order passed by the Tribunal has been complied with, this Execution Application has become infructuous.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J) <small>Ukt/-</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.4)**

**EX-A No. 39 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 89 of 2020**

**Ex MCPOAOF II Phool Singh** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey.  
: Mohd. Zafar Khan.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for respondents No 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Mohd. Zafar Khan, prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>27.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J) <small>Ukt/-</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.5)**

**O.A. No. 294 of 2020**

**Nk Shakti Singh (Retd)** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate.  
: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Respondents have filed personal affidavit. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply to personal affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>24.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J) <small>Ukt/-</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.6)**

**O.A. No. 227 of 2021**

**Ex Sep Ravendra Singh**

**Applicant**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

**Respondents**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri SN Pandey.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file supplementary counter affidavit in terms of order dated 04.01.2023.</p> <p>List on <b>06.03.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the next date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) Member (J) Ukt/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.7)**

**O.A. No. 587 of 2021**

**Ex Rfn Jai Shankar Singh** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>28.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.8)**

**O.A. (A) No. 788 of 2022**

**Ex LAC Madhav Singh**

**Applicant**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

**Respondents**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>01.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) Member (J) <small>Ukt/-</small></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.9)**

**O.A. No. 31 of 2014**

**Jeetendra Bahadur Singh** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Tarun V Pillai, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for adjournment of the case on the ground that learned counsel for the respondents is not present due to some personal reasons. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>13.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.10)**

**O.A. No. 660 of 2020**

**Ex Rect Heera Singh** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta ,Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for both the parties are directed to file their written submissions.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>02.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J) Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2**

**O.A. No. 250 of 2021 alongwith M.A. No 417 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Rghubansh Chandra Verma**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Applicant**  
:Shri Dharmesh Sinha,  
Advocate.  
: Shr KK Seth, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**  
: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A. No 417 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard learned Counsel of both the parties on recall application under which respondents have prayed to recall the order of this Tribunal dated 07.12.2021 passed in O.A. No 250 of 2021, substituting the applicant Nishi Kumari in place of the deceased sole applicant Raghubansh Chandra Verma.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed by the deceased applicant Raghubans Chandra Verma for the reliefs:-</p> <p>(i) <i>The Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to issue an order or direction quashing/setting aside with all consequential benefits the order dated 22.12.2009 contained in Annexure No. A-1 to the OA.</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>The Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to issue an order or direction commanding upon the Respondents to provide all consequential benefits deeming the applicant back in service from 22.12.2009.</i></p> <p>(iii) <i>The Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to issue any other order or direction deemed to be just and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case.</i></p> <p>(iv) <i>The Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to pay cost of the OA.</i></p> <p>3. It is stated in O.A that the deceased soldier was married to Nishi Kumari who is his legally wedded wife and he has not contracted plural marriage. The</p>

above fact was opposed and controverted in counter affidavit filed by the respondent. During the pendency of this O.A., the applicant Raghubans Chandra Verma died on 11.05.2021. Substitution application was filed by SmtNishi Kumari on the ground that she is legally wedded wife of the deceased applicant which was allowed by order dated 07.12.2021.

4. The respondents have moved recall application against the order dated 07.12.2021 passed by the Tribunal. In recall application it is stated that the Hon'ble Tribunal vide its order dated 07.12.2021 allowed the Substitution application filed by SmtNishi Kumari and while allowing the substitution Application the Hon'ble Tribunal made an observation that SmtNishi Kumari is the legally wedded wife of the original applicant i.e. Raghubansh Chandra Verma hence, on this very premise the Hon'ble Tribunal allowed Substitution Application which is not true observation as per the opinion of the respondents because the original applicant had been dismissed from service for the only reason that he had contracted plural marriage with Nishi Kumari while in service. Despite the fact that Nishi Kumari is not legally wedded wife of Raghubansh Chandra Verma, some-how the original applicant i.e. Raghubansh Chandra Verma managed to get recorded his 2<sup>nd</sup> wife's name (Smt Nishi Kumari) in service records maintained by Army Medical Record Office as Nishi Verma hence, on this count too the substitution Application preferred by Nishi Kumari was misconceived.

5. That the sole premise of dismissal from service of Raghubansh Chandra Verma is that he had contracted plural marriage while in service hence, if the observation is made by this Hon'ble Tribunal that SmtNishi Kumari is legally wedded wife of Late Raghubansh Chandra Verma is considered to be true then the very reasons of dismissal from service would be held to be non-existent i.e. the dismissal order passed by the authorities would liable to be held illegal which could not have been the intention of the Hon'ble Tribunal as the only issue which is required to be decided by this Hon'ble Tribunal is that whether

the dismissal from service of Raghubansh Chandra Verma was due to contacting 2<sup>nd</sup> marriage with Nishi Verma is legal or not.

6. It is submitted by the counsel for the respondents that in view of the above mentioned facts and error apparent on the face of record and for the ends of justice it is very respectfully prayed before this Hon'ble Tribunal that the observation made by this Hon'ble Tribunal in the order dated 07.12.2021 that "Nishi Kumari is legally wedded wife of late Raghubansh Chandra Verma" be recalled/modified and consequently the substitution application preferred by Nishi Kumari be rejected in the interest of justice.

7. In reply to objection against recall application it is stated by learned counsel for the applicant that application for substitution of the applicant was rightly allowed as per legal provisions considering the reliefs prayed for in the O.A. which was mainly for quashing of the impugned order terminating services of the deceased husband of the applicant. Present applicant is the only legally wedded wife of the deceased applicant who was nominated as his legal heir on 04.07.1988 after marriage. Her nomination in the year 1988 was never challenged by any one including Smt Pushpa Devi who is alleged as first wife of the deceased applicant. Thus, the application for substitution was rightly preferred and allowed by this Tribunal. Respondents mislead this Tribunal by submitting that Smt Pushpa Devi is the first and legally wedded wife of the deceased soldier whose name is recorded in service records. The fact remains that there is nothing on record to prove that the said lady is the first legally wedded wife of the deceased soldier as there is no such declaration by any competent court of law that she was first legally wedded wife as is apparent from the letter dated 21.09.2009. Smt Nishi is only legally wedded wife of the deceased soldier and she was nominated in the service record of deceased soldier as his legal heir. Husband of the applicant was terminated by way of dismissal without any opportunity of hearing to the deceased soldier regarding his marriage with so called lady Smt Pushpa Devi. The fact remains that she

was making false claim regarding her marriage with the deceased soldier as is apparent from letter dated 21.09.2009. DSS&A Board has no jurisdiction to declare any marriage valid or invalid. Thus, declaration by Board that Smt Pushpa Devi is legally wedded wife of the deceased soldier is not tenable in the eyes of law. Smt Nisi Kumari is legally wedded wife of the deceased soldier and considering this aspect her name was recorded as legal heir in service records of the deceased soldier in the year 1988. Hon'ble Tribunal in order dated 07.12.2021 has only recorded contention of the applicant and that being not observation by the Tribunal cannot be expunged. Learned counsel for the applicant pleaded that order dated 07.12.2021 cannot be modified to reject the substitution of the dependent, nor can the same be recalled as the same was passed considering the merits of the case. The recall/modification application of order dated 07.12.2021 has no substance and is liable to be rejected.

8. We have considered the submission made by the counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The stand taken by the deceased applicant in O.A is that he has not contracted plural marriage and SmtNishi Kumari is his legally wedded wife. After death of the applicant Raghubansh Chandra Verma, SmtNishi Kumari moved substitution application on the same ground that she is legally wedded wife of the applicant. By order dated 07.12.2021 her substitution application has been allowed and now her name is substituted in place of deceased applicant. The substitution application has been allowed on submission of the applicant SmtNishi Kumari that she is legally wedded wife of the deceased soldier which is supported with the affidavit. There is no such observation of the Court that SmtNishi Kumari is legally wedded wife of the deceased soldier.

10. The respondents have full opportunity to rebut the case of the applicant by filing supplementary CA and take their defence. There is no sufficient

ground to recall order dated 07.12.2021 of this Tribunal and recall application is liable to be rejected.

11. Accordingly, recall application M.A. No 417 of 2022 is **rejected**.

**O.A. No 250 of 2021**

12. The respondents may file supplementary Counter affidavit within four weeks.

13. List on **24.03.2023**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
Member (J)

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)**

**O.A. No. 250 of 2021 with M.A. No. 417 of 2022**

**Nisi Kumari W/o Ex** **Applicant**  
**Hav Raghubansh Chandra Verma**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate.  
: Shri KK Seth, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center; color: red;"><b>Order is chamber.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A)</p> <p><small>Ukt/-</small></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.12)**

**O.A. (A) No. 309 of 2016**

**Gyanendra Madhukar** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Devansh Bhardwaj, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Maj Tarun V Pillai, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Departmental representative for the respondents submits that Original Documents pertaining to case are not available today. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>14.03.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the applicant shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) Member (J) <small>Ukt/-</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.13)**

**T.A. No. 64 of 2016**

**Ex L Hav Surendra Vavu**

**Applicant**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Shariq Khan, Advocate.  
: Shri SG Singh, Advocate.

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

**Respondents**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Maj Tarun V Pillai, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for adjournment of the case on the ground that learned counsel for the respondents is not present due to some personal reasons. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>Learned counsel of both the parties are directed to file their written submissions by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on <b>17.03.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) Member (J) <small>Ukt/-</small></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.14)**

**T.A. No. 20 of 2022**

**Ex JWO RBS Yadav** **Applicant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : .

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** **Respondents**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh.

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Junior Warrant Officer Yashpal Singh Yadav from Air Force are present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Notice issued to applicant on 16.06.2022 has been received back undelivered.</p> <p>The matter pertains to Air Force. Air Force Representative is directed to provide correct address of the applicant to Registry so that fresh notice can be issued to applicant.</p> <p>List on <b>20.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></span> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;"></span> <span style="float: right;"></span> <small>Ukt/-</small></p>