

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 470 of 2024 with M.A. No. 550 of 2024**

**Nb Sub Rajpal Singh (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satya Narayan Mishra and  
Shri Onkar Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 550 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 months and 29 days in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.</p> <p>5. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 470 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional increment and other terminal benefits.</p> <p>7. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>09.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 490 of 2024 with M.A. No. 578 of 2024**

**Ex Sub Guru Prasad Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of more than 30 years in filing this Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. List on <b>01.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 492 of 2024 with M.A. No. 580 of 2024**

**Ex Sub Guru Prasad Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 580 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is delay of 11 months and 01 day in filing Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.</p> <p>5. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 492 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of 20% additional pension w.e.f. the date on which the applicant attained the age of 79 years.</p> <p>7. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>09.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)**

**M.A. No. 577 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 357 of 2024**

**Col Gunakara Giri**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 577 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. This application has been moved by the applicant to expedite the date fixed for hearing and list for early hearing of the case.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. In this case, 29.07.2024 is already fixed for hearing. Presently, a large number of cases are listed for hearing as per roster, therefore, early hearing in this case is not possible.</p> <p>5. In view of the above fact, we are of the view that there is no sufficient ground to expedite the date of hearing in this case. Accordingly, early hearing application is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p>6. List the case for hearing on its already fixed date, i.e. <b>29.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supl. No.5)**

**T.A. No. 15 of 2017 with M.A. No. 35 of 2020**

**Bhupendra Singh Bhadauria** Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 35 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>1. This is second bail application of the appellant Bhupendra Singh Bhadauria (No 3007992M) in T.A. No. 15 of 2017.</p> <p>2. First bail application was rejected on merit by this Tribunal on 09.01.2018.</p> <p>3. The appellant was tried for offence of murder by the GCM and was convicted and sentenced with imprisonment for life and dismissal from service.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that some facts could not be brought to the notice of the Court and the main argument of learned counsel for the appellant is that appellant is confined since more than 11 years and appeal is still pending. He has relied upon the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in <b><i>Sonadhar vs The State of Chandigarh</i></b>, 2022 Live Law (SC) 788. It is also submitted that the weapon with which appellant is stated to have fired was not issued in his name and there is difference of opinion in statement of Dr. Brahma Deo (PW-19) and Maj Devendra Kumar Gupta (PW-18).</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents vehemently opposed the</p> |

prayer of bail of the appellant and contended that the case is based on testimony of eyewitness who has fully supported the prosecution case. Appellant was apprehended on the site and there is sufficient evidence in support of the prosecution case. It is further submitted that the respondents are ready to argue the appeal on merit.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents further submitted that there is bar of Proviso of Section 15 (3) (i) of AFT Act, 2007 in granting bail to the appellant.

7. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record and also gone through the case law of **Sonadhar** (supra) relied upon by the learned counsel for the appellant.

8. In this case, FIR was lodged at Police Station Sadar, District-Agra on 27.09.2012 at 0030 hrs. The incident of this case is alleged to have taken place on 26.09.2012 at 2115 hrs. The appellant was apprehended on the site and his first bail application has been rejected on merit on 09.01.2018 and appeal is still pending.

9. The appellant was lodged in military custody w.e.f. 26.09.2012 and after confirmation of sentence, he was sent to District Jail, Agra on 17.10.2014. As per record, he has undergone about 11 years and 08 months under confinement.

10. There is a possibility of delay in hearing the case. The issue which warrants consideration is as to whether the appellant, who has been in custody for more than 11½ years since the occurrence, is entitled for suspension of sentence in the back drop of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sonadhar** (supra).

11. We find that in the case of **Sonadhar** (supra) suspension of sentence was based on the ground that the accused had been in jail for 10 years and early hearing of the appeal was not possible. In the instant

case, the appellant has undergone imprisonment for more than 11 years and 08 months and due to inadequacy of regular benches the final disposal of the appeal may take some more time and in view of the above the appellant may be granted the benefit of suspension of sentence and grant of bail in terms of order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sonadhar** (supra).

12. Without going into merit of the case and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and, also considering the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sonadhar** (supra), we are of the view that it is a fit case for bail pending finalization of appeal and suspension of sentence during pendency of appeal.

13. Accordingly, M.A. No. 35 of 2020 is **allowed**. The appellant shall be released on bail and operation of sentence of conviction shall remain suspended on furnishing a personal bond of Rs 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of Registrar of this Tribunal on the following conditions:-

- (i) Appellant shall surrender his passport, if any, before the Registrar of this Tribunal. If no passport has been obtained by the appellant, the same shall be indicated in the affidavit.
- (ii) Appellant shall attend the Tribunal on each date of hearing.
- (ii) Appellant shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Tribunal without prior permission of this Tribunal.
- (iv) Appellant shall not intimidate or influence the witnesses and shall co-operate in hearing of appeal.
- (v) Appellant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

14. After release on bail, the appellant shall furnish an undertaking on affidavit through his counsel before the Registrar of this Tribunal disclosing his permanent address where he would be available during the pendency of this appeal.

15. In case any complaint is received against the appellant with regard to his conduct during the period of bail, the respondents will be free to apply for cancellation of the bail granted by this Court.

16. After release of the petitioner, the bail bonds furnished for his release, shall be kept with record of this Transferred Application.

17. M.A. No. 35 of 2020 stands disposed off.

18. Dasti copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the parties.

**T.A. No. 15 of 2017**

19. List this case on **29.07.2024** for hearing.

(Lt Gen Anil Puri)  
Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar)  
Member (J)

*rathore*



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX. A. No. 134 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 123 of 2010**

**Smt Durgawati Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Objection has already been filed by the applicant on compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply to objection filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>01.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX. A. No. 111 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 38 of 2021**

**Swamy Nath, S/O Late Ex Nk Bagedu Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file reply to the objection filed by the applicant on compliance report but reply has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file reply.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**EX. A. No. 135 of 2022**

**Ex Gdsm Rohitaswa Verma**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection, if any, against the compliance report but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any, failing which this execution case shall be disposed off finally.</p> <p>5. List on <b>12.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**EX. A. No. 42 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 402 of 2018**

**Ex Sub Prasanth M Kurup**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are not present.</p> <p>2. List this case on <b>19.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**EX. A. No. 124 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 902 of 2022**

**Ex Sgt Nishant Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and JWO YS Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall pay cost of Rs. 5000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**EX. A. No. 219 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 170 of 2022**

**Hony Capt Karamvir**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Despite availing several opportunities, objection to compliance report has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Today, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. On request of brief holder, a week further time, as last opportunity, is granted to file objection failing which opportunity to file objection shall stand closed and this execution case shall be disposed off finally.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.05.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.7)**

**EX. A. No. 233 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 820 of 2022**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Gitam Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that Govt. sanction has been accorded and compliance of the order is in process. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file affidavit of compliance failing which respondents shall pay cost of Rs. 5000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.8)**

**EX. A. No. 243 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 822 of 2022**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Shauraj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and interest has also been paid to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present today.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 643 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Sher Bahadur Gurung**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and  
Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>5. List for hearing on <b>01.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.10)**

**O.A. No. 1458 of 2023**

**Ex Hony Lt Vinod Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)**

**O.A. No. 1531 of 2023**

**Smt Suman Chopra W/o Late Lt Col AK Chopra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Shri RK Mishra  
and Ms. Shalini Puar, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Aditya Singh Puar and Ms. Shalini Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, thorough virtual mode, and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List this case on <b>29.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.2 (Sl. No.12)**

**O.A. No. 131 of 2024**

**Smt Kamla Devi W/o Hav Late Giraja Shankar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj and  
Shri VP Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.13)**  
**O.A. No. 179 of 2024**

**JWO Ram Prakash (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.09.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)<br/>Member (A)<br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar)<br/>Member (J)</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.14)**

**O.A. No. 198 of 2024 with M.A. No. 258 of 2024**

**Nb Sub DSC Sumer Singh (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. List this case on <b>01.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.15)**  
**O.A. No. 230 of 2024**

**Rect Shailendra Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of respondent No. 4 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondent No. 4 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 4 are present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No. 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>20.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.16)**

**O.A. No. 240 of 2024 with M.A. No. 303 of 2024**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Arun Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are not present.</p> <p>2. Objection to delay condonation application has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file objection.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A.(A). No. 292 of 2016**

**Shaik Shabber**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shir GK Gaur, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri GK Gaur, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are not present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>19.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**T.A. No. 35 of 2023**

**Smt Seema Devi**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Shamshad Alam, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shamshad Alam, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present. Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1,3,4&amp;5 is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has already been filed by the respondents No. 1,3,4&amp;5.</p> <p>3. Notice was issued to respondent No. 7 which is deemed to be sufficient but none is present for the respondents No. 7 today. Case will proceed ex-parte against her.</p> <p>4. Notice need not to be sent to respondents No. 2 &amp; 6 as directed earlier.</p> <p>5. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>6. List for hearing on <b>19.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 20)**

**T.A. No. 39 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Anurag Shukla**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Sanjeev Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondent No. 5 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondent No. 5 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and JWO YS Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents No. 1 to 4 and Shri Sanjeev Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 5 are present.</p> <p>3. Counter filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Respondent No. 5 may file his counter affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List for hearing on <b>27.05.2024</b> alongwith other case of similar nature pending before this Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**T.A. No. 41 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Virendra Singh**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Tatsat Shukla, Shri Dhiraj Kumar  
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List for hearing on <b>19.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 264 of 2017 Alongwith T.A. No. 82 of 2016 (Decided)**

**Devendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Shri SS Rajawat  
and Shri Mukesh Kumar, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. List this case in physical hearing on <b>08.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)**  
**O.A. No. 68 of 2019**

**Ex Sub Nand Lal Gupta**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to file written submission but written submission has not been filed. He may file within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>09.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)**  
**O.A. No. 346 of 2019**

**Prem Sagar Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary affidavit alongwith tabulation chart filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Reply may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 25)**  
**O.A. No. 898 of 2022**

**Col Ramesh Chandra Dixit**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Applicant in person

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col Ramesh Chandra Dixit, applicant in person and Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents alongwith Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj are present. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. Application moved on behalf of the respondent No. 3 to recall order dated 08.01.2024 is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 26)**  
**O.A. No. 11 of 2023**

**Smt Raj Kanwar W/o Late Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Hari Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and  
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Ld, counsel for the applicant is present. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. List on <b>15.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 100 of 2023 with M.A. No. 95 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Ravi Bhushan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd) and  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present today.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, list this case for hearing on <b>15.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No. 28)**  
**O.A. No. 548 of 2023**

**Shri Vijay Singh Bisht S/o Late Lt Col (TS) Jagat Singh Bisht** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List this case for hearing on <b>29.07.2024</b> as part-heard case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.2 (Sl. No.29)**

**O.A. No. 680 of 2023**

**Brijesh Kumari**

**W/o Late Ex Hav/MT Rambir Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:

Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and

Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|                       | <p><b><u>13.05.2024</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List this case for hearing on <b>29.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b><br/>SB/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> |