

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**M.A. No. 2348 of 2015 with M.A. No. 2349 of 2015**  
**(Inre: T.A. No. 107 of 2012)**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

**Sukhbir Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the applicants along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This Misc. Application No. 2348 of 2015 for condoning the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal under Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007 against our judgment and order dated 20.10.2015, passed in T.A. No. 107 of 2012 has been filed by the applicants (Union of India &amp; Others).</p> <p>As stated in paragraph no. 4 of the affidavit, filed by the applicants, the application for leave to appeal is delayed by about two months.</p> <p>As per provisions of Section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 an application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal.</p> <p>The reason given in the application for condoning the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal is not satisfactory and does not sound enough so as to condone the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal. We also do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved so as to grant leave. Further the ground taken for the delay, according to their own version, is that "<i>due to involvement in various agencies of the government and also getting the approval on the same, the delay has occurred</i>". The ground appears to be totally vague.</p> <p>Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>Office of the Chief Post Master General &amp; others v. Living Media India Ltd. &amp; another</b> reported in <i>2012 STPL(LE) 46200 SC</i> has observed that "<i>condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments</i>" and since "<i>the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes</i></p>

*cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.” The Hon’ble Supreme Court further observed that since “the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issued involved including the prescribed period of limitation ..... They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”*

Accordingly, we hereby reject Misc. Application No. 2348 of 2015 for condonation of delay.

While going through our aforesaid judgment and order dated 28.10.2015 we do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision so as to grant leave. Further since the application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof the application for leave to appeal, being M.A. No. 2349 of 2015, also stands rejected.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)  
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)  
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**M.A. No. 2350 of 2015 with M.A. No. 2351 of 2015**  
**(Inre: O.A. No. 483 of 2012)**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

**Kulbeer Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the applicants along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This Misc. Application No. 2350 of 2015 for condoning the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal under Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007 against our judgment and order dated 21.8.2015, passed in O.A. No. 483 of 2012 has been filed by the applicants (Union of India &amp; Others).</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 2 months and 22 days in filing the application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>As per provisions of Section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 an application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal.</p> <p>The reason given in the application for condoning the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal is not satisfactory and does not sound enough so as to condone the delay in filing the application for leave to appeal. We also do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved so as to grant leave. Further the ground taken for the delay, according to their own version, is that "<i>due to involvement in various agencies of the government and also getting the approval on the same, the delay has occurred</i>". The ground appears to be totally vague.</p> <p>Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>Office of the Chief Post Master General &amp; others v. Living Media India Ltd. &amp; another</b> reported in <i>2012 STPL(LE) 46200 SC</i> has observed that "<i>condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments</i>" and since "<i>the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes</i></p>

*cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.” The Hon’ble Supreme Court further observed that since “the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issued involved including the prescribed period of limitation ..... They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”*

Accordingly, we hereby reject Misc. Application No. 2350 of 2015 for condonation of delay.

While going through our aforesaid judgment and order dated 21.8.2015 we do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision so as to grant leave. Further since the application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof the application for leave to appeal, being M.A. No. 2351 of 2015, also stands rejected.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)  
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)  
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 76 of 2015 with O.A. No. 74 of 2015**

**Jagdish Singh Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned Standing Counsel submits that certain observation has been made by the Union of India with respect to grant of MACP to the persons who had earlier given unwillingness for the cadre/promotion course. Let the same be brought on record by means of an affidavit within three weeks.</p> <p>List this case for orders/admission on 8.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)                      (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 78 of 2010**

**Shyam Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This petition has been filed by the petitioner aggrieved against the outcome of SCM proceedings by means of which he has been dismissed from service.</p> <p>The main argument of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the copy of SCM proceeding was not supplied to the petitioner even though valuable right is vested in his client to get a copy of the same.</p> <p>While going through the petition we do not find any ground taken therein by the petitioner that he was not supplied a copy of the SCM proceedings.</p> <p>Shri Chaturvedi submits that the fact of not giving copy of SCM proceedings has been informed to him by his client verbally. Shri Chaturvedi, however, prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file an affidavit in this regard.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel submits that the proceedings have been destroyed according to Rule 146(2) of the Army Rules which provides that "<i>The proceedings of a summary Court-Martial shall be preserved for not less than three years, with the records of the corps or department to which the accused belonged.</i>" and the writ petition was initially filed before the Lucknow Bench of the Allahabad High Court in the year 1990 after serving a copy of the same on learned Standing Counsel on 9.11.1990, i.e. after about 6 years of punishment awarded to the petitioner, as such the same</p>

cannot be produced before the Court now. It has further been stated by learned Standing Counsel that as per Rule 147 of the Army Rules no request, in writing, was made by the petitioner for supplying a copy of SCM proceedings within a reasonable time. Now the fact is, according to learned Standing Counsel, that the SCM proceedings have already been destroyed.

All these points shall be looked into on the next date of listing.

List this case for orders/admission on 5.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)  
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)  
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 1308 of 2010**

**Ram Kirpal Pal**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri R.K.S. Chauhan on behalf respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On 27.11.2015 this Court had granted time to learned counsel for the applicant to file an amendment application afresh. Today, on call of the case, learned counsel for the applicant again prays for two weeks' further time to file amendment application. Time prayed for is granted.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 25.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)                      (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>



Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 876 of 2010**

**R.B. Mishra**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Sharma on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 6 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>While going through the record it transpires that copies of Court Martial Proceedings have not been filed by the applicant. Whereas learned counsel for the applicant, Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, submits at Bar that copies of Court Martial Proceedings have been filed by him in the Registry.</p> <p>The office is, therefore, directed to give a fresh report as to whether copies of Court Martial Proceedings have already been filed by the applicant but it could not be brought on record by the office.</p> <p>If it is found that copies of Court Martial Proceedings have not been filed and Shri Chaturvedi gives a false statement at Bar, Shri Chaturvedi will explain on the next date as to why the matter of giving false statement at Bar be not referred to the Bar Council.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 12.1.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)                      (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**EX.-A. No. 49 of 2015**  
**(Inre : O.A. No. 250 of 2011)**

**Smt. Januka Devi**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. When the case is next listed his name be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This Execution Application has been preferred by the applicant aggrieved against non-implementation of our judgment and order dated 26.8.2015, passed in O.A. No. 250 of 2011 whereby while partly allowing the O.A. this Court had directed the respondents to pay family pension and other ancillary benefits within a period of two months from the date of the aforesaid judgment and order to the applicant from the date she became entitled to.</p> <p>A copy of the execution application has been served on learned Standing Counsel on 22.12.2015.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel prays for and is granted three weeks' time to obtain instruction with respect to outcome of the compliance of our aforesaid judgment and order dated 26.8.2015.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 1.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 2402 of 2015**  
**(Inre : O.A. No. 143 of 2015)**

**Shaikh Ibrahim**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 3 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar holding brief of Shri Raghuvansh Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>Misc. Application No. 2402 of 2015.</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for recall of our order dated 7.12.2015 dismissing O.A. No. 143 of 2015 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>The reason shown in paragraph no. 2 of the affidavit seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the order dated 7.12.2015 is hereby recalled. The O.A. is restored to its original number.</p> <p>List this case for orders/admission on 12.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed the name of Shri Raghuvansh Chandra be shown as counsel for the applicant instead of Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) and Shri Rohit Kumar.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 387 of 2011**

**Ajay Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed, his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate, appeared and stated that Shri Nishant Verma, learned counsel for the applicant, could not come to this Court today since his mother is not well. Shri Rohit Kumar, therefore, prays for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>List this case for admission on 9.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)                      (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 78 of 2010**

**Shyam Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the applicant at some length.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, put up/list this case tomorrow, i.e. on 6.1.2016 for further hearing on admission of this O.A.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)                      (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 995 of 2015**  
**(Inre : O.A. Nil of 2015)**

**Puran Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rajjan Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned Standing Counsel states that he has not received any instruction. He, therefore, prays for and is granted three weeks' further time to obtain instruction and also to place before the Court on the next date of listing the order of dismissal, if any passed against the applicant and the date of passing such order.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 25.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 1026 of 2015 with M.A. No. 1570 of 2015**

**Guddu Lal**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4 is taken on record. When the case is next listed his name be shown as counsel for the said respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri D.P. Dutt Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the applicant on delay condonation application in filing the O.A.</p> <p>During the course of hearing it transpires that inspite of prayer having been made in the O.A. for taking back the applicant in service from 30.8.2010, no document is available on record to suggest that he was discharged from service without affording him any opportunity. On the other hand learned Standing Counsel placed before the Court the original handwritten application of the applicant where in the applicant has prayed before the authorities for his discharge since he do not intend to continue in service.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel is directed to place the handwritten application of the applicant seeking discharge from service on record by means of an affidavit and to seek instruction as to whether the applicant has given any such application before the authorities concerned or not since according to learned counsel for the applicant no such application was given by the applicant and his signature was forcibly obtained. Learned Standing Counsel prays for and is granted four weeks' time to seek instruction.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays that a copy of the aforesaid application of the applicant seeking discharge from service be given to him</p>

so that he may talk to his client regarding this. Learned Standing Counsel is directed to hand over a copy of this application to learned counsel for the applicant within two days.

List the delay condonation application on 15.2.2016 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)  
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)  
Member (J)

PG/



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 2124 of 2015 with M.A. No. 2116 of 2015**  
**(Inre : O.A. No. 256 of 2013)**

**Shiv Pratap Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt. Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Heard Shri P.N. Chaturvedi on M.A. No. 2124 of 2015 for condoning the delay in filing M.A. No. 2116 of 2015 as well as on M.A. No. 2116 of 2015 for recall of the order dated 2.9.2014 dismissing O.A. No. 256 of 2013 for non-prosecution.</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 11 months and 24 days in filing the recall application.</p> <p>The reason show in paragraph no. 2 of the affidavit for the delay in filing the recall application does not seem to be appropriate. Shri Chaturvedi prays for and is granted ten days' time to file better affidavit.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 18.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**M.A. No. 2372 of 2015 With M.A. No. 2373 of 2015**  
**(Inre O.A. (A) No. 279 of 2013)**

**Manoj Kumar Sharma**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Singh Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>M.A.No. 2373 of 2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel appearing for the applicant, who by means of this application has prayed that the name of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi be deleted as counsel for the applicant in the O.A since the applicant has now engaged him as his counsel to conduct this case.</p> <p>Various averments have been made in the affidavit filed in support of this application which need not be indicated here. Since the applicant, who is present in Court, states that he has engaged Shri K.K.S.Bisht as his counsel and prays that the name of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi be deleted, to which Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, who is also present in Court, has no objection, we allow this application and direct the Registry to delete the name of Shri P.N.Chaturvedi as counsel for the applicant in the O.A. When the case is next listed, name of Shri K.K.S.Bisht be now shown as counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List M.A.No. 2372 of 2015 for orders on 12.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 122 of 2015 With M.A. No. 2157 of 2015**

**Prashantha Kumar PG**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri T.N.Tiwari, holding brief of Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 28.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Asheesh Agnihotri be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 1 of 2014**

**Sarbeswar Pattanaik**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava holding brief of Sri R.P.Verma prays for adjournment of the case saying that Shri Verma has not come to the Court today.</p> <p>Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, who has filed power on behalf of the respondents today, prays that he be granted four weeks' time to comply with the directions issued by the Court on 18.9.2015 and 2.11.2015. Time prayed for is allowed.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 26.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 82 of 2014 With M.A. No. 2285 of 2015**

**Yogesh Kumar**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri T.N.Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>M.A.No. 2285 of 2015</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for amendment of the O.A. According to the applicant, this O.A was inadvertently filed under Section 14 of the AFT Act, 2007 instead of Section 15. He prays for converting it into Section 15 of the Act.</p> <p>The amendment prayed for is formal. Accordingly, the application is allowed. Let the amendment be incorporated during the course of the day.</p> <p>List this case for further hearing on 28.1.2016.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 82 of 2012**

**Mahavir Singh Rawat**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Shri R.K.S.Chauhan files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>Shri R.K.S.Chauhan, learned counsel appearing for the respondents prays that he be granted two weeks and no more time to file the affidavit with respect to the query made by the Court on 20.8.2015.</p> <p>List this case for further hearing on 20.1.2016. When the case is next listed, name of Shri R.K.S.Chauhan be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. (A) No. 191 of 2014**

**Ravi Shankar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, put up tomorrow for hearing.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. (A) No. 269 of 2014**

**Lt Col Manoj Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Capt Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Amit Sharma files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. Let it be taken on record.</p> <p>During the course of hearing, it transpired that the original record of GCM proceedings be also produced by the respondents for perusal of the Court.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 22.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date. The respondents are directed to produce the original record of GCM proceedings on the said date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Amit Sharma be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>



Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 2372 of 2015 With M.A. No 2373 of 2015  
(Inre O.A. (A) 279 of 2013)**

**Manoj Kumar Sharma**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri K.K.S.Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant as well as Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, list this case tomorrow for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 2383 of 2015  
(Inre O.A. 6 of 2015)**

**Satya Prakash Sahni**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List revised.</p> <p>None appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case. Accordingly, M.A.No. 2383 of 2015 as well as O.A.No. 6 of 2015 are dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

**M.A. No. 2396 of 2015 With M.A. No 2397 of 2015**  
**(Inre O.A. 241 of 2014)**

**Bijendra Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b>04.01.2016</b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p><b><u>M.A.No.2396 of 2015 and M.A.No. 2397 of 2015</u></b></p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>M.A.No. 2396 of 2015 is an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for recall of the order dated 1.9.2015 by means of which O.A.No. 241 of 2014 was dismissed for non-prosecution. The reasons shown in the application as well as in the affidavit filed in support thereof seem to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the application for recall of the order dated 1.9.2015 is condoned.</p> <p>M.A.No. 2397 of 2015 is for recall of the order dated 1.9.2015 dismissing the O.A.No.241 of 2014 for non-prosecution. The reasons shown in the application as well as in the affidavit filed in support thereof seem to be genuine. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the order dated 1.9.2015 is recalled provided the applicant deposits costs of Rs.500/- with AFT Bar Association within a period of one month. On deposit of costs as directed above, the O.A shall be restored to its original number and be listed for orders on 22.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Asheesh Agnihotri be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 211 of 2010**

**Shyam Kumar**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative, for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Anuj Dayal files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Anuj Dayal, learned counsel appearing for the respondents says that he is not prepared with the brief today, as such he prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and is directed to be listed for hearing on 21.1.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>When the case is next listed, name of Shri Anuj Dayal be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. (A) No. 482 of 2012**

**Lokesh Kumar**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashish Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>List revised.</p> <p>None appears for the applicant nor is there any request to pass over or adjourn the case. Accordingly, O.A.(A) No. 482 of 2012 is dismissed for non-prosecution.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 571 of 2010**

**Bhanwar Pal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 572, 633 and 635 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 22.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 572 of 2010**

**H.C.S.Rautela**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 571, 633 and 635 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 23.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 633 of 2010**

**Upendra Singh Chauhan**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 571, 572 and 635 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 24.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>



Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 2**

**T.A. No. 635 of 2010**

**Anil Joshi**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.01.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen A.M. Verma , Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Capt, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>This case was directed to be listed together with T.A.Nos. 571, 572 and 633 of 2010 by order dated 17.11.2015. It comes out from the record that all the aforesaid four cases relate to different persons, who were tried separately on different dates on different charges and different punishments were awarded to them. It appears that these cases have wrongly been clubbed together. Accordingly, we direct that they be delinked and listed separately for hearing.</p> <p>List this case for hearing on 26.2.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt Gen A. M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>