

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No. 1)

O.A. (A) No 674 of 2024 with M.A. No. 766 of 2024

L/Nk Ram Yatan YadavAppellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Shri Saurabh Yadav and
Shri KP Datta, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>M.A. No. 766 of 2024</div><div>3. There is delay of 10 months and 16 days in filing appeal. Learned counsel for the appellant has filed application for condonation of delay.</div><div>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</div><div>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</div><div>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</div><div>8. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay Condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. (A) No. 674 of 2024</div><div>9. This appeal has been filed for quashing sentence dated 22.02.2023 passed by SCM and order dated 17.07.2023 by which sentence has been confirmed. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 25.10.2024.</div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>rathore</div></div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supp No. 2)

Ex-A/250 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 55 of 2023

Ex Sgt Sudhir Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.07.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 28.02.2023 passed in O.A. No. 55 of 2023.</div> <div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</div> <div>5. List on 25.10.2024.</div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No. 3)

M.A. No. 764 of 2024 with M.A. No. 765 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 691 of 2022

Union of India & Ors
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Applicants-respondents

Versus

Smt Om Prabha Devi, M/o late Ex Sigm
Sachin Kumar Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri....., Advocate

Respondent-Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. HeardDr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-Union of India & Ors.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 764 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 07 months and 11 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-respondents is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that the delay has caused due to seeking instructions from the department. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application. He further submits thatdelay of 07 months and 11 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and we find that the ground and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 765 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 27.10.2023 of this Tribunal passed in O.A. No. 691 of 2022.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved in this case for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supp No. 4)

O.A. No 654 of 2024

Ex Hav Ram Pyare Ram (DSC)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.07.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div> <div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicantis present in Court and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div> <div>2. This O.A. has been filed for condoning deficiency of 07 months and 16 days in service for grant second service pension.</div> <div>3. Matter needs adjudication.</div> <div>4. Admit.</div> <div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed within two weeks next.</div> <div>6. List on 25.10.2024.</div> <div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No. 5)

O.A. (A) No 430 of 2023 with M.A. No. 525 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Mohammed Shahab Alam
(through wife Smt Saba Perveen) Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant :Shri Brijendra Singh, Shri Rohit Kumar and Shri Romil Pathak, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 525 of 2023</u></p> <p>1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant on bail application moved by learned counsel for the appellant and objection moved by the Central Govt counsel.</p> <p>2. Appellant has filed this O.A. (A) under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the General Court Martial (GCM) proceedings, sentence passed by the GCM and its confirmation by the competent authority.</p> <p>3. Appellant was tried by the GCM for four charges relating to espionage activities against the Indian Army (IA) and was convicted for charges No 1, 2 and 4 under Section 69 of the Army Act, 1950 contrary to Section 3 (i) (c) of the Official Secret Act, 1923 and has passed sentence on 27.10.2022 of dismissal from service and rigorous imprisonment of 06 years which has been confirmed by the competent authority on 03.12.2022.</p> <p>4. Appellant has moved this appeal to release him on bail during pendency of appeal on the ground that there is no evidence against the appellant and he has been sentenced only on the basis of suspicion and he has already been detained in military custody and civil jail for 05 years. It is also submitted that copies of judgment and proceedings of GCM have not been supplied to him. There is no evidence that appellant is owner of alleged mobile phone and SIM and his mother is of more than 75 years presently almost on death bed.</p> <p>5. Objection has been filed by learned Senior Central Govt Counsel that the detention period of appellant including both military custody and civil jail is not more than 02 years and 04 months. He has already been convicted on serious charges which are available on record.</p> <p>6. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant and perused the record including the GCM proceedings available on record against the accused.</p>

	<p>7. Learned counsel for the appellant contended that there is no evidence that appellant is the owner of the said mobile phone and SIM but evidence on record clearly shows that mobile phone and SIM were seized from the appellant and appellant has signed declaration of possession and its usage.</p> <p>8. There is material on record that the appellant communicated the alleged suspected Pakistan Intelligence Operative 'Pari/Parisha and Harleen' regarding military information which is a very serious matter for Army.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has undergone for more than half of punishment period including both military custody and civil jail, but learned counsel for the respondents submits that total detention period of the appellant including military custody and civil jail is not more than 02 years and 05 months. In this regard, respondents have filed documents and affidavit of responsible officer and it is contended that alleged copy of in/out register of protection guard room filed by the appellant to show his detention period is unauthorized and manufactured documents without having any legal sanctity.</p> <p>10. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that applicant's mother has died and he has to appear in 'Chaleesava' but there is nothing regarding this fact in bail application and affidavit regarding this and no application has been moved by the appellant in this regard.</p> <p>11. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and gravity of the offence for military service, we are of the view to reject the bail application of the appellant and expedite hearing of the appeal.</p> <p>12. Accordingly, bail application is rejected.</p> <p><u>O.A. (A) No 430 of 2023</u></p> <p>13. List for final hearing on 25.07.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>rathore</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 1)

Ex-A/88 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 133 of 2010

Ex Nk Yogendra Singh Tomar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Respondents were directed to file objection, if any, on second execution application filed by the applicant. Despite availing several opportunities respondents have not filed any objection.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any, failing which opportunity to file objection shall stand closed.</div><div>4. List on 22.08.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 2)

Ex-A/306 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 351 of 2022

Ex Rifleman Laxman Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div><div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs 6,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 23.08.2024.</div><div>6. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 3)

Ex-A/314 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1028 of 2022

Ex Nk Ramfer Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Affidavit of responsible officer filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that writ petition has been filed in the Hon’ble High Court against order of this Tribunal but no stay order has been passed.</div><div>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>6. Respondents are also directed to file copy of the order, if any, passed by the Hon’ble High Court.</div><div>7. List on 03.10.2024.</div><div>8. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 4)

Ex-A/350 of 2023 Inre O.A. (A) No 509 of 2021

Hav Anil Kumar Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</div><div>3. Affidavit of responsible officer filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time on payment of additional cost of Rs 6,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</div><div>5. List on 03.10.2024.</div><div>6. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 5)

Ex-A/454 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1106 of 2022

JWO Hridyesh Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Rs 2,15,000/- have been paid to the applicant. Respondents are directed to bring this fact on record by way of affidavit within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 10.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 6)

M. A. No. 369 of 2024 with M.A. No. 370 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 668 of 2010

Ex Sep Krishan Kumar Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Syed Zainul Hasan Rizvi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Syed Zainul Hasan Rizvi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection on delay condonation filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 26.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 7)

O. A. No. 673 of 2023

Ex Sep Siya Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Bhupal Singh Rathore and Shri Ashish Kumar Srivastava, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri RC Shukla and Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocates

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.07.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhupal Singh Rathore, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</div> <div>2. Time was granted to the applicant for filing rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</div> <div>3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, 03 weeks further time is allowed for filing rejoinder affidavit.</div> <div>4. List on 17.10.2024.</div> <div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 8)

O. A. No. 1230 of 2023

Ex Sub (Nur Tech) Kailash Chandra Bhatt
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 17.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 9)

O. A. No. 1269 of 2023

Master Maanik Chaudhary through his
Mother Kamlesh Singh W/o late Lt Akash Chaudhary Applicant
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Ms Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Her name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms Priyanka Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. List on 30.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 10)

O. A. No. 27 of 2024

Ex Sub Ajay Kumar Verma

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</div><div>4. List on 17.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 11)

O.A. No. 112 of 2024

Gyanmati Gupta

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.07.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. No time left.</div> <div>3. List on 18.10.2024.</div> <div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>rathore</div>

O.A. No. 350 of 2024 with M.A. No. 428 of 2024

Om Prakash Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Reply, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 20.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 13)

O.A. No. 536 of 2024

Ex Sub OPR Vinod Kumar Kushwah Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor,
Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 20.09.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 14)

O.A. (A) No 1189 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1645 of 2023

Ex Sep Sabbir Khan Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the appellant and Shri RC Shukla,Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 20.09.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 15)

R.A. No. 22 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 51 of 2023

Ex Capt Viraj Singh Chaudhary

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj SM Mustafa (Retd) and Shri VP Pandey,
Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Maj SM Mustafa (Retd) and Shri VP Pandey, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. In this case earlier, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate had filed vakalatnama on behalf of the respondents. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate present in Court submits that he wishes to withdraw his vakalatnama. He is allowed to do so.</p> <p>4. List on 23.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 03.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

O.A. No. 342 of 2020

Dashartha W/o late Sep Prem Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Rajesh Kumar Awasthi,
Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Rajesh Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 03.10.2024 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser 18)

O.A. No. 569 of 2022

Ex Cfn Kamal Kant

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. No time left.</div><div>3. List on 03.10.2024 for hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser 19)

O.A. No. 867 of 2022

Ex-MCAA-II (Hony Sub Lt) Ram Gopal Pandey & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 04.10.2024 for hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No.20)

O. A. No. 360 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Birendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amrendra Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.07.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amrendra Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. No time left.</div> <div>3. List on 04.10.2024 for hearing.</div> <div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser 21)

O.A. No. 434 of 2023

Ex Hav Tikendra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>12.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. No time left.</div><div>3. List on 30.08.2024 for hearing.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 22)

O.A. No. 484 of 2023 with M.A. No. 571 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub James T

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>12.07.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div> <div>2. No time left.</div> <div>3. List on 08.10.2024 for hearing.</div> <div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (A) Member (J)</div> <div>rathore</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser 23)

O.A. No. 574 of 2023

Mrs Devaki Maya Gurung
W/o late Ex Rfn Dhan Bahadur Gurung
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAsheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 08.10.2024 for hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser 24)

O.A. No. 947 of 2023

Ex Sep Ganesh Arun Damle Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms Upasna Mishra and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 10.10.2024 for hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser25)

O.A. (A) No. 133 of 2021

Smt Manju Devi Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. counsel for the appellant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 08.10.2024 for hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>