

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 31 of 2012 with M.A. No. 2007 of 2015
and M.A. No 2486 of 2016

Shatrughan Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Col (Retd) R.N. Singh, Shri V.A.Singh and Shri B.P.S Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Ms Appoli Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents has filed written arguments which are taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the Petitioner does not intend to file any further written arguments.</p> <p>Maj Soma John OIC Legal Cell stated across the bar that the entire original records shall be made over to the Registrar General of the Tribunal today itself in sealed cover.</p> <p>Since judgment in the case is already reserved, the office is directed to place the entire file before us for preparation of judgment.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

EX-A. No. 14 of 2012 with Dy No. 326 of 2015
Inre T.A. No. 394 of 2010

V. N. Saxena

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Chief of the Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Anoop Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri D.K. Pandey, Learned Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Put up today itself before court No. 1 for disposal of recall application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No.2565 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2612 of 2016
Inre T.A. No. 394 of 2010

V.N. Saxena

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Put up today itself before court No. 1 for disposal of recall application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

EX-A. No. 185 of 2016 In re T.A. No. 1481 of 2010

Rustam Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shani Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Learned Counsel for the respondents Shri Asheesh Agnihotri submits that Applicant has not submitted family details in the prescribed form (IAFY- 1948A).</p> <p>List this case on 22.12.16 in terms of earlier order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

EX-A. No. 232 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 315 of 2015

Mange Ram

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Order of the Tribunal dated 19.11.2015 has been complied with by the respondents by deciding the statutory appeal on 28 .11.2016 and copy of the same has been provided to the applicant. Accordingly this Execution Application is rejected as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2382 of 2015 In Re OA No. nil of 2015

Smt Sharda Subba
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri K.C.Ghildyal and Shri R.Chandra Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case on 12.01.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 1459 of 2016

Md. Arshad

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri K.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A weeks' further time is allowed to the applicant to file reply to the objection filed by learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 12.1.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2204 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2205 of 2016
Inre T.A. No. 328 of 2010

Union of India & Others Applicant- Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant- Respondent

Versus

Anand Kumar Singh Respondent- Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent- Petitioner

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - respondent and assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2204 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act in filing application for leave to appeal. The application has been preferred after lapse of 9 months and 02 days, which is beyond statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2205 of 2016</u></p> <p>Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2361 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2362 of 2016
Inre T.A. No. 1103 of 2010

R.N. Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None appeared for the petitioner. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. List this case on 13.01.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2363 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2364 of 2016
Inre T.A. No. 1065 of 2010

R.N. Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None appeared for the petitioner. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. List this case on 13.01.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

O.A. No. 102 of 2012 with M.A. No. 2591 of 2016
& M.A. No. 2626 of 2016

Jeetendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Supplementary affidavit has been filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>List this case on 19.01.2017 to enable the learned counsel for the applicant to file reply, if any to the Supplementary affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

O.A. No 169 of 2015 with M.A No 2394 of 2016

Chanchal Kakoti Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri Ld. Counsel for the respondents 1 to 5, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell. Shri Dileep Singh, Ld Counsel for Respondent nos. 6 and 7.</p> <p>Smt Panita Kakoti is present in person alongwith her lawyer in terms of earlier order. However, parents of Applicant and respondent no 6 are not present. Smt Panita Kakoti, stated that her mother is seriously ill and her father has come alongwith her. She sought adjournment on the ground of illness of her mother.</p> <p>In view of the above, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed on 16.12.2016 on which date both the parties alongwith their parents shall appear in person.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

O.A. No 40 of 2016 with M.A No 1771 of 2016

Nitu Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri R.Chandra Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Shri Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Two weeks' further time is allowed to learned counsel for the Applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.01.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

O.A. No. 173 of 2016

Mohan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>The Report submitted by Mrs Appoli Shrivastava, Mediator is taken on record. The parties are not agreeing for amicable settlement of the case.</p> <p>List this case on 23.01.2017 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

R.A. No. 50 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 174 of 2009

Union of India & Others Applicant- Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant-Respondent

Versus

Rama Shankar Respondent-Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent- Petitioner

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Mrs. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant – respondent, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell and Shri Yash Pal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent-petitioner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No. 50 of 2016 With M.A. No. 1260 of 2016.</u></p> <p>After hearing learned counsel for the applicant - respondent , Mrs Appoli Srivastava, and Shri Yash Pal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent- petitioner, we modify the order dated 06.11.2015 passed in T.A. No. 174 of 2009 and direct that the remaining cost of Rs. 25,000/- instead of sending it to the Bar Association shall be given to the petitioner.</p> <p>Accordingly let the complete amount of cost be given to the petitioner within one month from today. The earlier order dated 06.11.2015 stands modified as above.</p> <p>These applications are disposed of accordingly with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No 9 of 2015

Shamsul Waris

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S.Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Two weeks and no more further time is allowed to file supplementary counter affidavit in terms of earlier order. Supplementary rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 30.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A.(A) No. 314 of 2015

Shiv Ram Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the Respondents two weeks' time is allowed to file Counter Affidavit .</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.01.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 149 of 2010

Virendra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar & Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri G.S. Sikarwar Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.01.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce the original record in terms of earlier order as well as the case laws.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 572 of 2010

H.C.S. Rautela

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar & Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 30.01.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 633 of 2010

Upendra Singh Chauhan

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar & Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Md. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 30.01.2017 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>BL</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 96 of 2016

Maj Gen D.V.S. Rana

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On case being taken up, OIC Legal Cell sought adjournment of the case submitting that Col M.S who was to come alongwith entire record could not come as the flight by which he was travelling could not land at Lucknow on account of dense fog and the flight had to return to Delhi.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed on 22.12.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

O.A. No 129 of 2013

Rajendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P.Pandey, Shri S.K.Singh and Shri R.P.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents.</p> <p>OIC Legal Cell seeks adjournment of the case submitting that Mrs. Deepti P.Bajpai who is to argue the case is ill.</p> <p>In view of the above, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed on 31.01.2017 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 259 of 2013

Chun Bahadur

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A week's further time is allowed to learned counsel for the respondents to file reply to supplementary affidavit in terms of the order of the Court dated 12.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for hearing on 02.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

O.A. No. 260 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2444 of 2016
& M.A. No. 2631 of 2016

Col Ran Singh Dudee Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Applicant in person and Mrs. Appoli Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>On case being taken up, OIC Legal Cell sought adjournment of the case submitting that Col M.S who was to come alongwith entire record could not come as the flight by which he was travelling could not land at Lucknow on account of dense fog and the flight had to return to Delhi.</p> <p>Applicant who is appearing in person, has objected to adjournment submitting that his career is at stake on account of repeated adjournments of the case.</p> <p>Be that as it may, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed on 22.12.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 37 of 2010

Ghanshyam

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri S.P. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the Respondents prays for time to file supplementary counter affidavit whereby he wants to bring on record certain facts and documents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, two weeks' time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file supplementary counter affidavit. Supplementary rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 16.01.2017 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 156 of 2010

Babu Lal Yadav

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None for the Petitioner and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the Applicant is bed ridden on account of illness, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed on 09.02.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 579 of 2010

Harish Chandra

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Anand Yadav, learned counsel holding brief of Shri Mohd Shariq Khan, Amicus Curiae, appearing for the Petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p>Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate appeared and made mention that since Shri Mohd Shariq Khan on account of being ill, has not attended the Court today and prayed for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>Applicant is also present in person made a statement across the bar that his earlier counsel namely Shri Sachin Tiwari and Shri Dinesh Mishra, were paid a sum of Rs 12000/- as fee to appear on his behalf in the Tribunal but they demanded Rs 3 lac again on the excuse that the amount has to be paid to someone in the Tribunal. He further states that on account of his inability to pay the said amount, they did not appear in the case and the Court had to appoint Amicus Curiae. The statement made by the Applicant leaves us startled.</p> <p>The Applicant may file affidavit alongwith copy of the papers prepared by the aforesaid two counsel within two</p>

days. Shri Anand Yadav Advocate holding brief of learned counsel for the Applicant shall assist the Applicant in preparing the affidavit.

The case shall be listed again on **15.12.2016** for orders.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

MH

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 16 of 2015

Kamal Singh Bhadoria Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within three weeks next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for hearing on 16.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 15 of 2016

Gopal Rai

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Soma John, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the Petitioner is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for hearing on 31.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

O.A. (A) No. 309 of 2016

Gyanendra Madhukar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Devansh Bhardwaj, Learned Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>From a perusal of the record, it would appear that the learned counsel for the Applicant has assailed the order dated 23.09.2016 deciding the Post Confirmation Petition which is the outcome of General Court Martial proceeding initiated against him. It is surprising that the original order stemming from General Court Martial proceeding imposing punishment on the Applicant has not been assailed except the order passed on Post Confirmation Petition preferred by the Applicant under section 164 (2) of the Army Act.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the Applicant while regretting inconvenience, states that he may be granted time to move Amendment Application for amending the prayer clause.</p> <p>In view of the above, one month's time is granted to the learned counsel for the Applicant to move Amendment Application.</p> <p>List this case for admission on 19.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

EX-A. No. 243 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 239 of 2013

Ram Kishor Dwivedi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Applicant in person and Shri D.K. Pandey, Learned Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>On case being called out, a person attired in civil dress appeared claiming himself to be Applicant and prayed for adjournment submitting that his counsel namely Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, being ill, is bed-ridden and has not attended the Court today. From a perusal of the record, it transpires that Shri P.N. Chaturvedi has signed the affidavit while identifying the Applicant on 23.10.2016. Shri P.N. Chaturvedi is stated to be bed-ridden for the last couple of months. It is indeed surprising that on one hand, he is getting his cases adjourned on ground of being ill and on the other hand, new cases are being filed with his signatures affixed thereon. This conduct of the learned counsel does not commend to us for acceptance.</p> <p>Be that as it may, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed on 09.03.2017 for admission.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

M.A. No. 2660 of 2016 Alongwith M.A. No. 2661 of 2016
Inre O.A. No. 196 of 2015

Chief of the Army Staff & Others Applicant- Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant- Respondents

Versus

Smt. Sangita Devi Respondent- Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent- Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant- respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell and Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent - applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No 2660 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 19.09.2016, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 196 of 2015.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of one month and sixteen days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media</p>

India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:

"Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments" and since "the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government."

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

"Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitationThey cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings".

In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 2661 of 2016

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also rejected.

**(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)**

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

M.A. No. 2662 of 2016 Alongwith M.A. No. 2663 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 24 of 2016

Union of India & Others Applicants- Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants- Respondent

Versus

Ramesh Babu Respondent- Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent-Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants- respondent, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No 2662 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 17.08.2016, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 24 of 2016.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of two months and eighteen days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC</p>

in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:

"Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments" and since "the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government."

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

"Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitationThey cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings".

In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 2663 of 2016

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also rejected.

**(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)**

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

M.A. No. 2664 of 2016 Alongwith M.A. No. 2665 of 2016
Inre T.A. No. 47 of 2011

Rameshwar Singh Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None for the petitioner and Shri Md. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On case being called out, there was no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an Application for recall of the order dated 12.08.2016 whereby the T.A was dismissed for default and for restoration of the T.A to its original number.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We are informed that Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the Applicant being ill, is not attending the Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed on 06.04.2017 for admission.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

M.A. No. 2670 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 218 of 2016

Shitla Prasad Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri S. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is an Application for recall of the order whereby the O.A was dismissed for default.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case before the Bench concerned on 27.01.2017 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

M.A. No. 537 of 2016 with R.A. No. 20 of 2016 & M.A. No. 2233 of 2016
Inre M.A. No. 1652 of 2014

Smt. Sagro Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None for the applicant and Shri R.K.S.Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case called out but there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 06.04.2017 for orders before the Bench concerned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

O.A. No. 266 of 2012 with M.A. No. 1584 of 2016

Shubhash Chand

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri D.S. Kauntae, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case for hearing on 27.02.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Cases of Court No. 2 Taken in Court No. 1

O.A. No. 155 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2643 of 2016

Brig H.M.S. Chatwal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.12.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : Shri S.S. Rajawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, assisted by Col Kamal Singh, OIC Legal Cell</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case for hearing on 18.01.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>