

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O. A. No. 303 of 2022

Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor, Advocates

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Judgment pronounced.</p> <p>2. O.A. No. 303 of 2022 is allowed.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our judgment and order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p> |

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to register this case as O.A.</p> <p>4. List for hearing on admission on 01.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 419 of 2024

Court No. 2 (Supp No 3)

Ex Spr Naweens Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate appearing for the respondents submits that he will file memo of appearance on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day. He is permitted to do so.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondents through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. List on 06.05.2024 for hearing on admission.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 423 of 2024 with M.A. No. 502 of 2024

Court No. 2 (Supp No 4)

Ex Sub Ghanshyam
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana and Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 502 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. This application has been filed for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. There is delay of 09 months and 14 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 423 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment which needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 12.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 424 of 2024

Court No. 2 (Supp No 5)

Sub Vikas Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, learned counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents has raised objection regarding territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any, on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>4. List on 14.05.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

Ex-A/216 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 501 of 2018

Smt Geeta Pal, W/o Late Ex Cfn Ramesh Chandra

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present in Court.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 25.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/325 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 585 of 2019

Court No. 2 (Ser No 2)

Nb Ris (RT) Rakesh Kumar Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that cost of Rs 25,000/- has been deposited and rest amount of Rs 10,000/- shall be deposited shortly. It is further submitted that writ petition is being filed against order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 16.12.2021 passed in O.A. No. 585 of 2019, but the order has not been complied with. Reasons submitted by the respondents for non compliance of order of this Tribunal are not sufficient.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he will file affidavit of responsible officer during course of the day.</p> <p>6. As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance, failing which coercive action shall be initiated against the respondents.</p> <p>7. List on 25.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

**Ex-A/399 of 2023 Inre R.A. No. 9 of 2022
Inre O.A. No. 107 of 2021**

Ex Sep Dhananjay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Affidavit regarding present status of the case and cause of delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondent prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which will be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 25.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be deposited by the respondents in the registry. The cost so deposited shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 26.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/449 of 2023 Inre O.A. (A) No. 399 of 2021

Court No. 2 (Ser No 5)

Ex Nk Radhey Shyam Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 26.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/461 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 706 of 2020

Court No. 2 (Ser No 6)

Ex Hav Girish Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, learned counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance subject to payment of cost of Rs 6,000/- which shall be deposited by the respondents in the registry and shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to file an affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for non implementation of order of this Tribunal, within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 26.07.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

M.A. No. 1849 of 2018 Inre O.A. (Nil) of 2018

Court No. 2 (Ser No 7)

Ex Sep Raj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri AK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Shri Rahul Mishra and Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri AK Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Shri Rahul Mishra, and Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 361 of 2012 with M.A. No. 452 of 2019

Court No. 2 (Ser No 8)

Sangeeta Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan and Shri DS Tiwari, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SK Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. Shri RKS Chauhan and Shri DS Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Shri DS Tiwari, learned counsel for the respondent No 5 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 95 of 2017

Court No. 2 (Ser No 9)

Gaganjot Kaur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Kumar Verma and Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Kumar Verma and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 73 of 2018

Court No. 2 (Ser No 10)

Smt Bobby Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, learned counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Brief holder submits that Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, learned counsel for the applicant is out of station. He prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 06.08.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p>rathore</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 191 of 2018

Court No. 2 (Ser No 11)

Sandeep Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><div><div>19.04.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this O.A. is not maintainable because applicant has been discharged from service. He prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file preliminary objection on maintainability of this O.A.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 06.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 272 of 2019

Court No. 2 (Ser No 12)

Sandeep Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pratyush Chaube, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pratyush Chaube, learned counsel for the applicant is not present and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 06.08.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ram Chandra Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant is not present and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of this O.A. filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Court No. 2 (Ser No 14)

Nk Sanjay Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is not present and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 311 of 2021

Court No. 2 (Ser No 15)

Smt Chandrakanata W/o Hav Krishan Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Shailendra Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Shri Rajeev Dubey and Shri Pravin Pratap Singh, Advocates

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant to represent this case. Ms Appoli Srivastava, Shri Rajeev Dubey and Shri Pravin Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. None is present on behalf of the applicant. The case is dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, learned counsel for the respondent No 1-4 are present through virtual mode. Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents No 5 is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondent No 5 is directed to file counter affidavit within a week.</p> <p>3. It is submitted that subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant is not present and Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondent No 1-4 are present. Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent No 5 has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list.</p> <p>2. Respondent No 5 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 15.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 36 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Ser No 18)

PO (RP) Haritendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Anil Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is not present and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Anil Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed, therefore, opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit is hereby closed.</p> <p>3. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p>rathore</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 319 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Ser No 19)

Cpl Upendra Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is not present and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Let notice be issued to respondent No 4. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week.</p> <p>3. It is submitted that subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 385 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Ser No 20)

Nk Krishna Nand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar and Shri Sanjai Singh, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed an affidavit which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In this affidavit it is submitted that respondents have stopped deduction of maintenance allowance from salary of the applicant. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that after stoppage of deduction from salary, this O.A. has become infructuous and now he wants to withdraw this O.A.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, this O.A. is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is not present and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On 12.01.2024 and 29.02.2024 applicant was directed to take steps for issuance of notice to respondent No 5 but steps has not been taken as reported by the registry.</p> <p>3. Today, none is present for the applicant. This shows that applicant is not interested to pursue the matter.</p> <p>4. This O.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 649 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Ser No 22)

Sub (Pharm) Pawan Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant who is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents who is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Arguments completed.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 867 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Ser No 23)

Lt Col Susheel Kumar Mahapatro Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 are present. Shri Naresh Kumar Pathak, learned counsel for the respondent No 6 to 8 is also present.</p> <p>2. Application for recall of order dated 16.12.2023 filed on behalf of the respondent No 6 to 8 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on recall application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. It is submitted that subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi</p> <p>6. List on 06.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 982 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 24)

Ex Hav Kanhai Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Satoj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla and Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and 6 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 7 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 11.07.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 1164 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Supp No. 25)

Smt Babita Rani W/o Sub Vinod Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate
Versus

Applicant

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>2. Objection has already been filed by respondent No 1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Respondent No 4 was granted time to file objection, but the same has not been filed. Today, none is present for respondent No 4</p> <p>4. It is submitted that subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>5. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 1269 of 2023

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 26)

Master Maanik Chaudhary through His mother
Kamlesh Singh W/o late Lt Akash Chaudhary
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : , Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that he will file vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. List on 12.07.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No. 54 of 2022

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 27)

Komal (Minor) D/o Spr Sanjay Kumar
(through legal guardian Rame Singh)
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri....., Advocate

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p><p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs Kavita Mishra and Shri Rahul Pal, learned counsel for the respondents are present. None is present on behalf of the petitioner.</p><p>2. Notices were issued to petitioner and counsel who had filed petition in Hon’ble High Court on behalf of the petitioner. Despite service of notice, none is present for the petitioner to represent the case.</p><p>3. The petition is dismissed in default.</p><div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p>rathore</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No. 61 of 2022

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 28)

Gnr Ajay Singh S/o Sh Dushyant Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri, Advocate

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Shri Santosh Kumar Rai and Shri Hemendra Kumar Rai, Advocates

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs Anju Singh, Shri Santosh Kumar Rai and Shri Hemendra Kumar Rai, learned counsel for the respondents are present. None is present on behalf of the petitioner to represent the case.</p> <p>2. Notices were issued to petitioner and counsel who had filed petition in Hon’ble High Court on behalf of the petitioner. Despite service of notice, none is present for the petitioner to represent the case.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. It is submitted that subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>5. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>rathore</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No. 63 of 2022

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 29)

Smt Mamta Yadav W/o
Hav Surendra Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Anil Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Petitioner
Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate
Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anil Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner is not present. Shri Pushpendra Mishra, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to take steps for issuance of notice to respondent No 4, but steps has not been taken. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week, failing which the case will be dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. It is submitted that subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No 202 of 2012

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 30)

Vijay Kant Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 23.07.2024 for physical hearing.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div> |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate holding brief of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 26.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 156 of 2021

Hav Deo Narayan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Col AK Srivastava (Retd) and Ms Hemlata, Advocates

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 32)
Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <div><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. In this case counter and rejoinder affidavits hve already been filed.</div><div>3. Pleadings are complete.</div><div>4. It is submitted that subject Matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</div><div>5. List on 27.08.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 363 of 2021

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 33)

Maj Rajani Kant Rai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | <p><u>19.04.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved an application for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, application for adjournment is allowed and the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that copy of supplementary affidavit has not been supplied to her. Applicant is directed to provide copy of supplementary affidavit to learned counsel for the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>4. It is submitted that subject Matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>5. List on 27.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> |