

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supp No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 133 of 2024 with M.A. No. 155 of 2024**

**WO Darshan Lal Dhingra (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Dr. Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Shri Madan Pal Vats and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 155 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year and 05 months. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 133 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. This O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment which needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List this case on <b>28.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supp No. 2)**

**Ex-A/38 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 360 of 2022**

**Smt Kamla Devi**  
**W/o Late Reservist Ram Nath** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 03.05.2023 passed in O.A. No. 360 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>25.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**Ex. A. No. 331 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 868 of 2021**

**Ex Sep Bhopal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri RK Tiwari, Sr, Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court. Shri AN Das, PIFA (Q &amp; M), New Delhi is also present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this case financial concurrence has been accorded by PIFA on 01.02.2024 and the matter is presently pending with PS4. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable.</p> <p>4. Personal appearance of PIFA is exempted from the next date of listing.</p> <p>5. List on <b>26.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**Ex. A. No. 348 of 2022 Inre O. A. No. 774 of 2021**

**Ex PO LOG (MAT) Rahul Dev** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on affidavit of compliance filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file reply to objection.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

O. A. No. 970 of 2022

**Rambriksh Ram S/o Late Sh Shivshankar Ram**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Abul Fazal Jaffrey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abul Fazal Jaffrey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 1079 of 2022

**Chandra Kali Devi, W/o Late Ex LS Narendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and it shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O. A. No. 131 of 2023**

**Ex PO MR1 Vikram Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. The counter affidavit is ambiguous and does not give out rational/logic for reduction of pension. Under the circumstances it will serve no purpose to seek rejoinder affidavit at this stage.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O. A. No. 328 of 2023 with M.A. No. 76 of 2024**

**POM (H) Sunil Kumar Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate  
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 5 are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 76 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. This application has been moved by learned counsel for the respondent No 5 for deletion of bank respondent on the ground that bank is not necessary party as pension account of the applicant has migrated to SPARSH Portal on 23.06.2023 and now the bank is not disbursing agency of pension of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Considering that applicant's pension account has been migrated to SPARSH Portal and bank is now not a necessary party, this application is allowed. M.A. No. 76 of 2024 is disposed off. Name of respondent No 5 be deleted from the array of parties.</p> <p><b><u>O. A. No. 328 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>4. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>6. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O. A. No. 525 of 2023 with M.A. No. 634 of 2023**

**Wg Cdr Gaurav Saxena**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari  
and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to objection, but learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. On request on behalf of the applicant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>4. List on <b>30.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O. A. No. 579 of 2023**

**Ex POELP Pankaj Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate  
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O. A. No. 580 of 2023**

**Smt Chandrami Devi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate  
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Mohd Zafar Khan and  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Mohd Zafar Khan and Shri Rahul Pal are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time as last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O. A. No. 665 of 2023 with M.A. No. 800 of 2023**

**Ex PO M R 1 Bharat Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. The counter affidavit is ambiguous and does not give out rational/logic for reduction of pension. Under the circumstances it will serve no purpose to seek rejoinder affidavit at this stage.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit. time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O. A. No. 679 of 2023**

**Ex LME (Reservist) Harshawan Joshi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received parawise comments from PCDA (P), Prayagraj and counter affidavit will be filed shortly. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O. A. No. 876 of 2023**

**Ritu Pandey D/o Ex LS Late Kailash Nath Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate  
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O. A. No. 973 of 2023**

**Ex PO (UW) Shivashankar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O. A. No. 975 of 2023**

**Ex PO (US) Hemant Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O. A. No. 1027 of 2023**

**Ex POEL (P) Arvind Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 3 is taken on record. The counter affidavit is ambiguous and does not give out rational/logic for reduction of pension. Under the circumstances it will serve no purpose to seek rejoinder affidavit at this stage.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5 submits that he will file vakalatnama and counter affidavit shortly. week.</p> <p>5. As prayed, two weeks time is granted to learned counsel for the respondent No 4 and 5 to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit.</p> <p>6. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O. A. No. 1031 of 2023**

**Smt Durvasa Devi W/o Late Virendra Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Hari Prakash Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Hari PrakashYadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O. A. No. 1092 of 2023**

**Ex (PORTEL) Manoj Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 submits that counter affidavit is ready and it shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No 6 submits that his name has not been shown in the cause list. Registry is directed to show his name in the case list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>5. List on <b>05.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 18)

O. A. No. 1241 of 2023

**Ex MCPO I (US) (Hon Sub Lt) Trilok Singh Indolia**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Shri Prashant Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.04.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O. A. No. 1443 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2091 of 2023**

**Ex Nk (DSC) Megh Bahadur**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2091 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 05 year and 07 months and 25 days. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Heard Learned counsel for the parties. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1443 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. This O.A. has been filed for grant of service pension which needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List this case on <b>30.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O. A. No. 1445 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2093 of 2023**

**Nb Sub Achhai Kumar Rai**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to provide copy of Page 2A to learned counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p>4. List on <b>23.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O. A. No. 1446 of 2023**

**Nb Sub Jamwant Verma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>16.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O. A. No. 86 of 2024 with M.A. No. 102 of 2024

Smt Aradhana Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate  
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.02.2024</b>.</p> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O. A. (A) No. 474 of 2018**

**Mukesh Kumar through his wife Smt Pooja Devi** Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>06.02.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant is present. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the appellant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>29.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <i>rathore</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>