

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2283 of 2015 with M.A. No. 2284 of 2015
(Inre : O.A. No. 236 of 2012)

Deepak Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents, along with W.O. Deshraj Singh, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 2283 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by the applicant, for condoning the delay in filing M.A. No. 2284 of 2015 for recall of our order dated 30.9.2015 dismissing O.A. No. 236 of 2012 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 1 month and 7 days in filing the recall application.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The reason shown in the affidavit filed in support of M.A. No. 2283 of 2015 for the delay in filing the recall application seems to be genuine. Accordingly, M.A. No. 2283 of 2015 stands allowed and the delay in filing the recall application is hereby condoned.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 2284 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by the applicant, for recall of our order dated 30.9.2015 dismissing O.A. No. 236 of 2012.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The reason shown in the affidavit filed in support of M.A. No. 2284</p>

of 2015 for recall of our order dated 30.9.2015 seems to be genuine. Accordingly, M.A. No. 2284 of 2015 stands allowed and the order dated 30.9.2015, dismissing O.A. No. 236 of 2012 is hereby recalled. The O.A. is restored to its original number.

List the O.A. for orders on 26.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 1357 of 2015 with M.A. No. 2014 of 2015 & M.A. No. 2275 of 2015
(Inre : O.A. No. Nil of 2015)

Sub Mohan Bahadur Thapa
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey holding brief of Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 1357 of 2015.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by the applicant, for condoning the delay in filing the O.A.</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 6 years, 3 months and 7 days in filing the O.A.</p> <p>The respondents have filed their objection, being M.A. No. 2014 of 2015, to the delay condonation application and in rebuttal the applicant has filed his rejoinder affidavit, being M.A. No. 2275 of 2015.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the respective affidavits filed by the parties in support of the aforesaid three applications.</p> <p>The reason shown by the applicant for the delay in filing the O.A. seems to be genuine. Accordingly, M.A. No. 1357 of 2015 stands allowed and delay of 6 years 3 months and 7 days in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned. The office is directed to register the O.A.</p> <p>Since the delay condonation application stands allowed, M.A. No.</p>

2014 of 2015, filed by the respondents, stands rejected.

So far as M.A. No. 2275 of 2015 is concerned, since delay condonation application stands allowed, this application stands disposed of.

List the O.A. for admission on 19.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 108 of 2010

Barde Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri R.K.S. Chauhan on behalf of respondents no. 1 and 2 is taken on record. When the case is next listed his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant states that the delay condonation application is ready and will be filed before the Registry during the course of the day. He has also served a copy of the same on learned counsel for the respondents in Court.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file objection to the delay condonation application.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 3.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1019 of 2010 with T.A. No. 1081 of 2010

Sanjio Kumar Prajapati
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar on behalf the respondents is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks' further time to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended T.A.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 15.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>So far as T.A. No. 1081 of 2010, which has been clubbed with this T.A. No. 1019 of 2010, is concerned, the office is directed to consign the record of T.A. No. 1081 of 2010 since it has already been dismissed as infructuous vide order dated 25.2.2011.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 185 of 2009

Vijay Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. When the case is next listed his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On call of the case Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, prays for adjournment on the ground that his file is missing. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list the case for hearing on 15.1.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 94 of 2014

Gyanendra Kumar Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Anurag Mishra files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case in the revised list, a person in civil dress claiming himself to be the applicant Gyanendra Kumar Mishra appeared. He states that his counsel has not come to the Court today, as such he prays that the case be adjourned. Although three names of the counsel, namely, S/Shri L.M.Singh, S.K.Mishra and R.K.Pandey, have been shown have been shown as counsel appearing for the applicant, but none has appeared. However, on the request made by the applicant, who has appeared in person, as a matter of last opportunity the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 20.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Anurag Mishra be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 300 of 2013

Pawar Deepak Mansaram
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Amit Sharma files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant states that he is not prepared with the brief, as such he prays that the case be adjourned.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case for hearing on 12.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Amit Sharma be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 395 of 2010

Jitendra Kumar Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R.N.Singh and Shri Rakesh Johri, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case in the revised list, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned Standing Counsel is present. He states that this case is being conducted by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, who has gone to the hospital due to some personal problem. He prays that the case be adjourned for the day.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case tomorrow for hearing.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 933 of 2010

Nabi Ahmed

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K.Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Mohd. Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K.Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mohd. Zafar Khan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, duly assisted by Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative at length.</p> <p>Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

DY- No. 8 of 2016

Sinhasan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri files power on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case, a person in civil dress claiming himself to be the applicant Sinhasan Singh appeared. He states that his counsel Shri P.N.Chaturvedi has not come to the Court today being unwell; as such he prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for admission on 3.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Asheesh Agnihotri be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 361 of 2012

Sangeeta Devi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri R.K.S.Chauhan files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case, no one appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, O.A.No. 361 of 2012 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

OA. No. 302 of 2011 With M.A. No. 1878 of 2015

Brijendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case, a person in civil dress claiming himself to be the applicant Brijendra Kumar Singh appeared. He states that his counsel Shri P.N.Chaturvedi has not come to the Court today being unwell; as such he prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for admission on 10.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

TA. No. 38 of 2012

Subhash Chandra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Md Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>On call of the case, no one appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, T.A.No. 38 of 2012 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

TA. No. 1194 of 2010

Sri Ram Singh Pradhan

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Amit Sharma files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case, no one appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, T.A.No. 1194 of 2010 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

TA. No. 75 of 2011

Ashok Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case, no one appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, T.A.No. 75 of 2011 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2110 of 2015
(Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2015)

Sardar Sidheshwar Madhukar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 2110 of 2015</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 5 years, 2 months and 13 days.</p> <p>Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, who has filed power on behalf of the respondents today, prays for and is allowed six weeks' time to file objection to this application.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 26.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**EX – A No. 43 of 2015
(Inre T.A. No. 111 of 2011)**

Madhu Sudan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents states that he has received a report indicating that the matter is in progress. As such he prays for and is granted three weeks and no more time to seek instructions and file counter affidavit, showing cause as to why the judgment and order of this Court dated 14.7.2015 passed in T.A.No. 111 of 2011 has not been implemented.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 1.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Asheesh Agnihotri be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2023 of 2016
(Inre O.A. No. 520 of 2012)

Narendra Pal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Anurag Mishra files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 2023 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application moved by the heirs/legal representatives of the applicant Narendra Pal Singh (since dead), for substitution of his wife Manorama Devi and children, namely, Abhishek Singh, Nidhi Singh and Prashant Singh.</p> <p>Time was granted by this Court to the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents to file objection, if any, to this application, but no objection has been filed.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application is hereby allowed. Let necessary substitution be made by learned counsel for the applicant in the memo of O.A within a week.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 9.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Anurag Mishra be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 381 of 2014 With M.A. No. 1053 & 838 of 2013, 489 & 1223 of 2015
(Inre T.A. No. 1005 of 2010)

Birsan
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Amit Sharma files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 838 of 2013</u></p> <p>Heard Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, duly assisted by Capt Soma John, Departmental Representative on this application moved for review of judgment and order of this Court dated 10.7.2013.</p> <p>As per office report, there is delay one month and fourteen days in filing the review application. The reasons for delay have been indicated in the affidavit filed in support of this application. Rule 18 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 provides, "<i>No application for review shall be entertained unless it is filed within thirty days from the date of receipt of copy of the order sought to be reviewed.</i>" Admittedly, the application for review has been filed beyond thirty days of limitation provided with negative clause in the aforesaid Rule that <u>no application for review shall be entertained unless it is filed within thirty days.</u> Thus, the review application cannot be entertained and it being misconceived is hereby rejected.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX-A No. 2 of 2016
In re: O.A.No. 206 of 2013

Suresh Kumar Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant on this application, which has been filed having aggrieved with respect to non-implementation of the judgment and order dated 4.9.2015 passed in O.A.No. 206 of 2013 whereby this Court had partly allowed the O.A, holding that though the trial was legally valid, but the punishment awarded to the applicant was harsh. The Court had given certain directions to the respondents, which, as per learned counsel for the applicant, have not been complied with.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to obtain instructions and file reply. He shall also apprise the Court as to why and under what circumstances the directions given in the aforesaid judgment have not been complied with by the respondents.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 25.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 934 of 2010

Dhermendra Singh Baghel
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, duly assisted by Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, at length.</p> <p>Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 33 of 2012

Sherpal Chahar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi and Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>Dr. Chet Narayan Singh files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p><u>Diary No. 2406</u></p> <p>This is an application moved by the applicant, informing the Court by means of an affidavit that he wants to change his counsel Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar and Shri Rohit Kumar. He has sought permission to engage Shri P.N.Chaturvedi and Shri Vinay Pandey as his counsel to plead his case, to which Shri Rohit Kumar has no objection.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application is allowed. List this case for hearing on 22.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date. When the case is next listed, name of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi and Shri Vinay Pandey be shown as counsel for the applicant instead of Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar and Shri Rohit Kumar.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Dr. Chet Narayan Singh be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 427 of 2012

Sajan Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On the request of Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents that he is not prepared with the brief, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 3.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 191 of 2014

Ravi Shankar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>On the request of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant that he is not prepared with the brief today, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 8.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 251 of 2014

Vipin Pratap Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Lt Cdr N.Gautam and Lt Vikrant Singh, Departmental Representatives, for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K.Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, duly assisted by Lt Cdr N. Gautam and Lt Vikrant Singh, Departmental Representatives, at length.</p> <p>Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 395 of 2010

Jitendra Kumar Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R.N.Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri R.N.Singh, learned counsel for the applicant states that he is not prepared with the brief, as such he prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 11.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2372 of 2015 With M.A. No. 2373 of 2015
(Inre O.A. (A) No. 279 of 2013)

Manoj Kumar Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Singh Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 2373 of 2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel appearing for the applicant, who by means of this application has prayed that the name of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi be deleted as counsel for the applicant in the O.A since the applicant has now engaged him as his counsel to conduct this case.</p> <p>Various averments have been made in the affidavit filed in support of this application which need not be indicated here. Since the applicant, who is present in Court, states that he has engaged Shri K.K.S.Bisht as his counsel and prays that the name of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi be deleted, to which Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, who is also present in Court, has no objection, we allow this application and direct the Registry to delete the name of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi as counsel for the applicant in the O.A. When the case is next listed, name of Shri K.K.S.Bisht be now shown as counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List M.A.No. 2372 of 2015 for orders on 12.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 122 of 2015 With M.A. No. 2157 of 2015

Prashantha Kumar PG

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri T.N.Tiwari, holding brief of Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 28.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Asheesh Agnihotri be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1 of 2014

Sarbeswar Pattanaik
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava holding brief of Sri R.P.Verma prays for adjournment of the case saying that Shri Verma has not come to the Court today.</p> <p>Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, who has filed power on behalf of the respondents today, prays that he be granted four weeks' time to comply with the directions issued by the Court on 18.9.2015 and 2.11.2015. Time prayed for is allowed.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 26.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 82 of 2014 With M.A. No. 2285 of 2015

Yogesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri T.N.Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 2285 of 2015</u></p> <p>This is an application for amendment of the O.A. According to the applicant, this O.A was inadvertently filed under Section 14 of the AFT Act, 2007 instead of Section 15. He prays for converting it into Section 15 of the Act.</p> <p>The amendment prayed for is formal. Accordingly, the application is allowed. Let the amendment be incorporated during the course of the day.</p> <p>List this case for further hearing on 28.1.2016.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 82 of 2012

Mahavir Singh Rawat

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri R.K.S.Chauhan files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>Shri R.K.S.Chauhan, learned counsel appearing for the respondents prays that he be granted two weeks and no more time to file the affidavit with respect to the query made by the Court on 20.8.2015.</p> <p>List this case for further hearing on 20.1.2016. When the case is next listed, name of Shri R.K.S.Chauhan be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 191 of 2014

Ravi Shankar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, put up tomorrow for hearing.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 269 of 2014

Lt Col Manoj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Amit Sharma files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>During the course of hearing, it transpired that the original record of GCM proceedings be also produced by the respondents for perusal of the Court.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 22.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date. The respondents are directed to produce the original record of GCM proceedings on the said date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Amit Sharma be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2372 of 2015 With M.A. No 2373 of 2015
(Inre O.A. (A) 279 of 2013)

Manoj Kumar Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant as well as Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, list this case tomorrow for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**M.A. No. 2383 of 2015
(Inre O.A. 6 of 2015)**

Satya Prakash Sahni
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List revised.</p> <p>None appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case. Accordingly, M.A.No. 2383 of 2015 as well as O.A.No. 6 of 2015 are dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2396 of 2015 With M.A. No 2397 of 2015
(Inre O.A. 241 of 2014)

Bijendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p>04.01.2016 <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A.No.2396 of 2015 and M.A.No. 2397 of 2015</u></p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>M.A.No. 2396 of 2015 is an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for recall of the order dated 1.9.2015 by means of which O.A.No. 241 of 2014 was dismissed for non-prosecution. The reasons shown in the application as well as in the affidavit filed in support thereof seem to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the application for recall of the order dated 1.9.2015 is condoned.</p> <p>M.A.No. 2397 of 2015 is for recall of the order dated 1.9.2015 dismissing the O.A.No.241 of 2014 for non-prosecution. The reasons shown in the application as well as in the affidavit filed in support thereof seem to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the order dated 1.9.2015 is recalled provided the applicant deposits costs of Rs.500/- with AFT Bar Association within a period of one month. On deposit of costs as directed above, the O.A shall be restored to its original number and be listed for orders on 22.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Asheesh Agnihotri be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 211 of 2010

Shyam Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Anuj Dayal files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Anuj Dayal, learned counsel appearing for the respondents says that he is not prepared with the brief today, as such he prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed for hearing on 21.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Anuj Dayal be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 482 of 2012

Lokesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashish Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List revised.</p> <p>None appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case. Accordingly, O.A.(A) No. 482 of 2012 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 571 of 2010

Bhanwar Pal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 572, 633 and 635 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 22.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 572 of 2010

H.C.S.Rautela

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 571, 633 and 635 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 23.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 633 of 2010

Upendra Singh Chauhan

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 571, 572 and 635 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 24.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 635 of 2010

Anil Joshi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.01.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 571, 572 and 633 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 26.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>