

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 392 of 2016 with M.A. No. with M.A. No. 393 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 838 of 2010)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Raji Hasan
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants, along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 392 of 2016.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by the applicants, for condoning the delay in filing M.A. No. 393 of 2016, under Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007, for grant of leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court against our judgment and order dated 17.9.2015, passed in T.A. No. 838 of 2010.</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 4 months and 03 days in filing M.A. No. 393 of 2016.</p> <p>Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007 provides that "<i>An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal</i>".</p> <p>Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General & others v. Living Media India Ltd. & another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 has observed that "<i>condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments</i>" and since "<i>the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.</i>"</p> <p>We find that this application has been moved after expiry of 30 days from the date of our aforesaid judgment and order dated 17.9.2015, as such</p>

this application cannot be entertained being barred by limitation for granting leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Apart from the above, even the grounds taken in the affidavit in support of the application for the delay in filing M.A. No. 393 of 2016 does not seem to be genuine and acceptable. Accordingly, M.A. No. 392 of 2016 is hereby rejected.

Apart from the above, we, while going through our aforesaid judgment and order dated 17.9.2015, do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved in our decision so as to grant leave to the applicant for filing an appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Accordingly, M.A. No. 393 of 2016, for grant of leave to the applicants, also stands rejected.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 396 of 2016 with M.A. No. with M.A. No. 397 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 260 of 2014)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Bhaju Ram Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants, along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 396 of 2016.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by the applicants, for condoning the delay in filing M.A. No. 397 of 2016, under Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007, for grant of leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court against our judgment and order dated 16.11.2015, passed in O.A. No. 260 of 2014.</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 2 months and 05 days in filing M.A. No. 397 of 2016.</p> <p>Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007 provides that "<i>An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal</i>".</p> <p>Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General & others v. Living Media India Ltd. & another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 has observed that "<i>condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments</i>" and since "<i>the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.</i>"</p> <p>We find that this application has been moved after expiry of 30 days from the date of our aforesaid judgment and order dated 16.11.2015, as such</p>

this application cannot be entertained being barred by limitation for granting leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Apart from the above, even the grounds taken in the affidavit in support of the application for the delay in filing M.A. No. 397 of 2016 does not seem to be genuine and acceptable. Accordingly, M.A. No. 396 of 2016 is hereby rejected.

Apart from the above, we, while going through our aforesaid judgment and order dated 16.11.2015, do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved in our decision so as to grant leave to the applicant for filing an appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Accordingly, M.A. No. 397 of 2016, for grant of leave to the applicants, also stands rejected.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 398 of 2016 with M.A. No. with M.A. No. 399 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 167 of 2009)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Avadhesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants, along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 398 of 2016.</u></p> <p>This is an application, filed by the applicants, for condoning the delay in filing M.A. No. 399 of 2016, under Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007, for grant of leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court against our judgment and order dated 4.11.2015, passed in T.A. No. 167 of 2009.</p> <p>As per office report there is a delay of 2 months and 15 days in filing M.A. No. 399 of 2016.</p> <p>Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007 provides that "<i>An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal</i>".</p> <p>Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General & others v. Living Media India Ltd. & another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 has observed that "<i>condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments</i>" and since "<i>the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.</i>"</p> <p>We find that this application has been moved after expiry of 30 days from the date of our aforesaid judgment and order dated 4.11.2015, as such</p>

this application cannot be entertained being barred by limitation for granting leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Apart from the above, even the grounds taken in the affidavit in support of the application for the delay in filing M.A. No. 399 of 2016 does not seem to be genuine and acceptable. Accordingly, M.A. No. 398 of 2016 is hereby rejected.

Apart from the above, we, while going through our aforesaid judgment and order dated 4.11.2015, do not find any point of law of general public importance is involved in our decision so as to grant leave to the applicant for filing an appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Accordingly, M.A. No. 399 of 2016, for grant of leave to the applicants, also stands rejected.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 405 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 339 of 2010)

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Krishan Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicants along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 405 of 2016.</u></p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the applicants on this application, filed under Section 31(2) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007, for grant of leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court against our judgment and order dated 8.2.2016, passed in T.A. No. 339 of 2010, whereby, giving benefit of doubt to the petitioner, we allowed this T.A. and quashed the DCM proceedings and the rejection order on the petitioner's petition by the COAS with the direction to hold the petitioner notionally in service till he attains the service which entitles him to pension and where after he shall be granted all the retiral benefits including pension. The respondents were further directed to implement the aforesaid judgment and order within a period of three months from the date of the aforesaid judgment.</p> <p>After hearing learned counsel for the applicant and going through our aforesaid judgment and order dated 8.2.2016 we do not find any valid and justiciable reason or any point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>Accordingly, this M.A. No. 405 of 2016 stands rejected.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 407 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 114 of 2015)

Pawan Agarwal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Misc. Application No. 407 of 2016.</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra holding brief of Shri K.C. Ghildiyal, learned counsel for the applicant, on this application, moved by the applicant for grant of parole as provided under Section 15(6)(d) of the A.F.T. Act, 2007.</p> <p>It has been pleaded that the mother of the applicant, Smt. Meera, is ailing from Cancer and a report of Sonography dated 19.5.2014 has been annexed along with prescription of one Dr. J.P. Tiwari dated 20.12.2015. It has further pleaded that since the condition of Smt. Meera is serious and the applicant, who is a convict and is undergoing sentence of 5 years' R.I. under Section 46(a) of the Army Act, be released on parole.</p> <p>From the record it is difficult to connect that Smt. Meera is the mother of the applicant and wife of Hira Lal Agarwal, father of the applicant.</p> <p>Further it comes out that the prayer for regular bail of the</p>

applicant was rejected by this Court on 4.9.2015.

Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one week's time to bring on record such evidence so as to connect Smt. Meera as mother of the applicant and wife of Hira Lal Agarwal.

As prayed, list this case for orders/admission on 4.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma)
Member (A)

(Justice Abdul Mateen)
Member (J)

PG/-

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX.A. No. 02 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 206 of 2013)

Suresh Kumar Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On request made by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, list this case again for orders on 1.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2124 of 2015 with M.A. No. 2116 of 2015
(Inre: O.A. No. 256 of 2013)

Shiv Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two days' further time to file better affidavit for the delay in filing the recall application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for orders on 1.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 34 of 2016 with M.A. No. 320 of 2016 & M.A. No. 368 of 2016

Surya Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri T.B. Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case learned counsel for the applicant states that he has received objection to the delay condonation application today itself. He, therefore, prays for and is granted two weeks' time to go through the objection, filed by the respondents, and to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 11.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>PG/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A.No. 995 of 2015
In re: O.A.No. Nil of 2015

Puran Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Raajan Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Maj, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 995 of 2015</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 8 months and 18 days. Objection to this application has been filed by the respondents by means of M.A.No. 1627 of 2015.</p> <p>The thrust of the argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant had preferred a petition under Section 164 of the Army Act before the Chief of the Army Staff, which is still pending and since he was waiting for the outcome of the said petition, this delay has occurred.</p> <p>Since the applicant's petition under Section 164 of the Army Act before the Chief of the Army Staff has not yet been decided, the reason shown in the application for condoning the delay in filing the O.A seems to be genuine. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay is allowed and the delay in filing the O.A is hereby condoned.</p> <p>Objection of the respondents (M.A.No. 1627 of 2015) to the aforesaid application is rejected.</p> <p>Let the O.A be registered and be listed for admission on 22.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 313 of 2015 With M.A.No. 403 of 2016

Lt Col GM Khan

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u> Present: Shri V.A.Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Maj Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 403 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application moved by the applicant with the caption "<i>Application for Amendment to the Original Application supported by an Affidavit</i>". Learned counsel for the applicant Shri V.A.Singh prays that it may be treated as an application for impleadment and not as an application for amendment. Accordingly, this application is treated to be an application for impleadment, as prayed.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on this application.</p> <p>The application is allowed. Let the two children of the applicant, namely, Shazia Khan (daughter) and Mohammad Tariq Khan (son) be impleaded as respondents No. 7 and 8 respectively in the O.A, within a week.</p> <p>After the aforesaid impleadment is made, the case shall be listed for orders on 9.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 1941 of 2015
In re: O.A.No. Nil of 2015

Santosh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Dr. Chet Narain Singh, Advocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Dr. Chet Narain Singh be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri V.A.Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Chet Narain Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Maj Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 1941 of 2015</u> This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A wherein the prayer made is that ex gratia payment to the applicant be made while quashing the order dated 12.11.2013 passed by PCAD (P) and the order dated 27.12.2013 passed by PCDA (P) as well as the order dated 30.8.2014 passed by ASC Records (South) relating to the aforesaid payment. Though time was given to the respondents to file objection to this application, but no objection has been filed.</p> <p>As per office report, there is a delay of 1 year, 4 months and 25 days in filing the O.A. The reason indicated in the application, supported by an affidavit, seem to be genuine. Considering this as well as the prayers made in the O.A, we hereby allow the application and condone the delay in filing the O.A.</p> <p>Let the O.A be registered and be listed for admission on 29.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 327 of 2015

Arun Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate files memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents, which may be taken on record. When the case is next listed, name of Shri Anurag Mishra be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Maj Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Although the point in issue being a short one, we had already granted six weeks' time to the respondents on 2.12.2015 to file counter affidavit, but no counter affidavit has been filed. Shri Anurag Mishra, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for a week's time more to file counter affidavit. As prayed, he is granted as a matter of last opportunity one week and no more time for the purpose. The applicant shall thereafter have a week's time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 18.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 73 of 2011 With M.A. No. 2360 of 2015

Kamal Kishore Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Maj Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Amit Sharma, learned Standing Counsel appeared. He states that Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, who is conducting this case as Standing Counsel for the respondents, has not come to the Court today, as such he prays that the case be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for further hearing on 3.3.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 28 of 2010

Vijay Shankar Rai
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Maj Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative.</p> <p>On call of the case in the revised list, a person in civil dress claiming himself to be the applicant Vijay Shankar Rai appeared. He states that his counsel Shri P.N.Chaturvedi is busy in another Court and prays that the case be adjourned and be listed again for orders. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 19.4.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 124 of 2014

Prem Singh Negi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. A.M. Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R.Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Maj, Ridhishri Sharma Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, it has been informed by the Bench Secretary that Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, learned Standing Counsel, who is appearing for the respondents, is out of station till 4.3.2016.</p> <p>On the last date, the record was directed to be produced by the respondents, which, as per statement of Maj Ridhishri Sharma, Departmental Representative is not available with her.</p> <p>Accordingly, we direct this case to be listed for hearing on 9.3.2016. The respondents shall ensure that the record is produced before the Court on the said date</p> <p>(Lt. Gen A.M. Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>LN/</p>