ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)</u>

O.A. No.1037 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1153 of 2024

Ex Sgt Dilip Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh, ShriMridul Pandey and Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

SB

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

	Member (A) Member (J)	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)` (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	10. List on 28.02.2025.	
	thereafter.	
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks	
	9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file	
	8. Admit.	
	7. Matter needs adjudication.	
	incrementalongwith consequential benefits.	
	6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional	
	O.A. No. 1037 of 2024	
	filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.	
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in	
	5. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of	
	which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.	
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in	
	Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.	
	3. There is delay of 01 year, 09 months and 02 days in filing Original	
	M.A. No. 1153 of 2024	
	Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.	
	2. HeardShri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriAlok Kumar	
	the respondents when the case is listed next.	
	of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for	
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	05.11.2024	
of the Registry		
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)

O.A. No.1038 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1154 of 2024

Ex Sep Kamal Singh

Applicant

Member (J)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriYashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

	India & Others Respondents Practitioner for Respondents :Shri, Advocate
Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
registry	05.11.2024
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriYashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and MajNaman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the
	respondents are present.
	M.A. No. 1154 of 2024
	2. There is delay of 03 years, 02 months and 20 days in filing Original
	Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in
	which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.
	4. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in
	filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.
	O.A. No. 1038 of 2024
	5. This Original Application has been filed for condoning shortfall and to pay
	service pension.
	6. Matter needs adjudication.
	7. Admit.
	8. Departmental Representative for the respondents is granted four weeks
	time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
	weeks thereafter.
	9. List on 28.02.2025.
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)` (Justice Anil Kumar)

Member (A)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

O.A. No.1040 of 2024

Sub (RT) MahashyaMahendraTiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

Dy Logai	Fracillioner for Respondents .SmiAmilioaiswai, Advocate	
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal	
of the		
Registry	05.11.2024	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the	
	respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the	
	respondents when the case is listed next.	
	2. HeardShriManoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and	
	ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and perused the record.	
	3. This Original Application has been filed for fixation of basic pay and	
	payment of arrears to the applicant.	
	4. Matter needs adjudication.	
	5. Admit.	
	6. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file	
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks	
	thereafter.	
	7. List on 28.02.2025.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)` (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)

O.A. No.1078 of 2024

Ex HavAkil Ahmed Ansari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and ShriMridulPandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribused	
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal	
of the		
Registry	05 11 2024	
	05.11.2024 Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	The state of the s	
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on	
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as	
	counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.	
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriVP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents	
	and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj are present	
	through virtual mode.	
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.	
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks	
	time to seek instruction.	
	5. List on 13.01.2025 for hearing on admission.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)` (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)

O.A.(A). No. 1060 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1187 of 2024

Sep/MT BirMahendra Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriSantosh Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriVishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes	Orders of the Tribunal	
of the		
Registry		
	05.11.2024	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriSantosh Singh, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant is present through virtual mode. MajNaman Gupta,	
	Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.	
	2. This Original Application has been filed to direct the respondents to	
	decide the appeal filed by the applicant on 18.08.2018.	
	3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks time to	
	seek instruction regarding appeal filed by the applicant and its disposal.	
	4. List on 16.12.2024 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (Supl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 1209 of 2024 Inre O.A.(A). No. 79 of 2023

RifmnTirath Ram through his father & next friend Devraj Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriYashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

the applicant.

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriYogeshKesarwani, Advocates

Notes	Orders of the Tribunal		
of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	05.11.2024 Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriYashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and ShriYogeshKesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		
	present.		
	M.A. No. 1209 of 2024		
	2. Second bail Application has been moved by the applicant on 25.10.2024		
	before this Tribunal to release him on bail during pendency of his Original		
	Application (Appeal) No. 79 of 2023.		
	3. O.A. (A) No. 79/2023 has been filed by the applicant against the order of		
	conviction and sentence passed by GCM.In this O.A. (A),1 st bail application was		
	moved by the applicant which has been rejected by this Tribunal vide order		
	dated 11.10.2023.		
	4. Against the order of rejection of bail dated 11.10.2023 passed by this		
	Tribunal, Writ Petition No. 9321/2023 was preferred by the applicant before the		
	Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench which has been		
	dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 14.05.2024 and held in		
	paras 11 & 12 that :-		
	" (11) The Tribunal which is seized with the appeal shall make an earnest endeavour to dispose of the appeal at an early date, say within a period of four months from filing of the rejoinder affidavit or failure to file the same on the date of last opportunity which is 28.05.2022. (12) In the event, the appeal is not disposed of within four months from today, it shall be open for the petitioner to move a fresh application for enlargement on bail before the Tribunal in the pending appeal which shall be considered and decided within three weeks of its filing"		
	5. The order dated 14.05.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court has been		
	brought to the notice of this Tribunal for the first time today by Ld. Counsel for		
	the applicant		

- 6. The Original Application (Appeal) filed by the applicant is already fixed for listing on 29.11.2024.
- 7. Put up the second bail application of the applicant for hearing on date already fixed in O.A. (A), i.e. **29.11.2024**.
- 8. Objection on 2nd bail application, if any, may be filed by the respondents within two weeks.
- 8. Early hearing application moved by the applicant stands disposed of accordingly.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) SB (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 7)

O.A. (A). No. 683 of 2024 with M.A. No. 777 of 2024, M.A. No.778 of 2024 & M.A. No. 779 of 2024

Lt Col Rohit Kumar Dalal

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Anil DevJamwal, Surender Pal Singh Chauhan and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondent

By Legal	Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal
of the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriSurender Pal Singh
	Chauhan, ShriAnil DevJamwal, through virtual mode and ShriManoj Kumar
	Awasthi,Ld. Counsel for appellant and ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	M.A. No. 779 of 2024

- 2. This application has been moved on behalf of the appellant under Section 15 (3) of the AFT Act, 2007 and Rule 25 of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008 for suspension of sentence awarded and to release the appellant on bail.
- Brief facts of the case are that appellant was commissioned in the Indian Army on 17.09.2005. The appellant while posted at Bareilly was charged with using criminal force to a lady Army Officer of the same unit on 16.06.2022 to outrage her modesty. The appellant was convicted by General Court Martial (GCM) and was sentenced 'To be Cashiered' and 'To suffer Rigorous Imprisonment for one year' vide order dated 09.05.2024 and the appellant is in jail.
- Submission of learned counsel for the appellant is that appellant was convicted and awarded two punishments by GCM, firstly, 'To be Cashiered' and secondly, 'To suffer Rigorous Imprisonment for one year' vide order dated 09.05.2024. The appellant has already undergone more than half of the punishment against the punishment of 01 year Rigorous Imprisonment. The appellant lost his father during the course of proceedings and his widowedmother is suffering from various ailments and needs constant medical care and attention. The wife of the appellant is a serving Army Officer who is posted in a remote area of North East India and has a school going minor daughter for whom there is no one else to look after. He placed reliance on the

judgment of the Hon'bleDelhi High Court in the case of **Major Saurabh Saharan vs. Union of India & Others**, WP No. 1755/2013 and **Maj Manish Kumar vs. Union of India & Others** in Writ Petition No. 7926/2020 and AFT (RB) Guwahati judgment in O.A. (A) No. 22/2023, **Subedar (RT) Brijesh Kumar Sharma vs. Union of India & Others**, decided on 20.09.2023 and judgment of the Hon'bleSupreme Court in the case of **Rani Kusum vs. Kanchan Devi & Others**, 2005 (6) SCC 705 and pleaded to release the appellant on bail as the appellant has already undergone more than 8 months of imprisonment against the award of 01 year.

- 5. Written objection on bail application has not been filed by the respondents. However, learned counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the prayer of the bail and submitted that appellant has been convicted in serious offence/heinous crime of sexual harassment with a lady officer of the same unit where the appellant was posted. He further submitted that he is ready to argue the case on merit to dispose the appeal finally.
- 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 7. In this case, appellant has been convicted and awarded two punishments by GCM; first punishment, 'To be Cashiered' and second punishment, 'To suffer Rigorous Imprisonment for one year' vide order dated 09.05.2024. The first punishment 'To be Cashiered' has already been completed. As regards the second punishment of 01 year Rigorous Imprisonment, it is not disputed by the parties that appellant has been detained in this case for more than 8 months against the punishment of 01 year.
- 8. Original Application filed by the appellant has been admitted by this Tribunal on 17.10.2024 and on request of learned counsel for the parties, time for filing counter and rejoinder has been granted.
- 9. Considering the fact of the case and period of detention, we are of the opinion that it may take time to dispose the appeal on merit, therefore, we are of the view that there is sufficient ground to release the appellant on bail till disposal of this Original Application (Appeal).
- 10. In view of above, the application for bail is **allowed.** The Appellant shall be released on bail forthwith on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty Thousand only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Registrar of this Tribunal. On furnishing surety, as stated above, Registrar shall then issue the release order, which in turn will be conveyed to the concerned Jail Superintendent, Civil Jail, Bareilly (UP) wherein the appellant is presently confined and serving out his sentence. The appellant after release on bail shall attend Armed Forces Tribunal on each and every date of hearing. He shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail. He shall surrender his passport (if any) and shall not leave the Country without the prior permission of this Tribunal where his case is pending. The

appellant shall not cause any hinderance in pending proceedings and shall fully cooperate in peaceful hearing of the appeal. The appellant shall not leave the jurisdiction of this Tribunal without proper permission of the Tribunal. In case any complaint with regard to his conduct during the period of bail is received, the respondents will be free to apply for cancellation of the bail granted by this Tribunal.

- 11. After release of the appellant, the bail bonds furnished for his release, shall be kept on record of this Original Application.
- 12. M.A. No 779 of 2024 stands disposed of accordingly.
- 13. List this case for hearing on Appeal on 19.12.2024.
- 14. Let a copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the parties for their necessary action and compliance.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

SB

${\bf ARMED\ FORCES\ TRIBUNAL,\ REGIONAL\ BENCH,\ LUCKNOW}$

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 1)

EX-A. No. 105 of 2023Inre O.A. No. 52 of 2018

Mahtab Singh, Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriPK Khare and Shri RK Saxena, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	05.11.2024	(1)	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Membe	er (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up	for hearing, none is present for the	
	applicant. ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. counsel	for the respondents and Shri Rajeev	
	Tiwari, Sr. Accounts Officer, PCDA (P)) Prayagraj, through virtual mode, are	
	present.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not beer	n filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respo	ndents submits that PPO has been	
	generated on 25.10.2024. He prays for and is granted twoweeks time to bring		
	the PPO on record.		
	4. List on 06.01.2025.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	SB		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 123 of 2023Inre O.A. No. 204 of 2022

Ex NkJohar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriMahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriDevesh Kumar, Advocate

	Practitioner for Respondents :ShriDevesh Kumar, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
registry	05.11.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriMahendra Kumar Singh,		
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and MajNaman Gupta,		
	Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. ShriDevesh		
	Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present today.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost due against the		
	respondents has also not been deposited. Affidavit from responsible officer has		
	also not been filed.		
	3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is		
	granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of		
	additional cost of Rs. 15000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	4. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them		
	till next date of listing.		
	5. Respondent No. 4, i.e. Commanding Officer, 505 SU, Air Force Station,		
	Memora, Lucknow shall remain present before this Bench on next date of		
	listing to clarify cause of delay in implementation of the order of this Tribunal,		
	through virtual mode.		
	6. List on 06.01.2025 .		
	7. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the		
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 127 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 670 of 2020

UdayBhan Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriAshok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriDK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry O5.11.2024 Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Co for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. 3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.	, 0	i Flacilioner for Nespondents .Smith	andey, Advocate	
D5.11.2024 Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Co for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. 3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.		of Orders of the Tribunal		
D5.11.2024 Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Co for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. 3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.				
Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Co for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. 3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.	Registry			
1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Co for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. 3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.				
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Co for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent present through virtual mode. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction. 				
for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. 3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.		Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Me	<u>:mber (A)</u>	
present through virtual mode. 2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed. 3. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.		On the case being taken up f	or hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Couns	
 Compliance affidavit has already been filed. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction. 		for the applicant and Shri DK Par	idey, Ld. counsel for the respondents a	
 Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction. 		present through virtual mode.		
filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with. 4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.		2. Compliance affidavit has alre	ady been filed.	
4. Accordingly, this execution case is disposed of in full satisfaction.		3. Applicant was granted time t	o file objection but objection has not bee	
		filed. Today, it is submitted that order has been complied with.		
(Mai Gan Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		4. Accordingly, this execution ca	ase is disposed of in full satisfaction.	
(Mai Gen Saniay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)				
Member (A) Member (J)			(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 356 of 2023Inre O.A. No. 364 of 2022

Ex NK (GD/TA) Ganesh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms.Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	05.11.2024	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present f	for the parties.
	MajNaman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the re	espondents is
	present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost of Rs. 11,000	0/- due against
	the respondents has also not been deposited.	
	3. Departmental Representative for the respondents pray	ys for and is
	granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of	Rs. 15000/- to
	file affidavit of compliance/stay order.	
	4. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due ag	gainst them till
	next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	5. List on 07.01.2025 .	
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned co	unsel for the
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Ku Member (A) Member (J)	,
	SB	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 30 of 2024Inre O.A. No. 663 of 2022

Ex Sgt Anil Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriR Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriSN Pandey, Advocates

By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents:ShriSN Pandey, Advocates	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	05.11.2024	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriR Chandra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and ShriSN Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through	
	virtual mode, are present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost due against the	
	respondents has also not been deposited.	
	3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is	
	granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15000/- to	
	file affidavit of compliance.	
	4. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till	
	next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	5. List on 09.01.2025 .	
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the	
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 31 of 2024Inre O.A. No. 479 of 2023

Ex HavSamser Ali Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriRam Saran Awasthi, Advocate

	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)			
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.				
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel fo				
	5. List on 09.01.202	5.			
	next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.				
	4. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till				
	of compliance.				
	weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 15000/- to file affidavit				
	3. Learned counsel	for the respondents prays for and is granted four			
	respondents has also not been deposited.				
	2. Compliance affic	lavit has not been filed. Cost due against the			
	through virtual mode, ar	e present.			
	for the applicant and Shr	i Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents,			
	1. On the case being	g taken up for hearing, Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel			
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay	Singh, Member (A)			
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)				
Registry	<u>05.11.2024</u>				
the					
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal				

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 37 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 226 of 2020

Ex Sep Dharmendra Singh Bhadoria

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriYashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry	05.11.2024			
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriYashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel			
	for the applicant and ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the			
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.			
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost due against the			
	respondents has also not been deposited.			
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four			
	weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 10000/- to file affidavi			
	of compliance.			
	4. Respondents are directed to file status report and also deposit a			
	cost due against them till next date of listing which shall be remitted to the			
	applicant.			
	5. List on 10.01.2025 .			
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the			
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB			

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 438 of 2024 with M.A. No. 439 of 2024 Inre T. A. No. 97 of 2011

Brij Kishore Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriRaj Kumar Mishra, Ms.Upasna Mishra andShriPradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriShyam Singh, Advocate

by Legal I	Tactitioner for Respondents Comonyam Singh, Advocate				
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal				
the					
Registry					
	<u>05.11.2024</u>				
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)				
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)				
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.				
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriShyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the				
	respondents are present through virtual mode.				
	2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the				
	respondents is taken on record.				
	3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.				
	4. List on 10.01.2025 .				
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				
	SB				

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 334 of 2023

Ex HavKarnaBahadurChhetri

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriViratAnand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari andShri Rahul DevTripathi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal				
the					
Registry					
	<u>05.11.2024</u>				
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)				
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)				
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriViratAnand Singh, Ld				
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents				
	are present.				
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that relief sought by the				
	applicant has been redressed by the respondents and now this Original				
	Application has become infructuous.				
	3. Accordingly, this Original Application is dismissed as infructuous .				
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				
	SB				

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2 (SI. No. 10)</u>

O.A. No. 655 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1052 of 2024

GpCapt AK Srivastava

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :GpCapt Y Venugopal (Retd) and ShriAmitAsthana, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriYogeshKesarwani, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal				
the					
Registry					
	<u>05.11.2024</u>				
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)				
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)				
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriAmitAsthana, Ld. Counsel				
	for the applicant and JWO HS Pandit, Departmental Representative for the				
	respondents are present.				
	2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.				
	3. List this case for final hearing on 17.01.2025.				
	4. Respondents are directed to produce original record pertaining to this				
	case for perusal of the Bench on next date of listing.				
	5. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the				
	respondents/Departmental Representative for compliance.				
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)				
	Member (A) Member (J)				
	SB				

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1031 of 2023

SmtDurvasa Devi W/o Late VirendraYadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriHariPrakashYadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriArun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	05.11.2024			
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriHariPrakashYadav, L	∟d.		
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriArun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for t	he		
	respondents are present.			
	2. Today, counter affidavit has been filed by learned counsel for t	he		
	respondents which is taken on record.			
	3. Perusal of the record shows that opportunity to file counter affidavit h	as		
	already been closed vide order dated 03.09.2024. This counter affidavit has			
	been filed after closing the opportunity to file counter affidavit.			
	4. Counter affidavit is taken on record on payment of cost of Rs. 1000/-			
	which shall be deposited by the respondents in the Registry. Cost so deposit	ed		
	shall be remitted to the applicant.			
	5. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.			
	6. List on 17.01.2025 .			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			
	SB			

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 1383 of 2023

Nb Sub Ram BabuVerma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriVinayPandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAnurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>05.11.2024</u>			
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriVinayPandey, Ld. Counse			
	for the applicant is not present. ShriAnurag Mishra, Ld. counsel for the			
	respondents is present.			
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.			
	3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.			
	4. List on 21.01.2025 .			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB			

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1420 of 2023

MWO Rakesh Kumar Pandey (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, ShriPradeep Kumar Mishra andMs.Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAshish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	Ustice Anil Kumar Member	or (1)		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member			
	Tion biemaj cen canjay cingn, membe			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.			
	Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and ShriAshish Kumar Singh,			
	Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.			
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.			
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file			
	rejoinder affidavit.			
	4. Heard arguments.			
	5. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)		
	SB			

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 545 of 2024 with M.A. No. 628 of 2024

Sub Maj (Nur Tech) SantoshBuwade

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriKKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriRKS Chauhan, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal				
the	Orders of the Tribunal				
Registry					
<u> </u>	05.11.2024				
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)				
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)				
	On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for				
	the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents a	ıre			
	present through virtual mode.				
	2. There is no delay in file Original Application, however, del	ay			
	condonation application has been filed by the applicant which is rejected as r	ot			
	required. M.A. No. 628 of 2024 stands disposed of.				
	3. This Original Application has been filed for fixation of pay and payment				
	of arrears to the applicant.				
	4. Matter needs adjudication.				
	5. Admit.				
	6. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the respondents				
	which is taken on record.				
	7. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.				
	8. List on 21.01.2025 .				
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				
	SB				

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 618 of 2024

Cpl Prasad Behera

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriNishantVerma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>05.11.2024</u>			
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Blsht, Advocate			
	holding brief of ShriNishantVerma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and			
	ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.			
	2. This Original Application has been filed for grant of NOC to the applicant			
	to appear in UPSC civil services exam.			
	3. Matter needs adjudication.			
	4. Admit.			
	5. Respondents are granted four weeks time to file counter affidavi			
	Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.			
	6. List on 21.01.2025 .			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			
	SB			

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 665 of 2024 with M.A. No. 756 of 2024

Ex Sub Sudhansu Kumar Sahu

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

SB

Respondents

	Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAnurag Mishra, Advocate			
Notes of				
the				
Registry	05.44.2024			
	05.11.2024 Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for			
	the applicant and ShriAnurag Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are			
	present.			
	M.A. No. 756 of 2024			
	2. There is delay of 08 years, 04 months and 12 days in filing Origina			
	Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.			
	3. Objection to delay condonation application filed on behalf of the			
	respondents is taken on record.			
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.			
	5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in			
	which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.			
	6. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of			
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in			
	filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed			
	of.			
	O.A. No. 665 of 2024			
	7. This Original Application has been filed for grant of 3 rd MACP and			
	revision of pension.			
	8. Matter needs adjudication.			
	9. Admit.			
	10. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to fil			
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks			
	thereafter.			
	11. List on 27.02.2025.			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)			
	Member (A) Member (J)			

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 705 of 2024

Ex Sub Jasbir Singh Josan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriSomesh Singh, Advocate

By Logar Fractitioner for Respondente termoenteen emigri, Advocate						
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal					
the						
Registry						
		.2024				
		bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Me				
	Hon'	<u>bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Me</u>	mber (A)			
	1.	On the case being taken up for	or hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for			
	the a	the applicant and ShriSomesh Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are				
	prese	present through virtual mode.				
	2.	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.				
	3.	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four				
	week	weeks further time to file counter affidavit.				
	4.	4. List on 27.02.2025 .				
	(Maj	Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)			
	SD					

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 706 of 2024

Ex Sub ShradhakarSahu

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAdesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>05.11.2024</u>	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Mem	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Memb	<u>er (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for l	nearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and ShriAdesh Kumar G	Supta, Ld. counsel for the respondents
	are present.	
	2. Counter affidavit has not been fil	ed.
	3. Learned counsel for the response	ndents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time to file counter affiday	⁄it.
	4. List on 27.02.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) SB	Member (J)

Court No. 2(SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 717 of 2024

Smt Rani Devi Alias ShyamSundari D/o Late Ex Hav Om PrakashApplicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriR Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) SB
	4. List on 10.01.2025 .
	weeks further time to file counter affidavit.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.
	virtual mode, are present.
	the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriR Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
Registry	05.11.2024
the	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal

Court No. 2(SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 720 of 2024

SmtKamlesh Devi W/o Late NkKiranpal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriKK Misra, Advocates

Versus

Chief of Army Staff& Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocates

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriKK Misra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has
	been prepared and will file the same shortly. She prays for and is granted two
	weeks further time to file counter affidavit.
	4. List on 10.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 763of 2024

Ex Sub Omveer Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriSatya Narayan Mishra and ShriOnkar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

	actitioner for respondents. SimAlok Rumai Misma, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriSatya Narayan Mishra, the
	applicant and ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through
	virtual mode, are present.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time to file counter affidavit.
	4. List on 27.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 22)

T.A. No. 20 of 2024

SmtRitanjaliRath @ Mishra W/o Late Hav Chandra Sekhar Mishra Petitioner By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner :Shri....., Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriRC Shukla, Advocate

By Legal I	Practitioner for Respondents:SnriRC Snukla, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1 On the case being taken up for bearing ChripC Chulde I decurred for
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriRC Shukla, Ld. counsel for
	the respondents is present through virtual mode.
	2. None is present for the applicant.
	3. Transferred Application is dismissed in non prosecution .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J) SB
1	SD

Court No. 2(SI. No. 23)

DY-No. 1958 of 2024

SmtReenaChaudharyW/o Late NkGianInder Singh Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriKK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

By Legal P	ractitioner for Respondents:Ms.Preeti Mala, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriKK Misra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through
	virtual mode, are present.
	2. Delay condonation application filed on behalf of the applicant in the
	court is taken on record.
	3. List on 23.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No. 2(SI. No. 24)

DY- No. 2620 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1060 of 2024

Smt Roma Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriAvinash Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:Ms.Anju Singh, Advocate

By Legal P	ractitioner for Respondents:Ms.Anju Singh, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for
	the respondents is present through virtual mode.
	2. There is application on behalf of learned counsel for the applicant to
	adjourn the case.
	3. Adjournment application filed on behalf of the applicant is allowed and
	the case is adjourned for the day.
	4. List on 23.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 298 of 2019 alongwith M.A. No. 2041 of 2016 Inre O.A.(A). No. Nil of 2016 (Decided)

Ex Nk Ram Kar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriRaj Kumar Mishra and ShriKapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriGSSikarwar, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal
	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	05.11.2024
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	in and the control of
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriRaj Kumar Mishra, Ld.
	Occurred for the applicant and Ohai OO Ollegarian I.d. correct for the
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present through virtual mode.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 16.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 872 of 2022

Ex Sub (Lab Tech) Rajeev Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriSanjaiSrivastava and

ShriAnchitSrivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriManu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

by Legal F	Tacilloner for Respondents. Stiffivation Ruffar Stivasiava, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriSanjaiSrivastava, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present through virtual mode.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 16.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 27)

O.A. No. 970 of 2022

Rambriksh Ram S/o Late Sh.Shivshankar Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriAbdul Fazal Jaffrey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

	Tactitioner for Respondents.SimAmilioaiswai, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abdul Fazal Jaffrey, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld.
	counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 17.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 1013 of 2022

Ex POMA Parijat Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :In Person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Raiiy Pandey, Advocate

	ractitioner for Respondents:Snri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate
_	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ex POMA Parijat Mishra, the
	applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present
	through virtual mode.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 17.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 29)

O.A. No. 1079 of 2022

Chandra Kali Devi W/o Late Ex LS Narendra SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriSharad Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

by Legal F	ractitioner for Respondents.ShiriAmilioaiswai, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriSharad Kumar Srivastava,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld.
	counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 20.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 30)

O.A. No. 232 of 2023

SmtKalpanaTripathiW/o Late HavYatindra Kumar Tripathi Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

by Legal Fractitioner for Respondents.ivis.Ravita iviistira, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>05.11.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are
	present through virtual mode.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 20.01.2025 .
	(Mai Gan Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB