

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp 1)**

**O.A. No. 169 of 2024 with M.A. No. 216 of 2024**

**Smt Rajni Devi W/o L/Nk (late) Dharmendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 216 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 03 years, 09 months and 04 days in filing application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 169 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>02.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp 2)**

**Ex A/61 of 2024 inre O.A. No. 448 of 2023**

**MWO Rajkumar Mishra (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms Prerna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 23.08.2023 passed in O.A. No. 448 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>02.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp 3)**

**M.A. No. 211 of 2024 with M.A. No. 212 of 2024**  
**Inre O.A. No. 351 of 2022**

**Union of India & Ors**

Applicants-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Ex Rifleman Laxman Singh**

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri....., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Ors.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 211 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of one year in filing leave to appeal. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing leave to appeal under Section 5 of the Limitation Act. The application has been preferred after lapse of statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay.</p> <p>3. In view of above, application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 212 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>4. Since application for condonation of delay has been rejected, in consequence thereof, application for leave to appeal is also <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 1)**

**Dy. No. 4041 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Maj Kali Charan**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Naveen Kumar Tiwari and Shri Umesh Kumar Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present even after the case is taken over in the revised list.</p> <p>2. Defect has not been rectified.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant was absent on previous date also.</p> <p>4. This case is dismissed in default.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser 2)**

**Ex-A/128 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1797 of 2023**  
**and M.A. No. 1801 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 139 of 2021**

**Smt Birmo Devi W/o Late Ex Sep (EBR) Prem Raj** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka and Shri Ramakant Gupta,  
Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection against substitution application but, objection has not been filed.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1797 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing substitution application. In this application, it is stated that information with regard to death of the applicant could not be communicated in time to the counsel due to loss of his phone number. Therefore, delay being not deliberate, may be condoned.</p> <p>4. We find that reasons assigned in application being sufficient, delay in filing substitution application is condoned. M.A. No. 1797 of 2023 is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1801 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>5. Shri Mahendra Kumar has filed this application for substitution of his name in execution application filed by her mother who died on 06.04.2023. In this application, it is stated that since his mother has died on 06.04.2023, therefore, his name be substituted in this case. An affidavit has also been filed in support of his submission in which it is also stated that he is the only son of his deceased mother. In support of his claim he has filed Legal Heirship Certificate dated 14.08.2023.</p> <p>6. Having perused the material placed on record, we find that Shri Mahendra Kumar being legal heir of the deceased applicant may be allowed to be substituted in her place as applicant. Accordingly, substitution application is allowed. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate necessary amendment within a week. M.A. No 1801 of 2023 stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>Ex-A/128 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 139 of 2021</u></b></p> <p>7. List on <b>23.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 3)**

**Ex A/147 of 2022 alongwith M.A. No. 600 of 2023**  
**(early hearing application) Inre O.A. No. 60 of 2016**

**Lt Col Mukesh Baboo through Gulnaz Hussain** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Applicant is present in Court but learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Part compliance report filed by the respondents is on record.</p> <p>3. Applicant was directed to file objection, if any, but objection has not been filed. Today learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>4. Applicant present in Court prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file objection, if any, on compliance report filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>5. List on <b>08.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 4)**

**O.A. No. 361 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (ACP Hav) Jaya Prakash**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shaurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Respondents are directed to file legible copy of Annexure-6 and also copy of PPO by the next date of listing.</p> <p>3. Applicant may file objection, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 5)**

**O.A. No. 381 of 2023**

**Hav/NA Abhay Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amended copy of O.A. filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file supplementary counter affidavit on amended portion of the O.A., but supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that amended copy of O.A. has been provided to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>6. List on <b>30.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 6)**

**O.A. No. 439 of 2023**

**Nb Sub (TA) Bhuvanesh Kumar Pathak**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Naveen Giri and Shri Sachida Nand Tiwari,  
Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate holding brief for Shri Naveen Giri, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>30.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 7)**

**O.A. No. 632 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Dharmendra Tyagi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar and Shri Pramod Kumar Tripathi,  
Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant through virtual mode and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents who is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Arguments heard.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 8)**

**O.A. No. 635 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Rajeev Kushwah**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar and Shri Pramod Kumar Tripathi,  
Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and it will be filed during course of the day. She prays for and is allowed a week's further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p>4. List on <b>03.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 9)**

**O.A. No. 636 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Brajendra Singh Yadav**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar and Shri Pramod Kumar Tripathi,  
Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 10)**

**O.A. No. 980 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Prem Chand Sharma**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 11)**

**O.A. No. 1222 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Surendra Dutt**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to incorporate the amendment within two weeks but amendment has not been incorporated. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week's further time, as last opportunity, to incorporate amendment, failing which O.A. will be dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 12)**

**O.A. No. 1430 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2081 of 2023**

**Nb Sub Shri Kant Tiwari (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Matri Datt Tripathi and Shri Lal Mani, Advocates  
Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application, but no objection has been filed.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2081 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>4. There is delay of 01 year and 24 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1430 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>8. Matter being relates to battle casualty needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>26.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 13)**

**O.A. No. 1441 of 2023**

**Brig Vijendra Singh Joon**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of this O.A. filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within one week.</p> <p>3. List on <b>29.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 14)**

**O.A. No. 1450 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2098 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Jagpal Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2098 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 05 years, 02 months and 77 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>5. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1450 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. Matter being related to MACP needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List this case on <b>06.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 15)**

**O.A. No. 1451 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2096 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (DSC) Hansa Bahadur Rana**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2096 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. There is delay of 04 years, 05 months and 27 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1451 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter being related to MACP needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on <b>09.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 16)**

**O.A. No. 1490 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2155 of 2023**

**Ex Spr Kalika Prasad**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate holding brief for Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1, 2, 4 and 5 is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 3 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 37 years 11 months and 27 days in filing Original Application. Respondents were granted time to file objection on application for condonation of delay, but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed 03 weeks further time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on <b>19.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 17)**

**O.A. No. 31 of 2014**

**Jeetendra Bahadur Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the respondents the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.05.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 18)**

**O.A. No. 344 of 2021**

**Ex JWO Anil Kumar Ojha**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Ms Nisha Pandey and Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant has given an application for providing copy of DPC since 2006 onwards on which verbal objection raised on behalf of the respondents stating that copy of DPC cannot be provided to applicant as it contains details of other candidates also.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.05.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser 19)**  
**O.A. No. 398 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Ashwani Kumar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 20)**

**O.A. No. 421 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Akhilesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Ms Preeti Mala, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Better affidavit has not been filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>4. Let rejoinder affidavit and better affidavit be filed by the parties by the next date fixed.</p> <p>5. List on <b>01.03.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 21)**

**O.A. No. 133 of 2023**

**Smt Sanjeev, W/o Late Sep Mange Ram**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>16.05.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser 22)**

**O.A. No. 435 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Trilok Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>16.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the respondents submits that he intends to seeks instructions on stepping up of pay. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.03.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>