

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
EX-A No. 73 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 183 of 2015

Hari Krishna Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar & Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Ex. A. No. 73 of 2016-05-03</u></p> <p>Present Execution Application under section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 has been preferred with the grievance that the judgment and order of this Tribunal rendered on 05.02.2016 in O.A No. 183 of 2015 has not been implemented to the detriment of the Applicant.</p> <p>Let a notice be issued to the respondents concerned to show cause why the order of this Tribunal has not yet been implemented within the stipulated period. It is clarified that the Tribunal will be compelled to impose exemplary compensatory cost as well as interest thereon for the delay, if the order of the Tribunal is not complied with by the next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 05.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX-A. No. 74 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 03 of 2015

Smt. Rekha Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed today in court by Mrs Anju Singh learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Her name may be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present : Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar & Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Smt Anju Singh learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Ex A. No 74 of 2016</u></p> <p>Present Execution Application under section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 has been preferred with the grievance that the judgment and order of this Tribunal rendered on 16.11.2015 in O.A No 3 of 2015 has not been implemented to the detriment of the Applicant.</p> <p>List this case on 05.07.2016 to enable learned counsel for the respondents to seek status report with regard to compliance of the order of the Tribunal. It is clarified that counting of service by the respondents for the purposes of service benefits shall be upto the death of the husband of the Applicant. In case order is not complied with by the next date of listing, the Court would be compelled to impose exemplary compensatory cost and interest which shall be made recoverable from the salary of the erring official who is found responsible for causing delay in implementing the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;"><i>MH</i></p>

**M.A. No. 1009 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1010 of 2016
Inre O.A. No. 286 of 2012**

M.K. Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.K. Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No 1009 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 18.12.2015.</p> <p>According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred by three months and nine days.</p> <p>It is trite that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No.1010 of 2016</u></p> <p>Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the Application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 23 of 2014 with M.A. No. 830 of 2016
& M.A. No. 929 of 2016

Ranbir Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: None for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Case called out in revised list but there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p>Hence, the present O.A is dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

DY- No. 2091 of 2015

Diwan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Anand Sharan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Appoli Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for a week's further time to file reply to the objection.</p> <p>List this case on 13.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX-A. No. 57 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 1292 of 2010

Radhey Shyam Gunera

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar & Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents.</p> <p>On 04.04.2016, notice was directed to be issued show cause why the order of the Tribunal dated 07.10.2015 has not been complied with attended with further direction to show cause why respondents be not directed to pay additional interest as well as compensatory cost. It is shocking that neither any cause has been shown nor the order of the Tribunal has yet been implemented.</p> <p>Lt Col Subodh Verma prays for further time to seek instructions in order to update this case about the status of the compliance. The time prayed for is granted subject to payment of Rs 12000/- as cost to be deposited with the office of the Registrar of this Tribunal. The cost shall be deposited within one month from today.</p> <p>List this case on 12th July 2016 to enable the respondents to comply with the order of the Tribunal provided the order is not stayed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in exercise of its jurisdiction. It shall be open to the Petitioner to withdraw the cost from the office of the Registrar of this Tribunal immediately after it is deposited.</p> <p>It is clarified that the cost shall be recoverable from the salary of the erring official who is found at fault in occasioning delay in implementation of the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 217 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. (Nil)/2016

Smt. Kalash Kumari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrit Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, a week's further time is granted to file objection. Replication thereto if any shall be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 13.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 519 of 2012

Yogendra Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant
Versus
Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p>03.05.2016 <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chattarji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Case called out in revised list but there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p>Hence, the present O.A is dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p> <p>Before the aforesaid order could be signed, Shri V.P.Pandey appeared and explained his absence from the Court when the case was called out. The explanation offered appears to be satisfactory.</p> <p>In view of the above, the aforesaid order shall cease to be operative.</p> <p>List this case on 19.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>	

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 30 of 2013

Jeetendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.A. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, three weeks' further time is granted to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within one week next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 13.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 288 of 2013

Smt. Sarabjeet Kaur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Khare, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>By order dated 06.04.2016, a week's further and no more time was granted to file reply to supplementary affidavit filed by the Applicant. No reply to supplementary affidavit has yet been filed.</p> <p>Hence, the case is ordered to be fixed for final hearing on 27th May 2016. It is clarified that the case shall be decided on the basis of counter and rejoinder affidavits as also supplementary affidavit filed by the Applicant which are already on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 157 of 2015

Himanshu Goswami Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, a week's further time is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit. Supplementary rejoinder affidavit if any may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 13.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 263 of 2015 with M.A. No. 956 of 2016

Prithvi Nath Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri V.A. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed, list for orders on 11.05.2016 on which date the learned counsel for the Applicant shall come equipped with all the judgments on the points vital to the adjudication of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 127 of 2009 of with M.A. No. 1482 of 2014

Kumar R

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Wg Cdr Sardul Singh, Departmental Representative appearing for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1482 of 2014</u></p> <p>Being aggrieved with the order of discharge dated 12.10.1998, the petitioner had preferred a writ petition in the same year in which the High Court, as a measure of interim order had stayed the order of discharge. However, later-on, the interim order was vacated which paved the way for the respondents to pass another order of discharge dated 01.06.2004. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Applicant that since subsequent order was not taken in challenge, the writ petition which was renumbered as T.A. No 127 of 2009 was dismissed as infructuous vide order of the Tribunal dated 11.09.2014 and hence the Applicant preferred the present Original Application in the same year i.e 2014. The precise submission is that the T.A. No. 127 of 2009 was dismissed on the ground that subsequent order dated 01.06.2004 was not challenged, and immediately after dismissal of the T.A, the Applicant preferred the present Original Application. In view of the above, the factual matrix does not show that there is any delay or for matter of that any intentional attempt to cause the delay by the Applicant. The cause shown</p>

seems to be cogent and convincing and reasonably explained.

Accordingly, the delay in filing the O.A if any, is condoned.

The M.A. aforesaid is allowed accordingly.

List this case for admission on 20th May 2016.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

MH

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 431 of 2010

A.K. Bhardwaj

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri A.K. Bhardwaj in person, and Shri Kaushik Chattarji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Supplementary counter affidavit filed today by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record, a copy of which has been provided to the Applicant who appears in person. The Applicant may file response within a week.</p> <p>List this case on 23.05.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 316 of 2012

Krishna Kant Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents has expressed his misgiving about certain averments made in the counter affidavit attended with prayer that he may be granted some time to seek clarification about those contents.</p> <p>List this case on 07.07.2016 for hearing with liberty to the learned counsel for the respondents to file affidavit with a view to clarifying those contents of the counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 214 of 2014

Chunni Lal Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, 10 days further time is allowed to file affidavit in terms of earlier order dated 29.02.2016. One week is granted to file response.</p> <p>List this case on 24.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 215 of 2014

Rameshwar Dyal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, 10 days further time is allowed to file affidavit in terms of earlier order dated 29.02.2016. One week is granted to file response.</p> <p>List this case on 24.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
T.A. No. 30 of 2012

V.S. Malik Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

PCDA (P) Allahabad Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri S.K. Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Anand Vikram, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Shri Anand Vikram, Learned counsel does not seem to be prepared with the case as he could not reply to queries posed to him. Shri Ashok Mehta, Addl Solicitor General, who happened to be present in the Court, intervened seeking time.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on 13.05.2016 on which learned counsel for the respondents is directed to come prepared with all requisite details required by the Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 255 of 2012

NK Mehta
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Ld. Counsel Shri R.K. Anand assisted by Ld. Counsel Shri V.R. Singh for the applicant and Ld. Counsel Shri S.S. Pandey and Shri S.S. Rajawat for private respondent and Ld. Additional Solicitor General Shri Ashok Mehta assisted by Ld. Counsel Shri Sunil Sharma and Col NK Ohri, Military Secretary Representative and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel Shri R.K. Anand assisted by Ld. Counsel Shri V.R. Singh for the applicant and Ld. Counsel Shri S.S. Pandey and Shri S.S. Rajawat for private respondent and Ld. Additional Solicitor General Shri Ashok Mehta assisted by Ld. Counsel Shri Sunil Sharma and Col NK Ohri, Military Secretary Representative and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell have concluded their arguments.</p> <p>We defer the matter only for perusal of original records relating to the present controversy.</p> <p>List this case on 04.05.2016.</p> <p>It shall be open for Ld. Counsel for the parties to submit synopsis, comparative chart and additional documents.</p> <p>OIC Legal Cell shall submit comparative chart on merit with regard to profile of the applicant and respondent No 5 in sealed cover.</p> <p>Additional documents filed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents are taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 19 of 2016 with M.A. No. 841 of 2016
And M.A. No. 961 of 2016

Maj Gen RS Rathore
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Ld. Counsel Shri S.S. Pandey and Ld. Counsel Shri S.S. Rajawat for the applicant and Ld. Counsel Shri R. Chandra and Ld. Counsel Shri Sunil Sharma for the respondents assisted Col NK Ohri, Military Secretary Representative and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>List this case on 04.05.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 144 of 2014 with Diary No. 954 of 2015

Col Pramod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Col (Retd) R.N. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>We have heard learned counsel for the parties. Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved. Let records be submitted in sealed cover.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
T.A. No. 431 of 2010

A.K. Bhardwaj Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Col A.K. Bhardwaj in person and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed, put up this case tomorrow i.e. on 03.05.2016 to enable learned counsel for the respondents to file affidavit in terms of order dated 11.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
T.A. No. 11 of 2012 with M.A. No. 2347 of 2015

Krishan Lal Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>On the last date, this case was ordered to be listed for further hearing.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the Applicant, list this case on 13.07.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 31 of 2012 with M.A. No. 2007 of 2015

Shatrughan Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Col (Retd) R.N. Singh, Shri V.A. Singh & Shri B.P.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Mrs Appoli Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>By means of order dated 25.04.,2016, learned Counsel for the respondents was directed to supply copies of Court of Inquiry proceedings held between 11.04.1991 to May 1991 and Feb 1993 within three days. Today learned counsels for the respondents as well as OIC Legal Cell undertake to serve copies of Court of Inquiry within 3 days. The copies of Court of Inquiry proceedings as aforesaid be also brought on record by way of supplementary affidavit. Reply to the aforesaid supplementary affidavit if any may be filed within three days next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 23.05.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 281 of 2012 with M.A. No. 1821 of 2015
and M.A. No. 759 of 2016

Sube Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Col (Retd) Y.R. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Learned counsel prays for time to prepare the case on certain vital points.</p> <p>As prayed list this case for further hearing on 17.05.2016.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 496 of 2012

Nizamuddin Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>On the last date, this case was ordered to be listed for further hearing.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the Applicant, list this case on 14.07.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 995 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Parshu Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell three weeks' time is allowed to file objection to the application for condonation of delay in preferring the O.A. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is granted three weeks' time thereafter to file replication.</p> <p>List the case on 12.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
R.A. No. 32 of 2016 Inre. O.A. No. 138 of 2015
Court No. 2

Vishwa Jeet Singh
 By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Wg Cdr Sardul Singh, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>This is an application for review of our order dated 31.03.2016 on the ground that there is no material on record which may indicate that because of one small kidney applicant's continuance in the Air Force would be affected.</p> <p>However, while deciding the O.A. we have elaborately considered the entire controversy on record as well as report of the Invaliding Medical Board which has found the applicant unfit for Air Force service.</p> <p>There appears no error apparent on the face of the record. In view of order 47 Rule 1 CPC, under the guise of the Review Application, it is not open for the Tribunal to exercise jurisdiction for re-appreciation of the evidence on record.</p> <p>The applicant may not be permitted to proceed with de novo hearing.</p> <p>Review application lacks merit and is accordingly rejected with liberty to the applicant to prefer a fresh O.A. for disability pension or to approach other forum, as may be advised.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 78 of 2016

Ved Pal

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri K.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents, four weeks' further time is granted to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Reply, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>List this case on 12.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 79 of 2016

Vijaypal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri K.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>On request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list this case on 12.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>Meanwhile Ld. Counsel for the applicant shall file reply to the objection filed by the respondents on application for condonation of delay in terms of earlier order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 213 of 2016

Subhash Chandra

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by Ld. Counsel for the respondents, a weeks' further time is granted to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>List this case on 08.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 214 of 2016

Rama Kant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week's further time to file objection to the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>Reply, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within next three weeks.</p> <p>List the case on 08.07.2016 for orders</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 215 of 2016 with M.A. No. 907 of 2016

Ram Pal

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>List the case on 07.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 216 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Ram Avtar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Three weeks' further time is granted to Ld. Counsel for the respondents to file objection to the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on 05.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 300 of 2016

Shivjeet Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>A weeks' further time is granted to Ld. Counsel for the respondents to file objection on the application for condonation of delay. Reply, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List this case on 08.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 537 of 2016 with R.A. No. 20 of 2016

Inre. M.A. No. 1652 of 2014

Smt Sagro Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Ld. Counsel Shri KKS Bisht holding brief for Shri C.S. Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file objection on application for condonation of delay. Reply, if any, may be filed by Ld. Counsel for the applicant within next two weeks.</p> <p>List this case on 11.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 253 of 2015

Smt Chanchala Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri A.K. Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks' further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on 05.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 106 of 2016

Ram Lakhan Chandel
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Earlier the applicant had preferred Writ Petition and thereafter he preferred O.A. in which delay in preferring the O.A. was condoned and the applicant was permit to submit the statutory representation which has been decided by the impugned order.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that no Invaliding Medical Board was held which is in contravention of the law propounded in Civil Appeal No. 6587 of 2008 (Arising out of SLP(c) No. 6037 of 2007) Union of India and Others Vs. Rajpal Singh.</p> <p>We accordingly admit the O.A. and permit the respondents to file counter affidavit within six weeks.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within next two weeks.</p> <p>List for orders on 25.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 169 of 2011

Lt Col Lokesh Kandpal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Maj (Retd) R.D. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment on the ground that he could not prepare written arguments.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on 12.07.2016 for further hearing in terms of earlier order.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 242 of 2013 with M.A. No. 456 of 2016

Jitendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, three days time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by within next three days by Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List this case on 11.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 285 of 2013

Smt Sunita Devi

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel Shri V.P. Pandey has filed <i>Vakalatnama</i> on behalf of the applicant with no objection on the part of earlier counsel.</p> <p><i>Vakalatnama</i> is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list.</p> <p>List this case on 19.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><small>anb</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
T.A. No. 116 of 2009

Safiuddin Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner
Versus
Union of India and Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.05.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Maj (Retd) R.D. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment on the ground that he could not prepare written arguments.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on 13.07.2016 for further hearing in terms of earlier order.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Ld. Counsel for the Respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the parties may submit/exchange synopsis/written arguments, supported with law, if any by the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>anb</i></p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX-A No. 42 of 2016
(In re: O.A. No. 121 2013)

Abhinandan Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Inspite of specific directions formats which were required to be completed by the Applicant have not been supplied.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prayed for short time to comply with the earlier order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 04.05.2016 in order to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to supply all the formats to the Applicant in Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 1884 of 2014

Smt Mithilesh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri SSL Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the Applicant that copy of the affidavit filed by the respondents has not been supplied to him.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let a copy of the aforesaid affidavit be supplied to the learned counsel for the Applicant by Monday positively.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the Applicant may file reply to the said affidavit within three weeks next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 08.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 1916 of 2015

Bal Krishna Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri SSL Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted that objection and reply thereto have already been exchanged.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 18.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 240 of 2014

Mahendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri PK Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks' time is granted to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit if any may be filed within one week next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 01.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 160 of 2015 with M.A. No. 669 of 2016

Dhirendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, two weeks' time is granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 01.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 302 of 2015

Ravindra Nath
Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Others
Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell and Shri Kush Saxena, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 5.</p> <p>Response on behalf of respondent no. 5 filed today is taken on record. The respondents 1 to 4 may file reply thereto within three weeks. The supplementary affidavit filed by respondents 1 to 4 is also taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondent no 5 may also file reply thereto by the next date fixed in the case.</p> <p>List this case on 08.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

	MH/-
--	-------------

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 11 of 2010

Rajeev Kumar Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Dr N L Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In Para 19 of the O.A, the applicant has averred that the respondent no. 5 had tied up knots with one Dinesh Mishra. In reply to Para 19 of the O.A, it has been stated in Para 37 of the counter affidavit that the averments contained in Para 19 are wrong, baseless and exaggerated and are denied. It is also stated that no documentary evidence has been filed in support of the averments. However, the averments made in Para 37 of the counter affidavit sworn by the officer concerned have been sworn on the basis of record. What were the records on the basis of which the respondent made the averments in para 37 of the counter affidavit that the respondent no 5 has not tied up knots with Dinesh Mishra, is not borne out. Once affidavit has been sworn on the basis of the record, then it was incumbent on the respondents to come forward with clean hands to establish from its record that the respondent no 5 had not tied up knots with Dinesh Mishra. It appears that the respondent has not approached the Court with clean hands by filing affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let a notice be issued to Col Chandra Shekhar who is the deponent of the affidavit as well as</p>

respondent no 3 to show cause as to under what circumstances they have sworn the affidavit that respondent no 5 had not married with Dinesh Mishra and what are the records in their possession which made them to swear affidavit that averments contained in Para 19 of the OA are wrong, baseless and exaggerated and are denied,

Let a personal affidavit be filed by respondent no 3 on behalf of the respondent no 4 within three weeks.

List this case on 12.07.2016.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra
Singh)**

Member (A)

(Justice D P

Member (J)

MH/-

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 266 of 2011

Chand Pati
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Dharmesh Sinha, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Diwakar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by Shri Diwarkar Singh learned counsel for the respondent No 5, list this case on 04.05.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 177 of 2015

Smt Gopuli Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri VK Lakheda, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">While arguing the case, learned counsel for the Applicant placed reliance on a decision of Bombay High Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prayed for time to prepare the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 30.05.2016 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 163 of 2009

R V Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri TN Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the course of arguments a question arose whether letter sent by Ministry of Defence extending the term of the Applicant will have primacy over the army orders or not.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the Respondents prayed for sometime to prepare the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed list this case on 16.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 331 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Rajesh Kumar Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 01.07.2016 for orders before the appropriate court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

Ex-A No. 29 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 329 of 2013)

Prashant Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 05.07.2016 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 876 of 2010

RB Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 05.07.2016 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1091 of 2010

Shiv Bahadur Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 02.05.2016 for admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 531 of 2010

Sultan Ram
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Secy GOI & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 08.07.2016 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 947 of 2010

Vinod Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.05.2016 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1288 of 2010

Chandra Bhushan Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 19.07.2016 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A.No. 28 of 2013

Jagdish Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 07.07.2016 for hearing before the appropriate court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 978 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

K.K.Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 03.05.2016 for admission before the appropriate court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

Ex.A. No. 70 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 261 of 2016)

Col Ran Singh Dudee
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 11.05.2016 for admission before the appropriate court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No.981 of 2016 with M.A 982 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 983 of 2010)

Ajit Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 19.05.2016 for admission before the appropriate court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 984 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 679 of 2010)

Dinesh Kumar Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 24.05.2016 for admission before the appropriate court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 339 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Rati Bhan Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 18.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 459 of 2016 With M.A. No. 460 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 18 of 2012)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Rishipal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 13.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 515 of 2016

Sharda Prasad Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 30.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 500 of 2012 With Dy No. 1385 of 2015

Manjit Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 09.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 203 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016 With M.A. No. 812 of 2016)

Goverdhan Vishwakarma
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 31.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 202 of 2010

Mukesh Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 06.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 325 of 2013

Surender Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 29.07.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 14 of 2016

Mohan Bahadur Thapa
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 05.08.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1036 of 2010

Jashwant Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 05.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 84 of 2012

Narendra Kumar Rana
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 11.07.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 28 of 2013

Jagdish Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On account of paucity of time, the case could not be taken up. Hence the case is ordered to be listed on 29.04.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

DY- No. 737 of 2016
(Inre: M.A. No. 1064 of 2016)

Nil Kant Gain
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the applicant, and Lt Col Subodh Verma Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC, Legal Cell for the respondents. Case called out in revised list but there is no appearance for the Applicant. In view of the above, the case is dismissed for want of</p>

	<p>prosecution.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A) MH/</p> <p>(Justice D P) Member (J)</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 933 of 2016 With M.A. No. 934 of 2016
(Inre : O.A. No. 212 of 2012)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Daroga Rai
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant, and RKS Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No 933 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 26.08.2015.</p> <p>According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred by six months.</p> <p>It is trite that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No. 934 of 2016</u></p> <p>Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the</p>

Application for leave to appeal is also rejected.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh

Member (A)

(Justice D P

Member (J)

MH/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 943 of 2016 With M.A. No. 944 of 2016
(Inre : M.A. No. 2375 of 2015)

PK Mahapatra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed in court today by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal as counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let the name of Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present: Shri VA Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Present Application was dismissed for want of prosecution vide order of the Tribunal dated 08.03.2016.</p> <p>We have heard learned counsel for the applicant on restoration Application.</p> <p>The order dated 08.03.2016 shall stand recalled subject to payment of cost which we quantify at Rs 500/-. The amount of cost shall be remitted to the coffer of the Bar Association, Armed Forces Tribunal Regional Bench Lucknow. In the meanwhile learned counsel for the respondents may file objection to the restoration Application.</p> <p>List this case on 23.05.2016, of course, subject to deposit of the cost as aforesaid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 330 of 2014

Prabhu Lal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Dharmesh Sinha, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the Applicant, list this case on 11.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 666 of 2016 With M.A. No. 760 of 2016
(Inre : O.A. No. 235 of 2013)

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ajay Gond
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No 760 of 2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 26.02.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred by one month 5 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is trite that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No. 666 of 2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the Application for leave to appeal is also</p>

rejected.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh
Member (A)

(Justice D P
Member (J)

MH/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 135 of 2010

Smt Jyoti Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is stated by learned counsel for the respondents that the records have not been received as yet in terms of the order of the Tribunal dated 14.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case on 18.05.2016 on which date learned counsel for the respondents shall peremptorily produce the records as per directions contained in the Tribunal's order dated 14.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 205 of 2015

Dashratha
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri P.K.Shukla holding brief of Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri P.K.Shukla holding brief of learned counsel for the Applicant has stated that the learned counsel for the Applicant being out of station is not available today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 18.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 306 of 2015

Santokh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the applicant, and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case called out in revised list but there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajiv Pandey who appeared for the respondents vehemently argued that the O.A is not maintainable.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, the O.,A is dismissed for want of prosecution</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Before the aforesaid order could be signed, Shri S.N.Ojha, learned counsel for the Applicant appeared and explained his absence when the case was called out. The oral explanation offered appears to be convincing. In view of the above, the aforesaid order shall cease to be operative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 31.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 416 of 2010

Umesh Kumar Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the petitioner, and Dr Shailendra Sharmal Atal, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case called out in revised list but there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, who appeared for the respondents vehemently argued that the T.A is not maintainable.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, the T.A is dismissed for want of prosecution</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1192 of 2010

R L Rai **Petitioner**
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner
Versus
Union of India & Others **Respondents**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent **s**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the Applicant, list this case on 18.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 50 of 2013

S K Parida
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri V A Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri VPS Vats, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the controversy involved in this case is squarely covered by an earlier order of the Tribunal dated 18.03.2016 rendered in TA No 473 of 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned Counsel for the respondents prays for time in order to enable him to prepare the case on the lines of the judgment referred to above.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 16.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 111 of 2014

S A Nabi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal

27.04.2016

Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)

Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)

Present: Col Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.

It is stated by learned counsel for the respondents that he has not been served copy of the supplementary rejoinder Affidavit as yet. The submission is borne out from the office report.

Learned counsel for the applicant undertakes to supply copy of the Supplementary rejoinder affidavit to the learned counsel for the respondents during the course of the day.

List this case on 18.05.2016 for hearing.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)**

Member (A)

(Justice D P

Member (J)

MH/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 17 of 2015

Shevendra Kumar Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents could not produce relevant provisions under which the Applicant could have been discharged from territorial Army on the ground of being in low medical category.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 17.05.2016 on which date learned counsel for the respondents shall produce the relevant provisions warranting discharge of the Applicant as member of Territorial Army on account of being in low medical category.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 130 of 2015 With M.A. No. 553 of 2016

Prashantha Kumar PG
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, two weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit if any may be filed within one week next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 17.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Name of Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai be shown in the list as counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 170 of 2015

Radhey Shyam
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>27.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri KK Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder affidavit filed by learned counsel for the Applicant is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for hearing on 18.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

26.04.2016

Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)

Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)

Present: Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Preeti Joshi, OIC Legal Cell.

As prayed, a week's further time is granted to file objection. Replication if any may be filed within one week next thereafter.

List this case on 20.05.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction, as per roster of that date.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra
Singh)**

Member (A)

(Justice D P

Member (J)

MH/

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX-A No. 47 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 167 of 2009)

Avdhesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Preeti Joshi, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counsel for the Respondents submits that the Applicant has not forwarded the required documents including the Bank Account where the pension has to be transferred. Learned counsel for the Applicant states that the respondents have not provided the format and the precise details of required documents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above it is directed that the learned counsel for the respondents shall provide format of all required documents which is to be supplied by the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case tomorrow i.e. 27.04.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2315 of 2015
(Inre: T.A. No. 27 of 2014)

Nilendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Dipak Seth, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Major Preeti Tyagi, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is stated by the learned counsel for the Applicant that cost imposed by the Tribunal has been deposited. In token of proof he has produced the receipt which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case for hearing on 13.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 102 of 2016

Bibhu Prasad Samal
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the applicant, and Dr Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri KKS Bisht learned counsel for the applicant is stated to be on leave.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 24.05.2016 for orders before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 2110 of 2015 With M.A. No. 409 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2015)

Sardar Sidheshwar Madhukar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Maj Preeti Joshi, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case on 13.05.2016 for orders before the appropriate Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 38 of 2012

Subhash Chandra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Md Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Preeti Joshi, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, three weeks' further time is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit. Supplementary rejoinder affidavit if any may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.07.2016 for orders before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 200 of 2013

Dinesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Preeti Joshi, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The case is said to have been heard partly by the concerned Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction on 20.07.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 287 of 2012

Mohd Faroor & Farook Khan
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Ishraq Farooqui, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Preeti Joshi, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 635 of 2010

Anil Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, along with Major Soma John, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted to file objection to the amendment Application. Replication if any may be filed within one week next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.07.2016 for hearing before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 21 of 2012

Ram Naresh Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri P.N.Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Preeti Tyagi, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The case is said to have been heard partly by the concerned Bench having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction on 18.07.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Singh Member (J) Member (A)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

T.A. No. 31 of 2012 with M.A No 207 of 2015

Shatrughan Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal

Registry**25.04.2016****Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)****Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)**

Present: Col (Retd) R.N.Singh, Shri V.A.Singh and Shri B.P.S.Chauhan for the Petitioner and Smt. Appoli Shrivastava assisted by Col G.J.Manhas and Lt Col Subodh Verma.

During the course of arguments, we posed certain queries with regard to different courts of enquiry held in the instant case. Learned counsel for the Respondents viz. Smt. Appoli Srivastava who was assisted by Col G.J.Manhas and Lt Col Subodh Verma, informed the Court that as many as four courts of enquiry were held in the instant case out of which the first one was held on 13.04.1990 against Lance Naik Anil Kumar while the second one was held on 05.10.1990 with regard to Petitioner's absence without leave and other allied charges. The third court of enquiry, it is submitted, was convened between 11.04.1991 to May 1991 which related to attempt of suicide made by the petitioner. The 4th court of enquiry, it is further submitted, was held in Feb 1993 called Staff Court of enquiry which dealt with all issues according to learned counsel for the respondents. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that reports of court of enquiries namely, the Court of Inquiry held on 13.04.1990 and court of enquiry held on 05.10.1990 (supra) are not available. However, the reports of court of enquiry held between 11.04.1991 to May 1991 followed by court of enquiry held in Feb 1993 are available.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondent that question with regard to recovery of gold has been considered in the court of inquiry held in Feb 1993. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the respondents that Courts of Inquiry aforesaid were held in pursuance of provisions contained in Army Rule 177 and requisite procedures have been observed in compliance.

It is not disputed that when character or integrity/military reputation of Army personnel is involved, then provisions contained in Army Rule 180 is to be observed in compliance.

Learned Counsel for the respondents submits that copies of two court of inquiry proceedings held between 11.04.1991 to May 1991 and Feb 1993 are available with them and the same can be supplied to the Petitioner.

Let copies of court of enquiry proceedings as aforesaid be provided to the petitioner within 3 days.

List for further hearing on 02.05.2016.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)
Member (A)
(J)
MH/-**

**(Justice D.P.
Member**

**Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

Court No. 2

**M.A. No. 885 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Applicant in person and also Maj Preeti Tyagi, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents.</p> <p>Applicant who appears in person has sought adjournment on the ground of non-availability of his counsel today.</p> <p>List this on 12.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D P Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 887 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Satendra Kumar Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the applicant, and Col. G.J.Manhas, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 14.07.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 888 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Dheeraj Modgil
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the applicant, and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Col. G.J.Manhas Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, three weeks' time is granted to file objection. Replication thereto if any may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.07.2016 for orders/admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 313 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. (A) No. Nil of 2016)

Shaik Shabber
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Applicant in person, and Col. G.J.Manhas Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 27.07.2016 for orders/admission before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 131 of 2009

Rect Rakesh Kumar

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Judgment pronounced. Transferred Application No. 131 of 2009 is Allowed. For order, see our order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 85 of 2013

Umesh Kumar

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Judgment pronounced. Transferred Application No. 85 of 2013 is partially Allowed. For order, see our order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p><i>MH/-</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 424 of 2016 with M.A. No. 425 of 2016
Inre T.A. No. 579 of 2010

Harish Chandra Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri Sachin Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the Respondents, four weeks' further time is granted to file objection. Replication if any may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 11.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 706 of 2016 with R.A. No. 26 of 2016
Inre T.A. No 328 of 2010

Union of India & Ors Petitioner-Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner-respondents
Versus
Anand Kumar Singh Respondent-petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent-petitioner

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell and Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent-petitioner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is an Application for review of the order dated 18.11.2015 rendered in T.A.No. 328 of 2010 on the ground that the relief granted therein is not in tune with the prayer made in the T.A. However, it appears that the amended relief claimed by the petitioner in the T.A. has not been taken into account at the time of moving the present Review Application. In our considered view, there appears to be no error apparent on the face of the record which may call for interference with the order under review.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is worthy of notice that forum of review is not meant for de novo hearing of the matter under the guise of review. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to convert it into a jurisdiction vested in the appellate court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, the Review Application lacks merit and is rejected in limine.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 870 of 2016 with M.A. No. 871 of 2016
Inre O.A. No. 202 of 2014

Union of India & Others Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant-respondents
Versus
Prem Mohan Upadhyay Respondent-applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Respondent-applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Mrs Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell and Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent-applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No 870 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 25.02.2016.</p> <p>According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred by 22 days.</p> <p>It is well settled that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No.871 of 2016</u></p> <p>Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the Application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 878 of 2016 with M.A. No. 879 of 2016
Inre O.A. No. 200 of 2014

Union of India & Others Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant-respondents
Versus
Triloki Nath Gupta Respondent-Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Respondent-applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri S.P. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No 878 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 12.10.2015.</p> <p>According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred by 22 days.</p> <p>It is well settled that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence, the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No.879 of 2016</u></p> <p>Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the Application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
R.A. No. 30 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 53 of 2015

Laxmi Kant Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed today in court by Mrs. Deepti Bajpai as the counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let her name be shown in the list when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present : Shri Laxmi Kant Yadav, applicant in person and Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Col N.K. Ohri, from OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>We have heard Applicant who appears in person and also learned counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>This is an Application for review of the order dated 12.04.2016 on the ground that the Tribunal has not considered the petitioner's case with regard to promotional avenue. In the original O.A, the reliefs sought are as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“(A) The applicant be considered for promotion with his original batch (1991) on the basis of the existing system of evaluation as on date i.e Qualification System under proper scrutiny and without any bias/prejudice.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(B) Issue such other order/direction as may be deemed appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case.”</i></p> <p>In tune with the relief claimed by the petitioner and pleadings on record, the Tribunal has considered the petitioner's case and recorded a finding that the petitioner was considered alongwith batch 2008. In the facts and circumstances, we find no reason</p>

to interfere with the procedure followed by the Departmental Promotion Committee. The forum of review is not mean for de novo hearing of the matter under the guise of review. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to convert it into the jurisdiction of appellate court. Once a finding is in tune with the pleadings on record, it is not open for the Tribunal to re-appreciate the evidence on record.

The Petitioner switched over to next submission that the entire arguments as contained in the written notes have not been taken into account by the Tribunal while passing the order under review. This argument of the petitioner is wholly misconceived inasmuch as the arguments contained in the written notes may be considered vis a vis the pleadings on record and the reliefs claimed therein. In case something has been said beyond the pleadings in the written notes, it is not necessary for the Tribunal to consider the same.

In the facts and circumstances as stated above, we feel, there is no error apparent on the face of the record which may call for interference underlying the principles under Order 47 Rule 1 C.P.C.

Accordingly, the review Application being devoid of merit, is rejected in limine.

Let certified copy of this order be supplied within 3 days.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

MH/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
R.A. No. 31 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 100 of 2015

Union of India & Others Applicant-respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant-respondents

Versus

Govind Shukla Respondent-applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Respondent-applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subod Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A.No 31 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an application for review of the order dated 10.03.2016.</p> <p>We have heard learned counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>The precise submission advanced for review is that the Tribunal has failed to consider the material facts on record. From a perusal of the order under review, it would appear that the opinion of the Medical Board filed with the supplementary affidavit has been taken into account in its real perspective. The Tribunal relied upon the medical opinion which clearly shows that the petitioner was not suffering from any psychiatric disorder. The submission is that when there were two contradictory medical opinions- one dated 13.3.2014 and the other dated 4.11.2015, the Tribunal ought to have directed for re-examination by a higher Medical Board. The argument seems to be misconceived. Once the latter opinion of the Medical Board recorded a finding leaning in favour of the Applicant, then it is not required that the Applicant should be sent for examination by any higher Medical Board. It is not disputed that medical opinion relied upon is the last one which recorded a finding in favour of</p>

the Applicant. In the facts and circumstances as stated above, we do not find any error apparent on the face of record which calls for interference underlying the principles of Order 47 Rule 1 C.P.C.

Accordingly the review application lacks merit and is accordingly rejected in limine.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

MH/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Ex-A/17 of 2016 Inre T.A. No. 73 of 2013

Brijesh Kumar Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Col (Retd) Rakesh Johri, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Ex. Application No. 17 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an Application for Execution vis a vis the order of the Tribunal dated 08.09.2015.</p> <p>Copy of order dated 23.03.2016 has been produced by the OIC Legal Cell before the Tribunal which is taken on record. According to the order dated 23.03.2016, a decision has already been taken in compliance of the order of the Tribunal, and the Govt has accorded notional reinstatement and discharge attended with direction that he shall be paid pension from new date of discharge for life alongwith arrears for the entire period. It is submitted by the learned counsel that PPO shall be issued within four weeks from today in terms of the order aforesaid.</p> <p>In view of the statement made by the OIC Legal Cell as well as by the learned Counsel for the respondents and also regard being had to the order produced before us, we dispose of the present Execution Application finally directing the respondents to issue PPO within four weeks and direct the Bank concerned to release the funds within next four weeks. To rephrase it, the needful be done within a total period of eight</p>

weeks from today. It needs hardly be said that in case consequential benefits are not provided within eight weeks to the Applicant, then he shall be entitled to additional interest at the rate of 10% from the date of delivery of judgment of the Tribunal which shall be borne by the authority who are found to be at fault for not implementing the order in terms of the undertaking given today before the Tribunal.

In terms of the above directions, the Execution Application is disposed of finally.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

MH/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Ex-A/41 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 78 of 2013

Shiv Murat Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Col (Retd) Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Ex Application No 41 of 2016.</u></p> <p>This is an application for execution vis a vis the order of the Tribunal dated 09.10.2015.</p> <p>Copy of order dated 19.04.2016 has been produced before the Tribunal which is taken on record. According to the order dated 19.04.2016, a decision has already been taken in compliance of the order of the Tribunal, and the Govt has accorded notional reinstatement and discharge attended with direction that he shall be paid pension from new date of discharge for life alongwith arrears for the entire period. It is submitted by the learned counsel that PPO shall be issued within four weeks from today in terms of the order aforesaid.</p> <p>In view of the statement made by the OIC Legal Cell as well as by the learned Counsel for the respondents and also regard being had to the order produced before us, we dispose of the present Execution Application finally directing the respondents to issue PPO within four weeks and direct the Bank concerned to release the funds within next four weeks. To rephrase it, the needful be done within a total period of eight weeks from today. It needs hardly be said that in case</p>

consequential benefits are not provided within eight weeks to the Applicant, then he shall be entitled to additional interest at the rate of 10% from the date of delivery of judgment of the Tribunal which shall be borne by the authority who are found to be at fault for not implementing the order in terms of the undertaking given today before the Tribunal.

In terms of the above directions, the Execution Application is disposed of finally.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)
Member (J)

MH/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 1708 of 2014

Shyam Sunder Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Office is directed to submit report with regard to sufficiency of service.</p> <p>List this case on 11.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 430 of 2015

Ashok Rani Chaurasia

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Shri K.B. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Counsel appearing for the Applicant seems to be not ready with the case inasmuch as he has not gone through the order dated 10.3.2015.</p> <p>List this case on 05.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 903 of 2016 With M.A. No. 904 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. 237 of 2011)

Vijay Shanker Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the applicant, and Col. G.J.Manhas Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 26.07.2016 before the appropriate Court having jurisdiction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 106 of 2015 With M.A. No. 1519 of 2015

Bharat Singh Shakya
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present. None for the Applicant and Col. G.J.Manhas Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for admission/orders on 13.07.2016 before the Appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 611 of 2016
(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Gyanendra Madhukar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present. None for the Applicant and Col. G.J.Manhas Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case for /orders on 26.05.2016 before the Appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

M.A. No. 615 of 2016 With M.A. No. 616 of 2016
(Inre: T.A. No. 414 of 2010)

Shiva Nand Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present. None for the Applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal learned counsel for the Respondents and Col. G.J.Manhas Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Objection filed on behalf of respondents to the Application for restoration is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. No. 324 of 2013

Prabodh Nath Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent s

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: None for the applicant, and Shri RKS Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by G.J.Manhas, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 01.07.2016 for hearing before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

O.A. (A) No. 210 of 2010

Rashmi Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri SK Banerjee, learned counsel for the applicant, and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents assisted by OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 20.05.2016 for hearing on which date learned counsel for the Applicant shall bring on record the written note, synopsis and the compilation of cases.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

T.A. No. 1271 of 2010

Raj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondents

s

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D P Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant, and Dr Chet Narain Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that arguments in the instant case have already been completed before the other Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, list this case for hearing before the Bench concerned in terms of earlier order on 30.05.2016..</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice D P) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 847 of 2016

Thakur Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed today in Court by Shri Virendra Singh, as counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown in the list as the counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present: Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, learned counsel for the respondents, assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file counter affidavit to the Application for condonation of Delay. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 21.07.2016 for disposal of M.A. No 847 of 2016.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A) (J) MH/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P.) Member</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 848 of 2016

Hukam Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed today in Court by Shri Virendra Singh, as counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown in the list as the counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present :Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, Learned counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p>

	<p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file counter affidavit to the Application for condonation of Delay. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 21.07.2016 for disposal of M.A. No. 848 of 2016.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member (A) (J) MH/-</p>	<p>(Justice D.P. Member</p>
--	---	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 849 of 2016

Prem Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>21.04.2016</u>

Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)
Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)

Memo of appearance filed today in Court by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, as counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown in the list as the counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.

Present: Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file counter affidavit to the Application for condonation of Delay. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

List this case on 21.07.2016 for disposal of M.A. No 849 of 2016.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)
Member (A)
(J)
MH/-

(Justice D.P.
Member

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

M.A. No. 850 of 2016

Darwan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed today in Court by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, as counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown in the list as the counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present :Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file counter affidavit to the Application for condonation of Delay. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 21.07.2016 for disposal of M.A. No 850 of 2016.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh)</p>

	Member Member (J) MH/-	(A)
--	---------------------------------------	------------

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 115 of 2016**

**Mayank Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus**

Applicant

**Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents**

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of appearance filed today in Court by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, as counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown in the list as the counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present :Shri S.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Wg</p>

	<p>Cdr Sardul Singh, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the Applicant, the case is adjourned today.</p> <p>List this case on 16.05.2016 for orders.</p>	
	<p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Singh) Member Member (J) MH/-</p>	<p>(Justice D.P. (A)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
O.A. No. 116 of 2016

Brig J.S. Dharmadheeran	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	
Versus	
Union of India & Others	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></p>

Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)

Memo of appearance filed today in Court by Shri Namit Sharma, as counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Let his name be shown in the list as the counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.

Present: Shri Rajiv Manglik, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.

Learned counsel for the Applicant argued that the Applicant is entitled to be considered for promotion on account of being deprived of promotion along with 1984 Batch, for the 1985 year batch. In connection with, he relied upon the Army Headquarters' letter dated 11.12.1991 and also a judgment rendered in O.A. No 121 of 2012 Col Rajendra Kumar Tripathi Vs Union of India decided by Armed Forces Tribunal Regional Bench Calcutta.

The question involved in this case requires interpretation of the word "Batch".

According clause 4 of the Letter, Batch means "all officers who reckon seniority in a particular calendar year". Accordingly the question that has cropped up is whether even in the absence of any batch mate of the year 1985, the Applicant may solely be treated and considered belonging to 1985 batch on the ground that his seniority would slide into the batch of the next year i.e year 1985.

The ground raised by learned counsel for the Applicant requires interpretation.

Accordingly, we admit the O.A and permit the respondents to file counter affidavit within six weeks. Rejoinder affidavit if any may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.

Keeping in view the urgency of the matter, it is directed that the case shall be heard on the next date fixed. The respondents shall ensure that the counter affidavit is filed within the given period.

List this case on 07.07.2016 for hearing.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)
Member
Member (J)
MH/-**

**(Justice D.P.
(A)**

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 822 of 2016 Inre M.A. No. 769 of 2016
& O.A. No. 343 of 2015

Col (TS) Sunil Jain
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present :Col (TS) Sunil Jain in person and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an Application by the Applicant who appears in person, seeking correction in the order of the court dated 15.03.2016. The details of corrections sought are that at sl no 7 the date mentioned as 01 Jan 2008 may be read as "01 Jun 2000". Again in the second line from bottom of page 7 of the order date mentioned as 08.03.2013 should be read as 14.05.2012. Again in 14th Line of page 11, date mentioned as 08.03.2013 should be read as "14.05.2012." Likewise in para 12 in the second line of page 11 date mentioned as 08.03.2013 should be read as "14.05.2012".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">After hearing the Applicant appearing person, we allow the Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The necessary corrections as pointed out above, have been effected in the original order</p>

	<p>of the Tribunal dated 15.03.2016.</p> <p>Office is directed to issue corrected copy of the order aforesaid to the Applicant on payment of usual charges.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> <p>MH/-</p>
--	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 852 of 2016 with M.A. No. 853 of 2016
Inre O.A. No. 235 of 2014

<p>Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant</p>	<p>Applicant-</p>
Versus	
<p>Basant Kumar Singh Applicant By Legal Practitioner for Respondents</p>	<p>Respondent-</p>

Notes of the Registry	<p>Orders of the Tribunal</p>
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Present: Mrs. Appoli Srivastava for the Applicant-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell. </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <u>M.A.No 852 of 2016</u> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to </p>

appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 15.10.2015.

According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred by five months.

It is well settled that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.

M.A.No.853 of 2016

Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the Application for leave to appeal is also rejected.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)
Member
Member (J)
MH/-**

**(Justice D.P.
(A)**

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 854 of 2016 with M.A. No. 855 of 2016
Inre T.A. No. 900 of 2010**

**Union of India & Others
Respondents**

Applicant-

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Vikram Singh

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Mrs Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Present: Mrs. Appoli Srivastava for the Applicant-respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 854 of 2016</u></p> <p>This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 15.10.2015.</p> <p>According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred by three months.</p> <p>It is well settled that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 855 of 2016</u></p> <p>Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the Application for leave to appeal is also rejected.</p>

	(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) (J) MH/-	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member
--	---	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 856 of 2016 with M.A. No. 857 of 2016
Inre O.A. 317 of 2013

Chief of Army Staff & Others **Applicant-**
Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants
Versus
Mukesh Purwanshi **Respondent-**
Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p> <u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u> </p> <p> Present: Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld Counsel for the applicant respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell. </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <u>M.A.No. 856 of 2016</u> </p> <p> This is an Application under section 30 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act seeing leave to appeal vis-à-vis the order of the Tribunal dated 15.02.2016. </p> <p> According to the office report, the Application for leave to Appeal has been moved after expiry of statutory period, it being barred </p>

by two months.

It is well settled that the Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay for want of any enabling provisions in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Hence the Application for condonation of delay is not maintainable and is rejected.

M.A.No.857 of 2016

Since Application for condonation of delay has been rejected as not maintainable being barred by time, in consequence, the Application for leave to appeal is also rejected.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)
Member
Member (J)
MH/-

(Justice D.P.
(A)

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2

EX-A. No. 45 of 2016 Inre M.A. No. 1158 of 2015

Dheerendra Pal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u>

Present: Shri Manish Mishra, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.

Ex. Application No 45 of 2016

This is an Application for execution of the order dated 15.09.2015 rendered in M.A. No 1158 of 2015. Learned Counsel for the respondents has moved an Application seeking eight weeks' time to levy implementation to the order dated 15.09.2015.

However, during the course of arguments, the counsel for the respondents sought six weeks' time for implementation for the order dated 15.09.2015.

Keeping in view the arguments, we extend the period and permit the respondents to implement the order dated 15.09.2015 passed in M.A. No. 1158 of 2015 within the aforesaid period.

It needs hardly be said that in case the order aforesaid is not complied with within the aforesaid period, then the Applicant shall be entitled to additional interest at the rate of 10% from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the aforesaid undertaking given by the learned counsel for the respondents in the presence of OIC Legal Cell, we dispose of the Ex.A.No. 45 of 2016 finally.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)
Member
Member (J)
MH/-**

**(Justice D.P.
(A)**

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2

EX-A. No. 46 of 2016 In re T.A. NO. 194 of 2014

Sarvada Nand Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri R. Chandra, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present Execution application has been moved to levy implementation of the order dated 08.10.2015 rendered in TA No 194 of 2015.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">While passing the order dated 28.03.2016, we had provided that in case the order was not complied with, the respondents may also show cause why interest as well as exemplary cost be not imposed on them. Learned Counsel for the respondents on being called upon, submitted that inspite of communication, it has elicited no response. In view of the above, a cost of Rs 25000/- is imposed on the respondents for not complying with the order. Subject to deposit of the aforesaid cost within one month, we grant further six weeks time for implementation of the order dated 08.10.2015. However, it would be open for the respondents to recover the said cost from the officer who is responsible for not complying with the final order of the Tribunal dated 08.10.2015. A copy of the order be sent to the Chief of the Army Staff New Delhi and also to DG AAD to</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2**

**M.A. No. 107 of 2016 with R.A. No. 4 of 2016
Inre T.A. No 62 of 2012**

**Union of India & Others
Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants
Versus**

Applicant-

**Capt V.P. Singh
Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents**

Respondent-

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Shashank Pandey holding brief of Smt. Poonam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the Applicant respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Shashank Pandey holding brief of learned counsel for the Applicant-respondents has stated that Smt Poonam Singh is not available on account of being out of station.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 16.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) Member (J) MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
M.A. No. 470 of 2016 Inre M.A. No. 77 of 2016

Aman Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Manish Mishra, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, OIC Legal Cell.</p>

Learned counsel for the Applicant for the Applicant in the instant Application has made a prayer that the respondents may be directed to conduct Review Medical Board to assess the medical condition of the Applicant. The argument advances is that the Applicant was discharged from the Army on account of his disability which was assessed as 20% by the Invaliding Medical Board. The disability was subsequently reduced to nil% for life.

Learned Counsel for the Applicant has prayed for adjournment of the case today in order to prepare himself on certain vital points involved in this case.

List this case for hearing on 16.05.2016.

**(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)
Singh)
Member
Member (J)
MH/-**

**(Justice D.P.
(A)**

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2**

**O.A. No. 121 of 2015 with M.A. No. 589 of 2016
& M.A. No. 845 of 2016**

Smt Priyanka Mishra

Applicant

**By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus**

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukteshwar Mishra, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Wg Cdr Sardul Singh, Departmental Representative.</p> <p>Supplementary rejoinder affidavit filed today be placed o record.</p> <p>The Impleadment Application has been filed purportedly by the mother of the deceased. The same is ordered to be placed on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the Respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection to the said Application.</p> <p>List this case on 07.07.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (A) Member (J) MH/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 Taken in Court No.1

EX-A. No. 62 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 71 of 2014

Anoop Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of appearance filed today in court by Shri Vijay Pratap Singh Vats learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. His name may be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is next listed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Present :Shri P.K. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vijay Pratap Singh Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Wg Cdr Sardul Singh, Departmental Representative..</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri P.K.Shukla learned counsel for the Applicant on this Execution Application which has been preferred apprising the Court that the order of this Court dated 14.10.2015 rendered in Original Application No. 71 of 2014 has not been complied with within three months as time granted by this Tribunal inasmuch as the consequent statutory complaint preferred on 01.11.2015 in compliance of the above order, has not been decided as yet. Further also, the Applicant had submitted a representation dated 15.02.2016 calling upon the respondents to dispose of the said statutory complaint but the same has not yet been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let learned counsel for the respondents obtain instructions within two weeks why the order of this Tribunal as aforesaid has not been complied with within the aforesaid period by the respondents, failing which the respondents shall face the consequences stemming therefrom.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List this case on 04.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 10px;">MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1
M.A. No. 822 of 2016 Inre M.A. No. 769 of 2016 &
O.A. No. 343 of 2015

Col (TS) Sunil Jain Applicant
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondent
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed today in court by Shri Vijay Pratap Singh Vats learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. His name may be shown as counsel for the respondentst when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present : Col (TS) Sunil Jain in person, and Shri Vijay Pratap Singh Vats Learned counsel for the respondent.</p> <p>This is an Application for correction/Modification of judgment and order dated 15.03.2016 passed in O.A.No 343 of 2015 passed by the Bench consisting of Hon. D.P.Singh , Member (J) and Hon. Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A).</p> <p>Accordingly, list this Application before the Bench concerned on 21.04.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1

M.A. No. 657 of 2016 Inre M.A. No. 195 of 2016

Hoshiyar Singh Sekhawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present :None for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Case called out and yet there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p>List this case on 05.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1

O.A. No. 47 of 2016

Akhilesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present :Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned Standing counsel prays for and is granted, as a measure of last opportunity, three weeks and no more time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit if any may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 10.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1

O.A. No. 150 of 2015

Krishan Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Present:</u> None for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 05.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1

T.A. No. 32 of 2011

Muneesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: None for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on 05.05.2016 before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1

O.A. No. 274 of 2012

Imran Khan

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present :None for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Wg Cdr Sardul Singh, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case called out and yet there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 06.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1
O.A. No. 201 of 2014 with M.A. No. 828 of 2016

Col HS Bacchal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present : Major (Retd) R.D. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.</p> <p>Learned Standing counsel has filed objection to the amendment Application in the Registry with accompanying M.A No 8282 of 2016 a copy of which has been served to learned counsel for the Applicant in the court itself.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the Applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply, if any, to the objection.</p> <p>List this case for orders on 13.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1

O.A. No. 77 of 2016

Brig (Retd) S.K. Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present :Shri S.S. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Col Subodh Verma, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Objection with respect to maintainability of this O.A is intended to be filed by Lt Col Subodh Verma, Department Representative appearing for the respondents. He says that he would file the same in the Registry during the course of the day. Learned Counsel for the Applicant may file reply to the same within 10 days next thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.05.2016 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1
T.A. No. 48 of 2013 with M.A. No. 286 of 2016
Digvijay Singh Bhadauria Applicant
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present : None for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case called out but there is no appearance for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 10.05.2016 for further hearing before the court concerned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1
O.A. No. 69 of 2015

Awadhesh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present :Shri Arun Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case on 06.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the next date fixed, the learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all relevant documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2
Taken in Court
No.1

O.A. No. 163 of 2015

Lt Col Ray Gautam Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.04.2016</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Abdul Mateen, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present :Shri V.A. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chattarji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents..</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list this case on 13.05.2016 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the Respondents shall produce all relevant documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anand Mohan Verma) (Justice Abdul Mateen) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>MH/</p>

