

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 743 of 2021 with Dy. No. 541 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 1A)

Smt AMBIYA KHATOON
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari,
Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p><div><div><p>1. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents.</p><p>2. Arguments completed.</p><p>3. Order reserved.</p></div><div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p>rathore</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 370 of 2024

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 1)

Sub Maj Harendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura and Shri Ravindra Pandey, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection on maintainability of this O.A. stating that this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain this O.A. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 14.05.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p>rathore</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/158 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 691 of 2022

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 2)

Smt Om Prabha Devi
M/o late Ex Sigmn Sachin Kumar Pal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 27.10.2023 passed in O.A. No. 691 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. Issue notice to respondent No 7 returnable within four weeks. Steps within a week.</p> <p>6. List on 19.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/183 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 921 of 2022

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 1)

Ex Sgt Vinod Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons of delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost imposed against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 04.07.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/211 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 184 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 2)

Ex L/Nk Atma Ram Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>4. Respondents were directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case. The affidavit has not been filed. Accordingly, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit of concerned officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for delay in implementation order of this Tribunal. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost imposed against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on 04.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/-. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on 12.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/350 of 2023 Inre O.A. (A) No 509 of 2022

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 5)

Hav Anil Kumar Mishra Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On 23.02.2024, respondents were granted two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs 10,000/- or produce stay order, if any.</p> <p>4. Today, learned counsel for the respondents submits against order of this Tribunal, SLP bearing No 9910 of 2024 has been filed before the Hon’ble Apex Court.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant would be retiring on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, respondents are directed to implement order of this Tribunal within two weeks or produce stay order, if any.</p> <p>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost imposed against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>8. List on 02.05.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/452 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 591 of 2022

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 6)

Ex Hav Umesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on 04.07.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Ex-A/454 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1106 of 2022

JWO Hridyesh Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 7)
Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p><p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p><p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p><p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p><p>4. List on 12.07.2024.</p><div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 8)

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 24.05.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 9)

O.A. No. 1363 of 2023

Ex Nk Bablu Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Shri Ashish Asthana,
Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 10)

O.A. No. 1478 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2136 of 2023

SWR Satya Dev Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to move amendment application.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, a week’s time is allowed to applicant to file amendment application.</p> <p>5. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 11)

O.A. No. 1481 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2139 of 2023

Smt Poonam Singh w/o late Sep Ajay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No objection has been filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>3. Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. In supplementary affidavit, the applicant has emphatically stated that no other application is pending before any Court of law.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2139 of 2023</u></p> <p>4. There is delay of 09 months and 17 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay is condoned and delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1481 of 2023</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for compassionate appointment. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 12)

O.A. No. 1494 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2159 of 2023

CPL Satyendra Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Pradeep Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application has not been filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file objection.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary affidavit in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 06.05.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 13)

O.A. No. 61 of 2024

Sep Pankaj Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri
Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 14)

O.A. No. 65 of 2024

Devendra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, leaned counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 15)

O.A. No. 66 of 2024

Ex Ris Subhash Chandra Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 16)

O.A. No. 85 of 2024

Smt Chanda Thapa W/o Hav (late) Navin Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan and Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 16.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 17)

O.A. No. 89 of 2024

Ex Rfn Sher Singh Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan and Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Ors Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 19.07.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 18)

O.A. No. 125 of 2024

Hav Sarva Jeet Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra
and Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 19.07.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Ser No. 19)
O.A. No. 188 of 2024 with M.A. No. 238 of 2024

Sep Vikas Chandel (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra
and Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>05.04.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 238 of 2024</div><div>2.</div><div>Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>There is delay of 01 year, 05 months and 17 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 188 of 2024</div><div>8.</div><div>Matter being related to grant of notional increment needs adjudication.</div></div><div><div>9.</div><div>Admit.</div></div><div><div>10.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div></div><div><div>11.</div><div>List on 19.07.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Ser No. 20)

O.A. No. 228 of 2024 with M.A. No. 318 of 2024

Nb Ris Sardar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Roshan Babu Gupta and Ms Priyanka Tiwari,
Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Ors Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Roshan Babu Gupta, learned for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 318 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Amendment application filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard on amendment application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>5. Amendment application is allowed. Aforesaid M.A. is disposed off.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate the necessary amendment within two weeks.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 228 of 2024</u></p> <p>7. List on 02.05.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 21)

O.A. (A) No. 1381 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1997 of 2023

Gnr Rudra Mani Pandey Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>4. List on 19.07.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 22)

T.A. No. 22 of 2023

Smt Bala Devi Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Ms Alka Saxena, Advocate

Versus

Smt Monika Tyagi & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms Alka Saxena, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 02.05.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 23)

T.A. No. 73 of 2023

Smt Ved Kanti Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rama Kant, leaned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 16.05.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 24)

O.A. No. 544 of 2019 with M.A. No. 851 of 2019

Sub Maj (RT) Ran Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Mishra and Shri Yashpal Singh,
Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 10.05.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 12.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 26)

O.A. No. 1021 of 2022

Buddhi Sagar Mishra
S/o late Ex Nk Awadesh Mishra & Another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 14.05.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 04.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 28)

O.A. No. 42 of 2023

Rakesh Kumar Singh
S/o late Ex Sep Ramvir Singh (Ramveer Singh) Applicant
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Nitin Srivastava, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No 4 has filed Vakalatnama which is taken on record. His name shall be shown as learned counsel for the respondent No 4 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 4 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. Due to paucity of time, further proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>5. List on 25.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 29)

O.A. No. 183 of 2023

Mrs Archana Singh W/o late Col
Samarendra Pratap, VSM
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 04.05.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 30)

O.A. (A) No. 540 of 2021

Ex Nk Subhash Singh Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, learned counsel for the appellant and Dr. Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 26.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>