

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX - A No. 14 of 2016
(Inre T.A. No. 107 of 2012)

Sukhbir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Case called out. No one appears for the applicant. We are informed that the Advocates are abstaining from work today.</p> <p>This execution application has been moved by the applicant being aggrieved of the inaction on the part of the respondents in implementing the judgment/order of this Court passed in T.A.No. 107 of 2012 by means of which the SCM proceedings were quashed and certain directions were issued in favour of the applicant. The grievance of the applicant is that the respondents have not implemented the aforesaid judgment/order in letter and spirit.</p> <p>Copy of this application was served on the respondents on 9.2.2016. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks' time to seek instructions and inform the Court about the position regarding implementation of the aforesaid judgment/order.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 10.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 256 of 2016 with M.A. No. 257 of 2016
(Inre O.A. No. 518 of 2012)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Gajendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the applicant alongwith Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 256 of 2016</u></p> <p>Heard Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the applicants, duly assisted by Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative on this application for condonation of the delay in filing the application (M.A.No. 257 of 2016) under Section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for leave to appeal before the Apex Court.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 23 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 specifically provides that an application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal. Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond 30 days from the date of the decision of the Tribunal, it cannot be entertained. The reasons given in the affidavit filed in support of the application too do not seem to be genuine. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay is hereby rejected.</p>

So for as the application for leave to appeal (M.A.No.257 of 2016) is concerned, we have gone through our judgment/order dated 2.12.2015. We do not find that any question of general public importance is involved in the matter so as to grant leave to appeal before the Apex Court. Consequently, the application for leave to appeal (M.A.No. 257 of 2016) is also rejected.

(Lt Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

LN/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2112 of 2015
(Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2015)

Shamsher Chand
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, no one appears for the applicant. We are informed that the Advocates are abstaining from work today. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent states that objection to the delay condonation application is ready and he would be filing the same in the Registry during the course of the day while serving a copy thereof on the learned counsel for the applicant. If the same is filed, learned counsel for the applicant may file reply, if any, serving a copy thereof on the learned counsel for the respondents within three weeks.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 23.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 520 of 2012

Manorma Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, no one appears for the applicant. We are informed that the Advocates are abstaining from work today. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>We also find that necessary substitution has been incorporated in the memo of O.A by the learned counsel for the applicant in compliance of our order dated 9.2.2016, as per office report dated 10.2.2016.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 9.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) LN/</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 189 of 2015 With M.A. No. 2196 of 2015

Hav Pramod Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, a person in civil dress claiming himself to be the applicant Hav Pramod Kumar appeared. He states that the Advocates are abstaining from work today and his counsel has not come to the Court. He prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 19.4.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 233 of 2015

Mithilesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, no one appears for the applicant. We are informed that the Advocates are abstaining from work today. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 28.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) LN/</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 254 of 2012
(Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Dhananjay Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Shri Asheesh Agnihotri be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 254 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A, which, as per Office Report, is delayed by 8 months and 25 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the respondents on 9.2.2016.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file objection to this application.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 21.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 188 of 2013 With M.A. No. 1075 of 2014

Ex Wing Cdr Ashwini Kumar Handa
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri S.S.Rajawat, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri S.S.Rajawat, learned counsel for the applicant appeared alongwith his client Wing Commander Ashwini Kumar Handa. He informs that as per instructions received by the applicant from his counsel at Delhi, the application for transfer, which had been moved by his client before the Principal Bench of this Tribunal at Delhi, has been rejected. Let this fact be brought on record by means of an affidavit within one week.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 19.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) LN/</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 83 of 2015 34 With M.A. No. 2042 of 2015

Bhairab Kumar Rai
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R.Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The matter pertains to the year 2015. The petition was admitted on 7.4.2015. Counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 10.5.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) LN/</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 223 of 2015

Sub Arun Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Copy of counter affidavit has been served upon the learned counsel for the applicant by Shri Amit Sharma, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents. Shri Amit Sharma states that he will file counter affidavit in the Registry of this Court during the course of the day.</p> <p>Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant prays for two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time prayed for is granted.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 4.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 72 of 2015

Ugra Sen Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P.Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents states that he will be filing counter affidavit in the Registry of this Court after serving a copy thereof on the counsel for the applicant within two days. If counter affidavit is filed, the applicant shall thereafter have two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 11.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 219 of 2011

Gupteshwer Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R.Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narain Singh, learned counsel for the respondents along with Wing Commander Sardul Singh, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On 30.11.2015, the Bench of Hon'ble D.P.Singh, J and Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra had observed that this is a part-heard matter. Further, on 22.12.2015, the Bench of Hon'ble V.K.Dixit, J and Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan has also observed that this is a part-heard matter. No doubt the jurisdiction with respect to the matter in issue i.e. dismissal lies before this Bench, but it is not a part-heard matter of this Bench.</p> <p>However, since the matter pertains to the year 2011 and counter and rejoinder affidavits have been exchanged, we direct this case to be listed for final hearing on 7.7.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date. The original record pertaining to SCM proceedings shall be produced by the respondents before this Court on the said date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 106 of 2013

Mahabir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Sidharth Dhaon, Advocate files power on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Shri Sidharth Dhaon be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri R.Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri R.Chandra, learned Amicus Curiae appearing for the applicant states that he is not prepared with the brief. As prayed by him, list this case for hearing on 19.7.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 48 of 2011

Taj Mohd Sheikh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>10.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yashovardhan Swaroop, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashovardhan Swaroop, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents, duly assisted by Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The question, which has been put forward by Shri Yashovardhan Swaroop, learned counsel for the applicant, is that in spite of the applicant having been charged under Section 64(e) of the Army Act for accepting illegal gratification from Ex L/Nk Y. Khadaraiah, said Ex L/Nk Y. Khadaraiah was never produced. He further submits that even Shri V. Ramudu, for whom the illegal gratification is said to have been accepted by the applicant as a motive for procuring his enrollment in the Army, was never produced.</p> <p>The respondents are not in possession of the original record. As prayed by Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative that the original record shall be produced before the Court on the next date, we hereby direct this case to be listed for further hearing on 17.3.2016. The respondents shall produce the original record on the said date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 298 of 2011

Awadhesh Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of the Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our judgment and order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 223 of 2015

Sub Arun Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri Amit Sharma, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, list/put up this case tomorrow for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 59 of 2009

Jai Nath Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Shri R.K.S.Chauhan, Advocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Shri R.K.S.Chauhan be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Present: Shri R.K.S.Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On call of the case, Ms. Prasansha Singh, Advocate appeared. She states that Shri S.K.Upadhyay, learned counsel for the applicant has not come to the Court today, as such she prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List this case for orders on 16.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) LN/</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 17 of 2010
With M.A.No. 1373 of 2014 & M.A.No. 202 of 2015

Parikarma Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 711 of 2014</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the substitution application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant Parikarma Prasad is said to have died on 29.12.2013 due to heart failure. His wife Smt. Suman Devi, son Shri Pushendra Kumar and daughter Miss Vedanjali have moved for their substitution in place of the applicant vide M.A.No. 712 of 2014. Objections to this application have been filed by the respondents vide M.A.No. 1373 of 2014 and M.A.No. 202 of 2015.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard learned counsel for the parties on this application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Reasons given in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of delay in filing the substitution application seem to be genuine. Accordingly, M.A.No. 711 of 2014 is hereby allowed and the delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The application for substitution (M.A.No.712 of 2014) moved by the legal heirs of applicant Parikarma Prasad is allowed. Let the necessary parties be substituted in place of the applicant, as prayed, within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Objections filed by the respondents vide M.A.No. 1373 of 2014 and M.A.No. 202 of 2015 are hereby rejected.</p>

List this case for admission on 7.4.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

LN/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 149 of 2012

Harish Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents, duly assisted by Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative, at length.</p> <p>Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 70 of 2012 With M.A. No. 95 of 2016

Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri D.S.Kauntae, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 95 of 2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for taking the short affidavit on record, which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The application stands finally disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Amit Sharma, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents states that copy of the short affidavit filed by the applicant was received by him on 15.1.2016. He prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to obtain instructions and file reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for hearing on 12.4.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. 150 of 2012

Satendra Kumar Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case in the revised list, Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. However, in the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 22.4.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 266 of 2012

Subhash Chand
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri G.S.Sikarwar, Advocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Shri G.S.Sikarwar be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri D.S.Kauntae, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S.Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri D.S.Kauntae, learned counsel for the applicant, list this case for hearing on 11.3.2016.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A) LN/</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1346 of 2010

Shesh Nath Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ziauddin Khan, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondents along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Ziauddin Khan, learned counsel for the applicant states that he is not prepared with the brief, as such he prays that the case be adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 18.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>