

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 2)

O.A. No. 227 of 2024

Sanjeeda Parveen wife of Salman Ahmad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record. Her name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Ms Preeti Mala, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 are present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed for grant of maintenance allowance to the applicant. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. Issue notice to respondent No 6 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>6. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week.</p> <p>7. Respondents are directed to file counter affidavit within four weeks. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. List on 04.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 3)

O.A. No. 240 of 2024 with M.A. No. 303 of 2024

Ex (Hony Nb Sub) Arun Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 16 years, 08 months and 15 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection.</p> <p>5. List on 13.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 4)

Ex-A/99 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 701 of 2022

Ex Sep (MACP Nk) Rama Kant Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 14.12.2022 passed in O.A. No. 701 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 17.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX. A. No. 14 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 753 of 2020

Ex Nb Sub Ramesh Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KC Ghildiyal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, parties are not present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that govt sanction in this case has been accorded on 24.01.2024. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 16.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX. A. No. 96 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 94 of 2018

Smt Sundari Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Allahabad is also present.</p> <p>2. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 29.09.2021. Despite granting several opportunities, affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has deposited all the cost due against the respondents. If it is so, cost deposited by the respondents shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to show cause as to why in exercise of power under order 21 CPC, attachment of property of the respondents be not initiated for non compliance of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Respondents are granted four weeks further time to take immediate steps towards compliance of order of this Tribunal failing which cost of Rs 10,000/- shall be payable which shall be remitted to the applicant. It is also made clear that non compliance of order of this Tribunal shall lead coercive action against the respondents.</p> <p>6. List on 27.05.2024.</p> <p>7. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell in usual way.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX.A. No. 279 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 282 of 2019

Ex Nb Sub Dharmendra Kumar Upadhyay Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey and
Shri Ashok Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate holding brief for Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to file affidavit regarding amount of leave encashment but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Let the required affidavit be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 17.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX. A. No. 394 of 2022 with M.A. No. 118 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 814 of 2021

Ex ACP Nb Sub Manendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them by next date of listing.</p> <p>4. List on 28.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX. A. No. 158 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 242 of 2022

Smt Chandmuni Devi, M/O Late Ex Sep Sentu Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Allahabad is also present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 7,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on 27.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 970 of 2022

Rambriksh Ram S/o Late Sh Shivshankar Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abul Fazal Jaffrey, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abul Fazal Jaffrey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>4. List this matter for hearing on admission of this O.A. on 07.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1013 of 2022

Ex POMA Parijat Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Applicant in person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant in person and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on 07.05.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 1079 of 2022

Chandra Kali Devi, W/o Late Ex LS Narendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Shamshad Alam, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 07.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 131 of 2023

Ex PO MR1 Vikram Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Cdr Mohit Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has already been filed by the respondents but counter affidavit is generic and not specific. Respondents are directed to clarify the cause and logic for deduction.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file supplementary counter affidavit but supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. On request of learned counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit clarifying cause of deduction from pension of the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 02.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 241 of 2023

Ex PO Mohammed Rashid

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal and
Shri Ankur Saxena, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 and Shri Ankur Saxena, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 4 and 5 assisted by Lt Cdr Mohsin A, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent 1 to 3 has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 02.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 328 of 2023

POM (H) Sunil Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 assisted by Cdr Amitabh Roy, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On perusal of counter affidavit, it is observed that counter affidavit is generic and not specific. Respondents are directed to clarify the cause and logic for deduction.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 02.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 556 of 2023

Ex POME Anil Kumar Roy

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents assisted by Cdr Amitabh Roy, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On perusal of counter affidavit, it is observed that counter affidavit is generic and not specific. Respondents are directed to clarify the cause and logic for deduction.</p> <p>4. Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No 6 submits that time be granted to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. As prayed, four weeks time is granted to respondent No 6 to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit.</p> <p>6. List on 02.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 579 of 2023

Ex POELP Pankaj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal and
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 assisted by Lt Col Mohsin A, Departmental Representative for the respondents and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondent No 6 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 02.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 665 of 2023 with M.A. No. 800 of 2023

EX PO MR1 Bharat Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt Cdr Mohit Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Time was granted to the respondents to file supplementary counter affidavit but supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 679 of 2023

Ex LME (Reservist) Harshawan Joshi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Allahabad is also present.</p> <p>2. Despite grant of several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 28.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 876 of 2023

Ritu Pandey D/o Ex LS Late Kailash Nath Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh and
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocates

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite grant of several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 28.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 928 of 2023

Smt Geeta Mishra M/O Late PNR Reetesh Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh and
Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for respondent No 1 to 8 and Ms Priyanka Tripathi, Advocate holding brief for Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, learned counsel for respondent No 9 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 8 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Brief holder for the respondent No 9 prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. Case shall proceed against respondent No 10.</p> <p>5. List on 28.05.2024.</p> <p>6. In the cause list, this case has been shown pertaining to Navy. During the course of hearing, respondents have submitted that this case belongs to Army. Therefore, Registry is directed to make correction in the cause list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1027 of 2023

Ex POEL (P) Arvind Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has already been filed by respondent No 1 to 3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 24.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1092 of 2023

Ex (PORTEL) Manoj Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for respondent No 1 to 5 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On perusal of counter affidavit, it is observed that counter affidavit is generic and not specific. Respondents are directed to clarify the cause and logic for deduction.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondent No 6 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>6. List on 02.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1290 of 2023

Ex MC PO II Sudama Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anit Vishal Srivastava and
Ms. Pooja Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anit Vishal Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 are present. Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate is also present on behalf of respondent No 4.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed for stoppage of recovery which is being made by the respondents. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>6. Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate submits that he has filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No 4 but his name is not shown in the cause list. Registry is directed to trace his vakalatnama and show his name in the next cause list.</p> <p>7. Reliance has been made by learned counsel for the applicant for stay of recovery in view of Hon'ble Supreme Court judgments in the case of <i>State of Punjab & Ors vs Rafiq Masih (White Washer)</i>, 2015 AIR SC 696 and <i>Thomas Daniel vs State of Kerala & Ors</i>, Civil Appeal No 7115 of 2010 decided on 02.05.2022.</p> <p>8. Keeping in view of submission of learned counsel for the applicant and case law cited and facts and circumstances of the case, recovery shall remain stayed till next date fixed.</p> <p>9. List on 02.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 21)
O.A. No. 39 of 2024 with M.A. No. 47 of 2024

POR (TAC) Om Prakash Yadava (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma and
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 47 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. There is delay of 10 years and 18 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application filed by the applicant but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. This O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment and arrears of pensionary and other benefits.</p> <p>6. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, delay is condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 39 of 2024</u></p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the applicant has raised legal point for grant of notional increment which needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 24.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 40 of 2024 with M.A. No. 48 of 2024

CPOWTR Subhash Chandra Gupta (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 48 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. There is delay of 07 years, 03 months and 18 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application filed by the applicant but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. This O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment and arrears of pensionary and other benefits.</p> <p>6. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>7. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, delay is condoned.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 40 of 2024</u></p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the applicant has raised legal point for grant of notional increment which needs adjudication.</p> <p>11. Admit.</p> <p>12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>13. List on 24.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 74 of 2024

Ex Sub/MT Jitendra Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Santosh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of this O.A. filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file reply to objection filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on 20.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 105 of 2024

Smt Devendri W/o Late Sub Ravi Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of respondents and reply filed by the applicant are taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 30.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 232 of 2010

Jagdish Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the parties were directed to file synopsis/written arguments but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On request of the parties, four weeks further time is allowed to file synopsis/written arguments.</p> <p>4. List on 24.05.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 26)
O.A. No. 752 of 2020

Dhakne Deepak Babanrao Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on 21.05.2024 for hearing as part heard case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 867 of 2022

Ex-MCAA-II (Hon.Sub.Lt) Ram Gopal Pandey & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Neeraj Kumar, Dy Director/DOP, (Naval).</p> <p>2. List on 21.05.2024 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A.(A). No. 370 of 2018

Ex Sub Maj Liladhar

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 20.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. (A). No. 594 of 2018

Nk Gyaneshwar Prasad Pandey

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Advocate holding brief for Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Brief holder submits that Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant is unable to attend the court as he is presently at the Hon'ble High Court, Lucknow.</p> <p>4. On request of the brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>5. List on 01.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>