

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)

O. A. No. 665 of 2024 with M.A. No. 756 of 2024

Ex Sub Sudhansu Kumar Sahu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 08 years, 04 months and 12 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)

O. A. No. 667 of 2024 with M.A. No. 758 of 2024

Ex L/Nk Ram Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 16 years and 22 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

O. A. No. 668 of 2024

Mrs Divyanshi Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>3. Issue notice to respondent No. 5 returnable within four weeks. Applicant is directed to take step within a week.</p> <p>4 List on 24.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)

O. A. No. 669 of 2024 with M.A. No. 759 of 2024

Ex L/Nk Ram Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 07 years, 11months and 22 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)

O. A. No. 670 of 2024

Ex GDSM Shekhar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>3. Objection has been raised on behalf of the respondents on maintainability of this Original Application before this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Respondents may file objection, if any, within two weeks on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>5. List on 30.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 6)

M. A. No. 763 of 2024 Inre Ex-A 371 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 135 of 2021

Smt Bhagwan Devi, W/o Late Ex Sep Jagvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Recall application has been moved by the applicant for recalling the order dated 05.07.2024 passed by this Bench in Execution application No. 371 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on recall application.</p> <p>5. List on 05.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 7)

R. A. No. 30 of 2024 with M.A. No. 760 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 828 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants-Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Pandey, Advocate

Versus

MWO (HFO) Bhawan Nath Giri (Retd) Respondent-Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India and Others is present.2. There is delay of 01 year and 18 days in filing review application.3. List this case for hearing on 23.08.2024.4. Objection, if any, may be filed till next date of listing. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 1)

EX.A. No. 229 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 171 of 2020

Smt Suman Devi, W/o Late Ex Lance Nk Ramesh Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This execution case has been filed for implementation of order dated 19.07.2021.</p> <p>3. Despite availing several opportunities, compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost of Rs. 25,000/- due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>4. Respondents were directed to show cause as to why attachment of movable/immovable property be not initiated but cause has not been shown.</p> <p>5. Applicant is directed to provide list of movable/immovable property of the respondents within two weeks which may be attached for non compliance of the order.</p> <p>6. Respondents are also directed to show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against them under order 19 of the AFT Act, 2007.</p> <p>7. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>8. List on 26.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 275 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 686 of 2021 Alongwith RA No. 78 of 2023

Ex HFO Man Bahadur Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file progress report of implementation of the order and present status of this execution case within two weeks and deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>4. List on 30.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 3)

R.A. No. 78 of 2023 with M.A. No. 174 of 2024 & M.A. No. 2088 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 686 of 2021

Union of India & Others

Applicants-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex HFO Man Bahadur Singh

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India and Others and Shri R Chandra, Ld. counsel for the respondent.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 2088 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. The applicants-respondents has filed the Review Application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 alongwith application for condonation of delay whereby the applicants-respondents has prayed for review and setting aside of the judgement and order dated 16.02.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 686 of 2021, by means of which this Court had directed that "<i>applicant is entitled to notional increment w.e.f. 01.07.2016 having completed one full year of service from 01.07.2015 to 30.06.2016 for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose</i>".</p> <p>3. As per registry, the application is delayed by 01 year, 08 months & 08 days. Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 postulates that no application shall be entertained beyond the period of thirty days from the date of receipt of copy of the order sought to be reviewed. Review Application No. 78 of 2023 seeks review of the judgment and order dated 16.02.2022 passed in O.A. No. 686 of 2021. Admittedly, the Review Application has been filed beyond the period of 30 days, as such, it is not entertainable.</p> <p>4. We have gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of delay. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the application do not appear to be germane; rather they are casual in nature and each day's delay has not been explained properly. The application for condonation of delay (M.A. No. 2088 of 2023), therefore, has no force and it is accordingly dismissed.</p>

R.A. No. 78 of 2023

5. The applicant has filed this Review Application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 with prayer to review/set aside this Tribunal order dated 16.02.2022 passed in O.A. No. 686 of 2021.

6. We have gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the review application and have also gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed. The judgment and order sought to be reviewed was passed in proper prospective after considering all the facts and circumstances and based on pleadings of the parties and also in view of the several pronouncement of the Hon'ble Apex Court. No error apparent on the face of record has been shown to us so as to review the aforesaid judgment of this Court.

7. That apart, it is a settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed vide Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure.

8. Law is settled on the point that the scope of review is very limited. It is only when there is an error apparent on the face of record or any fresh fact/material brought to notice which was not available with the applicant inspite of his due diligence during hearing. Review is not an appeal in disguise. It is nowhere within the scope of review to recall any order passed earlier and to decide the case afresh.

9. In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of ***Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and others***, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715 and in the case of ***S. Madhusudhan Reddy Versus V. Narayana Reddy and Others***, Civil Appeal Nos. 5503-04 of 2022, decided on 18.08.2022.

10. In the light of the legal position crystalized above, we are of the considered view that there is no error apparent on the face of record being found in the impugned order dated 16.02.2022, passed in O.A No. 686 of 2021, which may be corrected in exercise of review jurisdiction.

11. Accordingly, Review Application No. 78 of 2023 is hereby **rejected**.

12. Pending Misc. Application(s), if any, shall stand disposed off.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (J)

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 4)

EX.A. No. 165 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 161 of 2022

Ex Sgt Kapil Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra and
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost due against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Today affidavit regarding present status of this execution case has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record but cause of delay shown in this affidavit is not sufficient.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs. 5000/-.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to show cause as to why proceedings of attachment of their movable/immovable property be not initiated for non compliance of the order.</p> <p>6. Applicant and respondents both are directed to provide list of movable/immovable property of the respondents situated in Lucknow which may be attached for non compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>7. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing. Cost deposited by the respondents shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>8. List on 04.10.2024.</p> <p>9. Let copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 269 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 618 of 2022

Ex Sgt Ashish Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Aman Kumar and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate, holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed but despite availing several opportunities, objection has not been filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week further time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any, failing which this execution case will be disposed off in full satisfaction.</p> <p>4. List on 30.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 167 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 534 of 2022

Ex Sub Rajendra Bahadur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>4 List on 08.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 7)

O.A. No. 169 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Raj Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Short counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that relief sought by the applicant has been redressed and it is prayed in short counter affidavit that this O.A. may be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply/rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>5 List on 30.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 8)

O.A. No. 332 of 2023

Ex Hav Pradhan Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari
and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Despite availing several opportunities and even last opportunity, rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted a week further time to file rejoinder affidavit failing which opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List this case for hearing on 07.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 883 of 2023

Ex HFO Rishi Muni Tripathi and Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4 List on 10.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 10)

O.A. No. 982 of 2023

Ex Hav Kanhai Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4 & 6 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 5 & 7 are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file counter affidavit but counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 17.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 994 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFO) Vindeshwari Prajapati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 17.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 12)

O.A. No. 1010 of 2023

Ex Nk Baboo Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List for hearing on 23.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1107 of 2023

Ex Hav (DS) Amar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mdoe, are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleading is complete.</p> <p>4. List for hearing on 30.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1199 of 2023

Ex Nk Ram Dulare Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satya Prakash Pandey and
Shri Ashutosh Pathak, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashutosh Pathak, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Notice is deemed to be sufficient on respondent No. 5. Today none is present on behalf of respondent No. 5. Case shall proceed ex-parte against respondent no. 5.</p> <p>4. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1418 of 2023

Sgt Babulal Lamba & 07 Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra
and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.3. Heard arguments of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.4. For orders, see our order placed on separate sheets. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 24 of 2024 with M.A. No. 27 of 2024

Ex Sgt Ramesh Kumar Prajapat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against maintainability and delay filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Reply to objection of the respondents, filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>4. List on 19.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 248 of 2024

Urmila W/o Raj Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 6 and Shri Amit Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 7 are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on maintainability of this O.A. before this Bench.</p> <p>3. List on 30.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 267 of 2024

Smt Anjali Devi W/o Ex Sigmn Late Kapil Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant is present in person. Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant present in person submits that she wants to change her present counsel, Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi and wants to engage Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurab Yadav her counsel in this case.</p> <p>3. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurab Yadav on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Their names shall be shown as counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>5. List on 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 19)

R.A. No. 7 of 2024 with M.A. No. 242 of 2024
Inre O.A. No. 1076 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants-Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Ex HFO Kamlesh Kumar Mishra

Respondent-Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India and Others is present.</p> <p>2. List on 18.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 236 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Bachan Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. As prayed by the brief holder, the case is adjourned for the day.</p> <p>4. List for hearing on 01.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 173 of 2022

Ex Hav Dwarika Nath Singh & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicants is not present. Dr. Gyan Singh Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. List for hearing on 01.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 743 of 2022 with M.A. No. 151 of 2024

Gp Capt Rajendra Pal Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Impleadment application has been filed on behalf of the applicant for impleadment of Union of India, through Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi as respondent No. 7 in array of parties.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. For effective and just decision of this case, impleadment of Secretary, Ministry of Finance seems to be necessary.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>6. Impleadment application is allowed. Applicant is directed to carry out amendment in the O.A. within a week.</p> <p>7. After incorporation of amendment in O.A., notice will be issued to proposed newly added respondent No. 7 through Registered Post. Applicant is directed to take step for issuance of notice to respondent No. 7 within a week.</p> <p>8. Reply to supplementary affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>9. List on 05.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 168 of 2023

Ex Nk Ajeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Now, pleading is complete.</p> <p>4. List for hearing on 03.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 183 of 2023

Mrs Archana Singh W/o Late Col Samarendra Pratap, VSM Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 22.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 460 of 2023

Smt Manu Devi W/o Late Nk Balwant Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 23.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 26)

O.A.(A). No. 788 of 2022

Ex LAC Madhav Singh

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List for hearing on 04.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>