

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 336 of 2024 with M.A. No. 411 of 2024

Ex Hav Ram Raj Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Memo of Appearance filed by Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents No. 4 & 5 is taken on record. Their name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of more than 22 years in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 and 4 & 5 prays for and is granted three weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 28.05.2024.</p> <p>6. Registry is directed to correct name of learned counsel for the applicant as Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey in place of Shri Vijay Kumar Asthana in the cause list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 338 of 2024

Ex Rect Gabar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd) and
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week time to correct name of respondent No. 2 in the array of parties.</p> <p>4. List on 16.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 3)

O.A.(A). No. 326 of 2024 with M.A. No. 408 of 2024

Rahul Banshwar

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. This Original Application (Appeal) has been filed under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for quashing SCM proceedings, findings and sentence dated 13.03.2020 and order rejecting the petition of the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 01 years, 06 months and 18 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>5. For the reasons mentioned in the affidavit filed in support of application, there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. (A) No. 326 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 23.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 4)

EX.A. No. 135 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 165 of 2023

Ex Sub Ravi Sharan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This is fresh execution application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 03.11.2023 passed in OA No. 165 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 30.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 5)

EX.A. No. 136 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 247 of 2023

Ex Sub Murare Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>3. This is fresh execution application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 10.11.2023 passed in OA No. 247 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 30.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 6)

EX.A. No. 140 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 728 of 2023

Ex PO WTR Bimal Kumar Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>3. This is fresh execution application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 21.08.2023 passed in OA No. 728 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 31.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 406 of 2024 with M.A. No. 407 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 115 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicants-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex ACP Nb Sub Nagendra Kumar Singh

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 19.12.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 115 of 2023.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we are of the view that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is rejected. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 1)

EX.A. No. 109 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 136 of 2023

Ex Nk Chinta Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj MS Mustafa (Retd), Advocate holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This is fresh execution application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 16.10.2023 passed in OA No. 136 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 31.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 2)

T.A. No. 8 of 2024

Ex Sept/SKT Jagmal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>4. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>5. On request of learned counsel for the parties, List this case on 16.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 3)

EX. A. No. 228 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 603 of 2021

Ex Hav (Amb Asst) Mahendra Kumar Jena Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents alongwith Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of the order is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs. 6000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 02.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 4)

EX. A. No. 302 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 256 of 2020

Smt Haruli Devi W/o Late Ex Sep Ram Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar and
Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs. 8000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 02.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 5)

EX. A. No. 136 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 257 of 2020

Ex Sub Sushil Kumar Das

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted last opportunity to file objection, if any, on affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file objection failing which opportunity shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 14.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 6)

EX. A. No. 294 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 20 of 2022

Hav (PA) Babban Chauhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs. 5000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the execution application by the next date fixed.5. List on 04.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 7)

EX. A. No. 442 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 440 of 2022

Smt Sapna Devi W/o Ex NC (E) K Raju Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri AK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri AK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 16.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 8)

EX. A. No. 469 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1074 of 2022

Ex Sub Shishu Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs. 5000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 04.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 811 of 2022

Smt Ratnamani Sahu, M/O Late Cfn Durga Charan Sau & another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava and Shri Ashutosh Kumar
Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Gitanjali Nayak, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1, 3 & 4, through virtual mode and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 2 are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 submits that he is not inclined to file written objection on maintainability of O.A..</p> <p>3. Objection on maintainability has already been filed by the respondent No. 1, 3 & 4.</p> <p>4. Notice has been issued to respondent No. 5 but service of the notice is still awaited.</p> <p>5. List the matter on 28.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No.10)

O.A. No. 849 of 2022

Sub MV Karunagaran

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents but reply has not been filed.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity of a week is granted to file reply, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 04.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 269 of 2023

Ex Sub Birendra Partap Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 14.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 12)

O.A. No. 504 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Ramakant

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dilip Pandey, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri PK Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Dilip Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 24.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 644 of 2023

Ex Sgt CM Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh and
Shri Sardul Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Brief holder of the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 20.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 655 of 2023

Gp Capt AK Srivastava

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Gp Capt Y Venugopal (Retd) and
Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 04.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 691 of 2023

Ex Spr Santosh Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit failing which opportunity shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List for final hearing on 23.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 722 of 2023

Ex Sub Hridyanand Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Several opportunities have been availed by the respondents but counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as one more last opportunity, to file counter affidavit failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 08.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 941 of 2023

Ex Hav Ram Pratap Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 31.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1096 of 2023

Dr. Maj Premlal Sreekumar

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shrikant Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shrikant Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 04.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1383 of 2023

Nb Sub Ram Babu Verma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 05.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 48 of 2024

Kendre Nathrao Manika

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SSL Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SSL Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 02 years, 01 month and 11 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application filed by the applicant and objection filed by the respondents.</p> <p>5. For the reasons mentioned in the affidavit filed in support of application, there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 48 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>7. Admit.</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. List on 19.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 21)

O.A. No. 134 of 2024 with M.A. No. 159 of 2024

Ex Sep Srinivas Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav,
Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability of this O.A. filed on behalf of the respondents and reply filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that O.A. is not maintainable in view of Section 21 of the AFT Act, 2007 as appeal against conviction of Trial Court filed in Hon'ble High Court, Patna is pending. He further submits that in the absence of the final judgment of the Appellate Court, the matter is premature for further adjudication.</p> <p>4. In reply, learned counsel for the applicant submits that vide order dated 16.02.2017 the Hon'ble High Court, Patna suspended the execution of sentence of conviction passed by Session Court. He further submits that after suspension of sentence the applicant joined the service w.e.f. 26.02.2017 and served in Army till 28.02.2022. He was discharged from service w.e.f. 28.02.2022 (AN) on completion of terms of engagement. It is further submitted that the applicant was paid salary and all other benefits for more than five years till discharge from service.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that in response to applicant's representation dated 11.07.2022 for grant of pension, certain documents were asked by the respondents which were submitted on 14.11.2022 but till date there is no reply has been received from the respondents. It is further submitted that in view of representation filed in the year 2022, this O.A. is maintainable in terms of Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.</p> <p>6. Having heard submissions of both the sides and in view of the fact that</p>

applicant served in the Army for five years during suspension of sentence and the fact that a representation dated 11.07.2022 was submitted to respondents, this O.A. is maintainable before this Tribunal.

M.A. No. 159 of 2024

7. There is a delay of 01 year and 05 months in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.

8. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.

9. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.

10. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.

11. Accordingly, delay is condoned.

O.A. No. 134 of 2024

12. This O.A. has been filed for grant of pensionary benefits. Matter needs adjudication.

13. Admit.

14. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

15. List on **19.07.2024**.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 22)
O.A.(A). No. 430 of 2023 with M.A. No. 525 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Mohammed Shahab Alam (Through wife Smt Saba Perveen) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Brijendra Singh, Shri Rohit Kumar
and Romil Pathak, Advocates
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar and Shri Romil Pathak (through virtual mode), Ld. Counsel for the appellant, and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant is under custody in this offence for more than 5 years and sentence passed by the General Court Martial (GCM) is of 6 years Rigorous Imprisonment. On this basis, he contended that appellant has already in custody for more than 50% of the punishment awarded by the GCM but in Para 4 of the Bail Application which has been moved on 31.03.2023, it is mentioned that appellant is in custody for more than 3 years including military and civil custody whereas learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that total custody period of the appellant is not more than 300 days. Therefore, before hearing of the bail application, it will be necessary to clarify the custody period of the appellant in this appeal. Hence, respondents are directed to file supplementary affidavit clarifying total custody period of the appellant in this offence within a week.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the appellant is also directed to file affidavit clarifying the total custody period of the appellant in this offence including civil jail and military custody.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that GCM proceedings record summoned by the Tribunal for perusal is available with Legal Cell. Learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell are directed to retain original record or ensure their production before the Bench on next date of hearing for disposal of the bail application.</p> <p>5. List on 04.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 23)

O.A.(A). No. 1415 of 2023

Gnr (TA) Abhishek Kumar Mishra (Through Pairokar) Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on 18.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 236 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Bachan Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 11.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 569 of 2022

Ex Cfn Kamal Kant Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 904 of 2022

Km. Meera Verma D/o Late Sub Dhaneshwar Ram Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Navita Sharma and
Shri Maneesh Kumar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava and
Shri Suresh Singh, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Navita Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 19.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 27)

T.A. No. 20 of 2017

Dhananjay Kumar

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Maj Vinay Sharma
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 04.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 28)

T.A. No. 3 of 2021

Ex Nk Clk Netrapal Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>22.03.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 31.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>