

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)**

**O. A. No. 634 of 2024**

**Nb Sub (Gnr) Manoj Kumar PK** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This O.A. has been filed for grant of promotion.</li><li>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on admission.</li><li>5. Matter needs adjudication</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks next.</li><li>8. List on <b>22.10.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)**

**O. A. No. 637 of 2024 with M.A. No. 729 of 2024**

**Sgt Mahendra Kumar Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 729 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 07 months and 07 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that the cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Delay condonation application stand disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 637 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. This O.A. has been filed for fixing the band pay of the applicant equal to his junior and grant of arrears of difference of salary/band pay. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>22.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)**

**O. A. No. 640 of 2024 with M.A. No. 732 of 2024**

**Ex Hav Jyotir Mitra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and  
Shri DK Dixit, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. There is delay of more than 15 years in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding maintainability of this O.A. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on delay condonation application as well as on maintainability of this o.a.</p> <p>5. List on <b>30.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)**

**EX-A No. 245 of 2024 Inre O. A. No. 165 of 2020**

**Smt Suman Shahi W/o HFO (Late) Ram Adhar Shahi (Retd) & Other** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 19.05.2023 passed in O.A. No. 165 of 2020.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>03.10.2024</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 1)**

**EX. A. No. 268 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1855 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 231 of 2018**

**Bhupendar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report, failing which respondents shall deposit additional cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost till next date of listing.</p> <p>4. List on <b>01.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 284 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 98 of 2011**

**Smt Ram Kumari Pandey W/o Moti Lal Pandey (Deceased)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey  
and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost of Rs 10,000/- is still due against the respondents.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost till next of listing.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p>5. Dasti copy to learned for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 3)**

**EX.A. No. 305 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 9 of 2017**

**Smt Radha Devi, W/o Late Sep Gyan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order of this Tribunal has been stayed by the order of the Hon'ble Apex Court. Copy of the order has been filed alongwith affidavit.</p> <p>3. Since order of this Tribunal has been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court, list on <b>03.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 4)**

**EX-A. No. 371 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 135 of 2021**

**Smt Bhagwan Devi, W/O Late Ex Sep Jagvir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Advocate holding brief for Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Despite giving several opportunities and even last opportunity, no objection has been filed by learned counsel for the applicant. Today, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. It seems that learned counsel for the applicant does not intend to file any objection against compliance report filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>4. In apropos above, this execution application is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 5)**

**EX-A. No. 208 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 845 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Ajab Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma , Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that Govt sanction has been accorded on 14.05.2024. He prays for and is allowed four weeks time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on <b>03.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 6)**

**EX-A. No. 209 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 451 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Mohd Altamash Quraishy** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list as Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that Govt sanction has been accorded in February, 2024. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>03.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 266 of 2023**

**Ex Swr Kalicharan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra and Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Shri Saket Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 5 are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week's further time, as second last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Further recovery from pensionary benefits of the applicant shall remain stayed till next date fixed.</p> <p>5. List on <b>04.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 8)**  
**O.A. No. 1184 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1640 of 2023**

**Col Rakesh Chandra Jain (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1640 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. There is a delay of 01 year and 20 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Objection has been filed by learned counsel for the respondents on delay condonation application and maintainability of this O.A. on the ground of not availing of alternative remedy. Reply to objection has been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. We are of the view that cause shown by learned counsel for the applicant being sufficient, delay condonation application is allowed and objection raised by learned counsel for the respondents is over ruled.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1184 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>8. Matter being related to grant of notional increment needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>08.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 1383 of 2023**

**Nb Sub Ram Babu Verma**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 1521 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Amrit Nath Pal**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh and Shri Ashutosh Kumar  
Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted bny Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate that subject matter is pending before the Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the parties, list on <b>21.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 1533 of 2023**

**L/Nk Jabir Ali**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhishek Srivastava and  
Shri Suraj Bhan Yadav, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, no one is present on behalf of the applicant. Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that there is delay in filing O.A. but Registry has not raised any objection regarding delay in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents may file objection, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>24.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 22 of 2024**

**Smt Sheetal Yadav W/o Late Sep/Ash Arvind Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chkraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 133 of 2024**

**WO Darshan Lal Dhingra (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Shri Madan Pal Vats  
and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 163 of 2024 with M.A. No. 201 of 2024**

**Sep Sachin Thakur (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Objection against delay condonation application as well maintainability of this O.A. filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 201 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is a delay of 01 year, 05 months and 22 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained. He further submits that applicant has not availed alternative remedy.</p> <p>6. We are of the view that cause shown by learned counsel for the applicant being sufficient, delay condonation application is allowed and objection on maintainability raised by learned counsel for the respondents is over ruled.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 163 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. Matter being related to grant of notional increment needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on <b>24.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 300 of 2024 with M.A. No. 377 of 2024**

**Spr Manoj Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of this O.A., but objection has not been filed.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 377 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is delay of 02 months and 01 day in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Time was granted to learned counsel for the respondents for filing objection on delay condonation application as well as on maintainability of this O.A. but no objection has been filed.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing original application is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support in delay condonation application.</p> <p>7. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained and no alternative remedy has been availed before filing the present O.A.</p> <p>8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case we allow delay condonation application. Delay in filing O.A. is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 300 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for quashing the finding and sentence awarded by the SCM dated 07.06.2023 and grant of service benefits. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p>12. List on <b>24.10.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(SI. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 416 of 2024 with M.A. No. 497 of 2024**

**Ex Sep Harish Chandra Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Notice was issued to respondent No 4. Today, Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate appeared for respondent No 4 and filed Vakalatnama which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>21.10.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2(Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 449 of 2024 with M.A. No. 637 of 2024 & M.A. No. 528 of 2024**

**Raju Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amendment application filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Amendment application is allowed.</p> <p>4. Applicant is directed to carry out amendment within a week and provide amended copy to learned counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p>5. List on <b>08.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 18)**

**O.A.(A). No. 1169 of 2023**

**Ex Cpl Praveen Awasthi**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Rahul Mishra and  
Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>01.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 19)**

**R.A. No. 22 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 51 of 2023**

**Ex Capt Viraj Singh Chaudhary**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Maj SM Mustafa (Retd) and  
Shri VP Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. In this case Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate is the counsel on behalf of the respondents but name of Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate has wrongly been mentioned in the cause list.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to correct name of the counsel when the case is listed next.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 20)**

**T.A. No. 44 of 2022**

**Ex Cpl SP Dubey**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri ....., Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. None appeared on behalf of the petitioner.</p> <p>2. This case has been received by this Tribunal on transfer from High Court Court Jabalpur (Gwalior Bench). No one is present for the petitioner. Notices were issued to the petitioner and counsel for the petitioner but notices were received unserved.</p> <p>3. Since none is present on behalf of the petitioner, this case is dismissed due to non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 21)**

**T.A. No. 51 of 2022**

**L/Nk Deepak Kumar**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Anagh Mishra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. No one is present for the petitioner.</p> <p>2. Petitioner was directed to file bench copy but bench copy has not been filed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>24.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 22)**

**DY-No. 1836 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 863 of 2023**

**Ex LD Arun Prakash Trivedi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Registry has pointed out defect observing that there is delay in filing O.A., but no delay condonation application has been filed.</p> <p>4. Applicant is directed to rectify the defect within a week.</p> <p>5. List on <b>19.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 23)**

**DY-No. 1871 of 2024**

**Mrs Puri Maya Ghatri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. None is present for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Registry has pointed out defect but defect has not been rectified.</p> <p>4. Applicant is directed to rectify the defect within a week.</p> <p>5. List on <b>19.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 24)**

**DY-No. 1899 of 2024**

**Smt Pratima Thapa W/o L/Nk Kumar Sunar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh and  
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Registry has pointed out defect. Applicant is directed to rectify defect within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 1025 of 2022**

**Maj Anil Kumar Chaturvedi (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 212 of 2023**

**Smt Sadhana Singh W/O Late Hav Veer Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and  
Shri Santosh Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 399 of 2023**

**Ex WO Ganesh Shanker**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 28)**

**O.A.(A). No. 191 of 2014**

**Ravi Shankar Singh**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 29)**

**O.A.(A). No. 290 of 2015**

**Kaptan Singh** Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri SK Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 30)**

**O.A.(A). No. 353 of 2019**

**Ex Sep (L Nk) Paras Yadav**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd) and  
Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the parties. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2(SI. No. 31)**

**T.A. No. 22 of 2023**

**Smt Bala Devi** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Ms. Alka Saxsena., Advocate

Versus

**Smt Monika Tyagi & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>05.07.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Alka Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>