

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**

**R.A. No. 34 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 194 of 2015**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants-respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants-Respondents

Versus

**Brig H.M.S. Chatwal** Respondent-applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent-Applicant

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>This is an application for reviewing order dated 04.04.2016 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 194 of 2015.</p> <p>Submission of Ld Counsel for the applicants-respondents is that the O.A. has been allowed on technical ground on account of non-compliance of Rule 177 of the Army Rules, 1954, hence the applicants-respondents may be permitted to proceed afresh against the applicant-respondent.</p> <p>It is not disputed that the only punishment awarded to the respondent-applicant is 'Severe Displeasure Recordable' The original grievance against the respondent-applicant was of using unparliamentary language against an officer. We have already considered the arguments advanced by Ld. Counsel for the applicants-respondents at length.</p> <p>It is well settled proposition of law that in the guise of review, de novo hearing is not permissible. In the present case., the punishment awarded is only 'Severe Displeasure Recordable'. The respondent-applicant has already suffered</p>

from mental pain and agony since last so many years only because of slip of tongue. The respondent-applicant has suffered sufficiently by passage of time. There appears to be no error on the face of the record which may call for interference in exercise of powers under Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure. It is not open for the Courts/Tribunals to proceed with de novo hearing in the matter like appellate forum.

In view of observations made hereinabove, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order dated 04.04.2016.

The application is **rejected** in limine.

No order as to costs.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice D.P. Singh)**  
**Member (J)**

*anb*

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**EX.A. No. 42 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 121 of 2013**

**Abhinandan Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents, list this case on <b>06.05.2016</b> for orders in terms of earlier order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**M.A. No. 195 of 2016 with M.A. No. 858 of 2016**

**Hoshiyar Singh Shekhawat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Shri Ram Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 858 of 2016</u></b></p> <p>Objection filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents against application for condonation of delay is taken on record.</p> <p>M.A. No. 858 of 2016 is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 195 of 2016</u></b></p> <p>Cost has already been deposited. Original Receipt produced by Ld. Counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>List the case on <b>17.05.2016</b> for orders.</p> <p>In the meantime Ld Counsel for the applicant may file reply to the objection.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**O.A. No. 203 of 2015 with M.A. No. 697 of 2016**

**Mrs Poonam Tomar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Wg Cdr (Retd) A.K. Singh, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 697 of 2016</u></b></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>M.A. No. 697 of 2016 is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 203 of 2015</u></b></p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>List the case on <b>13.07.2016</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**O.A. No. 9 of 2016**

**Satish Vishwakarma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents</p> <p>Ld Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejonder affidavit, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List the case on <b>14.07.2016</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 86 of 2016**

**Abhay Patel**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India and Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present : Shri Yogendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld Counsel for the respondents assisted by Wg Cdr Sardul Singh, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Ld Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejonder affidavit, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within next one week.</p> <p>List the case on <b>15.07.2016</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**T.A. No. 120 of 2009**

**B.G. Gowda** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R.K. Agarwal, Ld Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment of the case. However, Shri Shesh Narain Pandey, Ld Counsel for the respondents opposed the prayer for adjournment.</p> <p>In the interest of justice, we adjourn the case for today. List the case on <b>13.05.2016</b> for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**T.A. No. 83 of 2012**

**Jyoti Prakash Pandey** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri B.N. Chobey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Vikrant Singh, OIC, Legal Cell (Navy).</p> <p>Head Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>Respondents may file affidavit bringing on record Chapter-1 of the Regulations of the Navy defining 'Captain'. Respondents shall also bring on record Eastern Naval Command Orders, 2010 before the Tribunal. Respondents shall come forward with specific pleading with regard to definition of Captain and right of Lieutenant to be treated as Captain or Commander of the Ship in spite of the fact that he is working as Officer-in-Charge at the Naval Training Centre.</p> <p>According to OIC, Legal Cell the Officer-in-Charge shall be deemed to be Commander for the purpose of discharge of official duties</p> <p>As prayed two weeks' time is granted to respondents to file supplementary affidavit. Petitioner shall have a week's time thereafter to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List the case on <b>14.07.2016</b> for further hearing in terms of earlier order.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>anb</i></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**T.A. No. 103 of 2012**

**Sita Ram** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner  
Versus  
**Govt of India MoD & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents</p> <p>Ld. Counsel Shri Yogesh Kesarwani informed that Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is indisposed and has not come to Court.</p> <p>As prayed, list the case on <b>18.07.2016</b> for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>Anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**O.A. No. 123 of 2010**

**Durgawati Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri V.A. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>List the case on <b>26.05.2016</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents including the record of Court of Inquiry, DV Ban, Medical Reports and opinion of Invaliding Medical Board.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 359 of 2012**

**Shiv Kant Pandey**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India and Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri S.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>We have heard Ld. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>Grievance of the applicant is that though the applicant retired as Junior Warrant Officer, but he has been paid pension of the rank of Sergeant, i.e. one rank below the rank of Junior Warrant Officer. Ld. Counsel for the respondents placed before the Tribunal order dated 05.04.2016 accordingly to which the applicant has been sanctioned pension of the rank of Junior Warrant Officer.</p> <p>Since sanction for payment of pension of the rank of Junior Warrant Officer has been accorded by the respondents, the applicant's grievance seems to have been broadly satisfied.</p> <p>However, it is provided that in view of the decision taken by the respondents, the applicant shall be paid pension forthwith of the rank of Junior Warrant Officer and PPO. Shall be issued accordingly within a month from today. The concerned Bank shall implement the PPO within one month thereafter. The entire exercise shall be completed within two months. Arrears of</p>

pension including difference of pension of the rank of Sergeant and Junior Warrant Officer shall also be paid to the applicant within the aforesaid period with effect from the date he retired.

Subject to aforesaid observations/directions, the O.A. is **disposed** of finally.

No order as to costs.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice D.P. Singh)**  
**Member (J)**

*anb*

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**O.A. No. 214 of 2013**

**Indra Shekhar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld Counsel for the respondents wants to verify the entire record which could not be done as yet.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents, list this case on <b>18.07.2016</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**O.A. No. 226 of 2014**

**Radhey Shyam Upadhya**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Applicant  
  
Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents, list the case on <b>20.05.2016</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On said date, respondents shall inform the Tribunal whether provisions contained in Regulations 159 and 160 of the Regulations have been complied with by the PCDA or not, and in case 'yes', then appropriate record shall be produced for perusal of the Court.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 150 of 2015**

**Krishan Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

**Union of India and Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R.K. Verma, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>OIC, Legal Cell submits that in compliance of order dated 14.10.2015, the respondents are processing the matter and fresh orders shall be passed soon. It is also submitted that notice has already been issued to the applicant and the department is awaiting reply of the applicant.</p> <p>Since the applicant has been restored in service and de novo proceeding is going on against him, the O.A loses its efficacy.</p> <p>With the consent of Ld Counsel for the parties, we <b>dispose</b> of the O.A.</p> <p>No order as to costs.</p> <p>It is clarified that we have not entered into the merits of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2

O.A. No. 20 of 2016

**Bal Krishna M**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

**Union of India and Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Bal Krishna M in person and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List the case on <b>26.05.2016</b> for hearing in terms of order dated 06.04.2016.</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**T.A. No. 32 of 2011**

**Muneesh Kumar** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Two weeks' further time is granted to Ld. Counsel for the applicant to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List the case on <b>25.07.2016</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2**  
**T.A. No. 67 of 2011**

**Rameshwar Singh** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner  
Versus  
**Union of India and Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>05.05.2016</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rajeev Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>List the case on <b>14.07.2016</b> for hearing to enable Ld. Counsel for the applicant to prepare the case.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed</p> <p><b>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice D.P. Singh)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>anb</i></p>