

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.1)**

**O.A. No. 1018 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1230 of 2022**

**Ex. Sgt. Kishor Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional increment.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No 1230 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>This application has been filed for condoning the delay of 02 months and 26 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No 1018 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that after the Six Central Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1<sup>st</sup> July, as the date of increment for all Government Employees, thereafter, the applicant being enrolled on 20.06.2001 and retired on 30.06.2021 is entitled for grant of last increment due on 01.07.2021 as per decision of the Hon'ble Madras High</p>

Court in the case of ***P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others*** (W.P. No. 15732 of 2017, decided on 15.09.2017) and this Tribunal judgment in OA 366 of 2020, Ex HFL Sarvesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors, decided on 12.08.2021.

On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that the applicant had served for complete one year from the date of his last annual increment, but he had not been granted annual increment as on the date of his discharge i.e. 30.06.2021 as per policy in vogue since the date of annual increment falls on the following day i.e. 01.07.2021. Therefore, benefit of the Hon'ble Madras High Court order being *in personam* cannot be extended to the applicant and hence, Original Application is liable to be dismissed.

The law on notional increment has already been settled by the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of ***P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others*** (Supra). Against the said Judgment the Union of India had preferred Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.22282 of 2018 which was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 23.07.2018. The relevant portion of the Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Madras Court is excerpted below:-

“5. *The petitioner retired as Additional Director General, Chennai on 30.06.2013 on attaining the age of superannuation. After the Sixth Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1st July as the date of increment for all employees by amending Rule 10 of the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008. In view of the said amendment, the petitioner was denied the last increment, though he completed a full one year in service, i.e., from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013. Hence, the petitioner filed the original application in O.A.No.310/00917/2015 before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench, and the same was rejected on the ground that an incumbent is only entitled to increment on 1st July if he continued in service on that day.*

6. *In the case on hand, the petitioner got retired on 30.06.2013. As per the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008, the increment has to be given only on 01.07.2013, but he had been superannuated on 30.06.2013 itself. The judgment referred to by the petitioner in State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its [Secretary to Government, Finance Department and others v. M. Balasubramaniam](#), reported in CDJ 2012 MHC 6525, was passed under similar circumstances on 20.09.2012, wherein this Court confirmed the order passed in W.P.No.8440 of 2011 allowing the writ petition filed by the employee, by observing that the employee had completed one full year of service from 01.04.2002 to 31.03.2003, which entitled him to the benefit of increment which accrued to him during that period.*

7. *The petitioner herein had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2013, but the increment fell due on 01.07.2013, on which date he was*

*not in service. In view of the above judgment of this Court, naturally he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service, though the date of increment falls on the next day of his retirement. Applying the said judgment to the present case, the writ petition is allowed and the impugned order passed by the first respondent-Tribunal dated 21.03.2017 is quashed. The petitioner shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell on 01.07.2013, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose. No costs.”*

In view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Madras High Court, upheld by the Hon'ble Apex Court, we are of the view that since the applicant had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2021, but the increment fell due on the next day of his retirement 01.07.2021, on which date he was not in service, he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service.

In view of the above, the Original Application is allowed. The impugned order, if any, is set aside. The applicant shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.2020 to 30.06.2021, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell on 01.07.2021, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose. The respondents are directed to issue fresh Corrigendum P.P.O. accordingly. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @8% per annum till the actual payment

Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

**(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

Ukt/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.2)**

**O.A. No. 1021 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1232 of 2022**

**Buddhi Sagar Mishra S/o Late Ex. Nk Awadesh Mishra & another**      Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>On call of the case Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file objection on delay as well as maintainability of the case.</p> <p>List on <b>23.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.3)**

**Ex. No. 373 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 169 of 2022**

**Ex. NK Anand Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondents and filed Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order of this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>List on 17.01.2023.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.4)**

**Ex. A. No. 374 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 90 of 2022**

**Ex. Nk Nirbhay Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Ms. Amrita Chakravorty, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondents and filed Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakravorty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order of this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>17.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.5)**

**Ex. A. No. 375 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 55 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Raghu Raj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondents and filed Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order of this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>19.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.6)**

**Ex. A. No. 379 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 71 of 2020**

**Smt. Vitana Devi W/o Late Sep Surya Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondents and filed Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order of this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>19.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.7)**

**DY.No. 2787 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1212 of 2022**

**Ex. Rect. Ram Moorat Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this applicant is not maintainable being premature. He further submits that applicant has also not removed defects indicated by the Registry.</p> <p>List on <b>03.01.2023</b>.</p> <p>In the meantime, applicant shall remove the defects indicated by Registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.8)**

**Ex. A. No. 134 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 123 of 2010**

**Smt. Durgawati Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order of this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>16.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.9)**

**Ex. A. No. 2 of 2021 with M.A. No. 180 of 2021 Inre O.A. (A) No. 98 of 2014**

**LtCol Subash Chandra Pandey (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of the order of this Tribunal is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report. It is clarified that in case order is not complied with by the date fixed, responsible officer for not implying the order shall remain present in the Court to explain his position.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>11.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.10)**

**Ex. A No. 86 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 65 of 2020**

**Smt. Chandrawati W/o Late Ex. Sep Sita Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order passed by this Tribunal is in process. Applicant was directed to submit certain documents to Record Office which have not yet been submitted by the applicant. On receipt of documents, order of the Tribunal shall be implemented.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he shall submit required documents to Record Office within two days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>23.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)**

**Ex. A No. 87 of 2021 along with RA 35 of 2022 (decided)**  
**Inre O.A. No. 296 of 2021**

**Ex. Spr Rashpal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vikash Chauhan, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that four weeks' time be granted to comply the order fully which is granted.</p> <p>It is further clarified that if the order is not complied with by the date fixed, officer responsible for not implementing the order shall remain present in the Court to explain his position.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>30.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.12)**

**Ex.A. No. 234 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 579 of 2020**

**Smt. Nafiro Nisa widow of Late Sep Rasul** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate, holding brief of Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>23.01.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.13)**

**Ex.A. No. 276 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 290 of 2021**

**Smt. Sabitri Devi, W/o Late Shiv Shankar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Viswesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Compliance report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>03.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.14)**  
**O.A. No. 842 of 2021**

**Nk ORA Sardar Raja Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on <b>13.12.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.15)**

**O.A. No. 13 of 2022**

**L/Nk Viresh Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>03.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.16)**

**O.A. No. 358 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1056 of 2022 & M.A. No. 408 of 2022**

**Smt. Ram Maya Ghale W/o Late Ex. Nk Dambar Bhadhur Ghale** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On call of the case Shri Yashpal Singh submits that Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, learned counsel for the applicant is sick and prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>06.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.17)**

**O.A. No. 942 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1152 of 2022**

**Ex sep Ravinder Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht and Ms. Prinyanka Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection on application for condonation of delay filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>List on <b>10.01.2023</b> for hearing on delay condonation application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.18)**

**DY. No. 3030 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1173 of 2022.**

**Ex Cfn Deepak Chandra Pandey**  
**(Through Parokar Smt Renu Pandey)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, holding brief of Wg. Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two days' time to file objection on delay as well as on maintainability of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>14.12.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.19)**

**M.A. No. 1224 of 2022 Inre Dy. No. 3030 of 2022**

**Ex Cfn Deepak Chandra Pandey**  
**(Through Parokar Smt Renu Pandey)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr Anit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Blank.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.20)**

**O.A. No.211 of 2017**

**Bhupendra Gavar**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Vakalatnama filed by Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate, on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the applicant on the next date of listing.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Tarun, OIC Legal Cell are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>23.01.2023</b>.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.21)**

**O.A. (A) No. 594 of 2018**

**Nk Gyaneshwar Prasad Pandey**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant shall file rejoinder affidavit by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on <b>25.01.2023</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.22)**

T.A. No. 4 of 2019

**Virendra Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.12.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>25.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Rakesh Kumar Anand)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/-</p>