

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 1)**

**EX. A. No. 221 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2022**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Dhirendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file reply regarding annexure No A2 and A3 annexed with affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 2)**

**EX. A. No. 501 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1122 of 2022**

**Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Satya Narayan (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra  
and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance, failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 3)**

**EX. A. No. 63 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 514 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Naval Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that execution of order is under process. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 4)**

**EX. A. No. 78 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 915 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (TS) Jagdish Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1,2,3 and 6 are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Allahabad is also present.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri Nitin Srivastava, Advocate has filed vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent No 4 and 5 which is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file their reply by next date of listing</p> <p>5. List on <b>09.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 915 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (TS) Jagdish Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Shri Nitin Srivastava, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No 5 submits that he will file vakalatnama within two weeks. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No 5.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. Interim order is extended till further order.</p> <p>6. List on <b>09.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 6)**

**M.A. No. 1303 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1304 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 307 of 2016**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

**Ex Sub Jagirman Rai**

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Reply to objection on leave to appeal filed on behalf of the applicants-Union of India &amp; Ors is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India and Others and Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. counsel for the respondent-applicant.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1303 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>3. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal in O.A. No. 307 of 2016.</p> <p>4. There is delay of 180 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India &amp; Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 19.05.2022, some delay has taken place on account of getting instructions from the department to prefer leave to appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>6. On the other hand, submission of learned counsel for the respondent-applicant is that delay of six months being not properly explained, delay condonation application deserves to be dismissed.</p> <p>7. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved beyond statutory period. The Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to condone the delay under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. It is well settled proposition of law that the Tribunal is not empowered to condone the delay in moving application for leave to appeal. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to</p>

appeal is **rejected**.

**M.A. No. 1304 of 2022**

8. This application has been filed, under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 19.05.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 307 of 2016, beyond statutory of limitation.

9. Since delay condonation application has been rejected, in consequence thereof the application for leave to appeal is also **rejected**.

**(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Anil Kumar)**  
**Member (J)**

*rathore*

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 856 of 2022**

**Smt Khutta Devi W/o Late Ex Nk Rajpal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief for Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to file rejoinder affidavit but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed. It is submitted by brief holder that learned counsel for the applicant is not well. He prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 381 of 2023**

**Hav/NA Abhay Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended O.A. but supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that supplementary counter affidavit has been sent for vetting. He prays for and is allowed a week's further time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 439 of 2023**

**Nb Sub (TA) Bhuvanesh Kumar Pathak**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Naveen Giri and

Shri Sachida Nand Tiwari, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sachida Nand Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that since learned counsel for the respondents is not available today, case may be adjourned. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>19.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No.10)**

**O.A. No. 525 of 2023 with M.A. No. 634 of 2023**

**Wg Cdr Gaurav Saxena**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari  
and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the applicant. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Reply filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to supply copy of the reply to learned counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No.11)**

**O.A. No. 1188 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Khedkar Sharad Sukhdev**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No.12)**

**O.A. No. 1389 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Sanjay Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>01.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No.13)**

**O.A. No. 1443 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (DSC) Megh Bahadur**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received parawise comments. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>01.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No.14)**

**O.A. No. 1470 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2128 of 2023**

**Smt Vidyavati W/o Shri Sishupal Singh Nephew of Rect Bhikham Singh Applicant**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra and  
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra and  
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 5 to 6 are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2128 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 25 years, 05 months and 29 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonaiton of delay.</p> <p>3. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application, but no objection has been filed.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay is not deliberate but for the reasons mentioned in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application. His further submission is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1470 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter being related to grant of special family pension needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on <b>20.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No.15)**

**O.A. No. 1490 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2155 of 2023**

**Ex Spr Kalika Prasad**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar and

Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 and 2 and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 3 are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to objection but reply has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Brief holder prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file reply.</p> <p>4. List on <b>18.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No.16)**

**O.A. No. 24 of 2024 with M.A. No. 27 of 2024**

**Ex Sgt Ramesh Kumar Prajapat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the parties. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application, but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>11.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No.17)**

**O.A. No. 338 of 2024**

**Ex Rect Gabar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd) and  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he intends to withdraw this O.A. with liberty to file afresh. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>3. Applicant is allowed to withdraw this O.A. with liberty to file it afresh.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, this O.A. is <b>dismissed</b> as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 380 of 2024 with M.A. No. 454 of 2024**

**Ex Sub Chhote Bhaiya Mishra**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to move amendment application. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to move amendment application.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 383 of 2024 with M.A. No. 458 of 2024**

**Ex Sub Subhash Chandra Saxena** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he wants to move amendment application. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to move amendment application.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 20)**

**O.A.(A). No. 430 of 2023 with M.A. No. 525 of 2023**

**Ex L/Nk Mohammed Shahab Alam (Through wife Smt Saba Perveen)** Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Brijendra Singh, Shri Rohit Kumar  
and Romil Pathak, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Brijendra Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were directed to file affidavit regarding custody period of the appellant but required affidavit has not been filed, despite giving last opportunity.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's more time as second last opportunity to file required affidavit regarding custody period of the appellant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>28.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 21)**

**O.A.(A). No. 554 of 2023 with M.A. No. 689 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Mahaveer Singh**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant is present in Court and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to some technical error he intends to withdraw this O.A. with liberty file it afresh. He prays for and is allowed to withdraw this O.A. with liberty to file it afresh.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, this O.A. is <b>dismissed</b> as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 22)**

**O.A.(A). No. 1189 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1645 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Sabbir Khan**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply, but no reply has been filed, therefore, opportunity to file reply is hereby closed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>12.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No. 23)**

**T.A. No. 25 of 2023**

**Sijar Kumar Dhaka**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri ....., Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the petitioner. Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. This petition has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad on transfer. Notices were issued to the petitioner and the learned counsel who had filed petition before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Notices sent to the petitioner and his counsel deemed to be sufficient. None is present on behalf of the petitioner to represent the case.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, this T.A. is <b>dismissed</b> in default.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 106 of 2013 with M.A. No. 464 of 2020**

**Mahabir Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will file written submission within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>16.05.2024</b> as first case for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 388 of 2017**

**Gagan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Shurma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 20 of 2021**

**Lt Col Kapil Khandelwal**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey and  
Shri Chandra Bhan Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>30.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 3 of 2022**

**Ex Nb Sub Ramesh Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>09.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 573 of 2022 alongwith O.A. No. 880 of 2021 (Decided)**

**Hav Baggu Mahesh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>09.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No.29)**

**O.A. No. 955 of 2022**

**Lt Col Sanjeev Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>30.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 232 of 2023**

**Smt Kalpana Tripathi W/o Late Hav Yatindra Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No. 31)**

**O.A. No. 1106 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1505 of 2023**

**Nb Sub (PA) Jitendra Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.04.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>