Court No. 2(Supl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1069 of 2024

Ex Nb Sub Ramesh Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri V P Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	14.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	4. On the case being taken up for begring Chri Chailendra Kursen Circh Ld	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant is present.	
	2. Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents submits that he	
	may be permitted to file Memo of appearance on the behalf of the respondents during	
	the course of the day.	
	3. As prayed, Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate is permitted to file memo of	
	appearance on behalf of the respondents.	
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.	
	5. This Original Application has been filed to direct the respondents to stop the	
	recovery of commutation portion of service pension w.e.f. 01.09.2022 and to direct the	
	respondents to refund the recovered amount of commuted portion.	
	6. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection on maintainability of this	
	original application and submitted that this O.A. is premature because representation	
	was filed by the applicant on 20.08.2024 and this O.A. has been filed before six	
	months, i.e. on 21.10.2024.	
	7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application is no	
	premature because representation of the applicant has been replied negatively by the	
	respondents which is annexed as Annexure A-1.	
	8. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the applicant and	
	perusal of annexure A-1 and A-5, we find that Original Application is not premature and	
	is entertainable by this Tribunal.	
	9. Points raised in support of the ground mentioned in the Original Application	
	needs adjudication.	
	10. Admit.	
	11. Learned counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file counte	
	affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.	
	12. List on 31.01.2025.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	
	1 *-	

Court No. 2(Supl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1106 of 2024 with MA No. 1243 of 2024

Ex Sub Deependra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Amit Asthana and

Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocates

Versus

	Versus
	dia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	actitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate
	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	14.11.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as
	counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.
	2. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita
	Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode.
	M.A. No. 1243 of 2024
	3. There is delay of 09 months and 06 days in filing Original Application.
	The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in
	which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.
	5. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in
	filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed
	of.
	O.A. No. 1106 of 2024
	6. This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional increment.
	7. Matter needs adjudication.
	8. Admit.
	9. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks
	thereafter.
	10. List on 10.03.2025.

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)

SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1107 of 2024 with MA No. 1245 of 2024

Ex Sub Birendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Amit Asthana and

Member (A)

SB

Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Member (J)

	dia & Others Respondents actitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate	;
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	4.11.2024	
	don'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	<u>lon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and M	laj Naman
	Supta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.	
	M.A. No. 1245 of 2024	
	2. There is delay of 09 months and 06 days in filing Original A	pplication.
	The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.	
	 Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary 	/ matter in
	which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action	n.
	We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in s	support of
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed an	d delay in
	iling application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands	disposed
	of.	
	D.A. No. 1107 of 2024	
	5. This Original Application has been filed for grant of notional inc	rement.
	6. Matter needs adjudication.	
	7. Admit.	
	B. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays	for and is
	granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, i	if any, may
	pe filed within two weeks thereafter.	
	D. List on 10.03.2025.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil K	umar)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)

O.A. No.1118 of 2024 with MA No. 1255 of 2024

Maj Piyush Kumar (Retd)

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Col Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

	Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal
of the	
Registry	14.11.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf
	of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for
	the respondents when the case is listed next.
	2. Heard Col Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode.
	3. This Original Application has been filed for grant of post facto promotion
	to the applicant. There is delay of 24 years, 05 months and 09 days in filing
	Original Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the
	delay.
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks
	time to seek instruction and file objection, if any, on delay condonation
	application.
	5. List on 18.02.2025.
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)

O.A. No.1120 of 2024

Applicant

Lt Col (Subs) Vijay Bahadur Thapa (Retd)App By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

SB

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

		ter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any,	
	6.	Learned counsel for the respondents is	granted four weeks time to file
	5.	Admit.	
	4.	Matter needs adjudication.	
		e of pension and for restoration of commuted	,
	3.	This Original Application has been filed ag	gainst the recovery of commuted
		Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.	одностия другодин дина сти
	2.	Heard Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Co	ounsel for the applicant and Shri
		ondents when the case is listed next.	
	the r	espondents is taken on record. His name sl	·
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS S	Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of
		ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
		1.2024 ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
Registry			
of the	Orde	ers of the Tribunal	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (Supl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1122 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 1258 of 2024

HFO Santosh Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

	Practitioner for Respondents . Shir rogesti Resarwani, Advocate	
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal	
of the		
Registry		
	14.11.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on	
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as	
	counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.	
	2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri	
	Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode and	
	perused the record.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection that ECHS is	
	necessary party in this case which has not been impleaded by the applicant.	
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time	
	to move amendment application in this regard.	
	5. List on 28.01.2025.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Supl. No. 7)

Ex.A. No. 400 of 2024 Inre O.A. No.579 of 2020

Smt Nafiro Nisa Widow of Late Sep Rasul

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

	Taditation of the periodical control of the control
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal
of the	
Registry	
	<u>14.11.2024</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents,
	through virtual mode, are present.
	3. This is fresh execution application filed by the applicant for
	implementation of order dated 25.08.2024 passed in OA No. 579 of 2020.
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks
	time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.
	5 List on 07.02.2025.
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) ` (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A)
	SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (Supl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1150 of 2024 with MA No. 1151 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 347 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Versus

Ex ACP Nk Dharmendra Kumar Upadhyay

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Advocate

1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. 2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. 3. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. 4. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application. 5. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause of delay has not been properly explained on day to day basis. 6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable. 7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed. 8. Leave to Appeal being time barred is also rejected. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause of delay has not been properly explained on day to day basis. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable. Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed. 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause of delay has not been properly explained on day to day basis. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable. 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause of delay has not been properly explained on day to day basis. 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of leave to appeal application. 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but due to time taken by the department in processing 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher authorities given instruction to file Leave to Appeal. Thus, his submission is that 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for obtaining legal opinion for compliance and after receiving legal opinion, higher 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after the judgment applicants sent the order to the competent authority for 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to appeal. 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 14 days in filing of leave to 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 347 of 2022. 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.09.2022 of this 	
 On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces 	
On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others are present.	
1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld.	
Hon'ble Waj Gen Sanjay Singn, Wember (A)	
14.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
Registry	
Notes Orders of the Tribunal of the	
/ Legal Practitioner for Respondent Advocate	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 232 of 2010

Jagdish Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri S.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>14.11.2024</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri S.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant is not present. Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents
	is present.
	2. On request of the applicant, time was granted to file written points of
	arguments which has not been filed.
	3. This case needs physical hearing.
	4. List for physical hearing on 30.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 962 of 2022

Brig Mohan Singh S/o late L/Nk Sat Narain Singh (Through Shri Bishram Singh Lgal Guardian)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

By Logar Fractioner for Rooperhamies. Of the Chameriana Chamber Atlantical Chambers and Chambers	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>14.11.2024</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for
	the respondents are present.
	2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned
	for the day.
	3. List on 30.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 321 of 2023

Smt Shahnaz Bano W/o late Hav Shaikh Hafeezullah

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>14.11.2024</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, two weeks time is
	granted to file written points of arguments.
	4. List on 23.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (SI. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 202 of 2017 Inre TA No. 15 of 2014 & Inre TA No. 1368 of 2010

Maj Kunwar Ambreshwar Singh **Applicant**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh and

Shri Rahul Kapoor, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate Shri Ashish Saxena, Advocate

	Shri Ashish Saxena, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	44.44.0004
	14.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	Tien bie maj een eanjay enign, member (24)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Arsh Raj, Advocate holding
	brief of Shri Rahul Kapoor, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh
	Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.
	2. Time was granted to the applicant to file objection to the compliance
	affidavit filed by the respondents No. 4 (State Bank of India) which has not
	been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks
	further time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any.
	4. List on 31.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (SI. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 91 of 2021 with MA No. 328 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 628 of 2020

Smt Asha Devi, M/o Late Ex Sigmn Kapil Kumar & Another

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka and Shri Rama Kant, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

by Legal i	Tacillioner for Respondents: Shiri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>14.11.2024</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Narayan Kaushik,	
	Advocate holding brief of Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Ld. Counsel for the	
	applicants and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Objection to compliance affidavit has already been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks	
	further time, as last opportunity to file reply to the objection filed by the	
	applicant.	
	4. List on 21.01.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB	
<u> </u>	00	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (SI. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 368 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 343 of 2022

LX A: NO: 000 01 2020 IIII C O.A. NO: 040 01 2022

Ex Nk Rajendra PrasadApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	14.11.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the	
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost of Rs. 5000/- has also not	
	been deposited.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Writ Petition is being	
	filed against the order of this Tribunal. He prays for and is granted four weeks	
	further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs.	
	10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	4. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till	
	next date of listing.	
	5. List on 04.02.2025 .	
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the	
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J) SB	
	OD	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 20 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 830 of 2022

JWO Harikeshwer Lal Srivastava (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Saurabh Yadav and

Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	44.44.2024	
	14.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. counsel for the	
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four	
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs.	
	10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	4. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit regarding present status	
	of the case.	
	5. List on 04.02.2025 .	
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the	
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (SI. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 140 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 728 of 2023

Ex PO WTR Bimal Kumar Tripathi Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	14.11.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the	
	applicant. Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through	
	virtual mode.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed. Cost of Rs. 5000/- has also not	
	been deposited.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four	
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of	
	Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	4. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till	
	next date of listing.	
	5. List on 04.02.2025 .	
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the	
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 190 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 554 of 2021

Ex Sgt Rajendra Prasad Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rang Nath Pandey and

Shri Rahul Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

	ai Practitioner for Respondents : Shiri Shyam Singh, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
Registry	14.11.2024		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
		Libit IB. III II O	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, S	nri Ranui Pandey, Ld. Counsei	
	for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. co	unsel for the respondents are	
	present through virtual mode.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents p	rays for and is granted four	
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit	on payment of cost of Rs.	
	10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	4. Respondents are also directed to file affice	davit regarding present status	
	of the case.		
	5. List on 04.02.2025 .		
	6. Let a copy of the order be provided	to learned counsel for the	
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.		
	(Mai Gan Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Apil Kumer)	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	SB	Melliber (0)	
	Member (A) SB	Member (J)	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 197 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 381 of 2022

Ex ACP Nb Sub Kaushalesh Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

	ractitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	44.44.2024	
	14.11.2024 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are	
	present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four	
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit and affidavit regarding present	
	status of the case failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs. 10,000/-	
	which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	4. List on 04.02.2025.	
	5. Let a copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the	
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 142 of 2023

Hav/Clk Abhijeet JoshiBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	14.11.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	11. On the case being taken up for hearin	g, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocte
	holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. C	ounsel for the applicant and Shri
	Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respor	ndents, through virtual mode, are
	present.	
	2. Despite availing several opportunities s	supplementary counter affidavit to
	the amended portion has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents	submits that he has prepared
	supplementary counter affidavit and sent for	or vetting. He prays for and is
	granted two weeks further time, as last op	portunity, to file supplementary
	counter affidavit failing which respondents sha	Il deposit cost of Rs. 5000/
	4. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the	applicant is taken on record.
	5. List on 11.02.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 12)

O.A. No. 375 of 2023

Wg Cdr Manish Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Kapil Sharma, Ms Upasna Mishra and

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswai, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	14.11.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld.	
	counsel for the respondents are present.	
	2. Vide order dated 21.03.2024, respondents were permitted to file	
	annexures mentioned in the counter affidavit which has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks	
	further time to file annexures mentioned in the counter affidavit which has not	
	been filed.	
	4. List on 31.01.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 504 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Ramakant Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dilip Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

by Legal P	ractitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukia, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	14.11.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dilip Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are
	present through virtual mode.
	2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.
	3. Now pleadings are complete.
	4. List on 11.03.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 525 of 2023 with M.A. 634 of 2023,

Wg Cdr Gaurav Saxena

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari and

Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

	Tacilioner for Nespondents : Ms. Appoir envasiava, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	14.11.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate	
	holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms.	
	Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are	
	present.	
	2. List on 28.01.2025.	
	3. Registry is directed to show both Army and Air Force in the case wing of	
	the case.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

<u>Court No.2 (Sl. No. 15)</u>

O.A. No. 876 of 2023

Ritu Pandey D/o Ex LS Late Kailash Nath Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Dharam Raj Singh and

Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

by Legal F	ractitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate	
	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	4444004	
	14.11.2024	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the	
	respondents are present.	
	2. Counter affidavit and rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the parties are	
	taken on record.	
	3. Pleadings are complete.	
	4. List on 10.01.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 995 of 2023

Ex Sub/Clk Sushil Kumar Dash

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

by Legal P	ai Practitioner for Respondents: Shir Yogesh Resarwani, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>14.11.2024</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the	
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on 11.03.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1012 of 2023

Smt Rupali G Shinde W/o Late Sep Shinde Ghanshyam Ramchandra Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and

Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

by Legai i	Tactitioner for Respondents: Shiri Shallendra Sharma Atai, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>14.11.2024</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List on 25.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1037 of 2023

Ex Hav Krishan Mohan Tewari Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Nitesh Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>14.11.2024</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Nitesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel	
	for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents a	
	present through virtual mode.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on 25.02.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 156 of 2024

Ex Nk Din Dayal Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shiri JiN Mishra, Advocate		
Orders of the Tribunal		
14.11.2024		
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel		
for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are		
present through virtual mode.		
2. No time left.		
3. List on 11.02.2025 .		
(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB		

Court No. 2(SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 190 of 2024

Mrs Deepti Tiwari W/o Nk (Chef) Papulesh Kumar Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj and

Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<u>S</u>	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>14.11.2024</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	3. List on 11.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 485 of 2024

Hav Arun Kumar Vimal (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Rahul Pal and Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
<u> </u>	14.11.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents
	are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List on 03.03.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 488 of 2024 with M.A. No. 572 of 2024

Sub Sushil Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Rahul Pal and Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

	Tactioner for Nespondents. Shiri Shaileridia Shairia Atai, Advocate			
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	14.11.2024			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)			
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Sh	ri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for		
	the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents			
	are present.			
	2. Reply to objection on delay filed on behalf of the applicant is taken of			
	record.			
	3. List on 03.03.2025 .			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A)	Member (J)		
	SB			

Court No. 2(SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 541 of 2024 with M.A. No. 624 of 2024

Nk (ACP Hav) Shrikant Singh Kushwaha (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	14.11.2024
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List on 21.11.2024 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No. 2(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 594 of 2024 with MA No. 676 of 2024

Ex Nk Sheo Govind Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey and Shri DK Dixit, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

	By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Sarah Awasthi, Advocate			
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			
the				
Registry				
	<u>14.11.2024</u>			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Membe			
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member	<u>r (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for he	earing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents			
	are present.			
	2. No time left.			
	3. List on 21.11.2024 .			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)		
	SB	(6)		

Court No. 2(SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 600 of 2024 with MA No. 682 of 2024

Sep Dhananjay Kumar Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh and

Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Dy Logai i	ractitioner for Respondents. Shir Amit Jaiswal, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>14.11.2024</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing,	Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate	
	holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shr		
	Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2. No time left.		
	3. List on 10.02.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) SB	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

Court No. 2(SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 610 of 2024 with MA No. 699 of 2024

Ex JWO Jai Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukia, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>14.11.2024</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
		01 : 0: : 1 01 1
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing	g, Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC	Shukla, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present through virtual mode.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on 21.11.2024 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) SB	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Court No. 2(SI. No. 27)

O.A. No. 876 of 2024 with MA No. 975 of 2024

Lt Col AKS Rathore, SM

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri JL Joel, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

by Legal r	by Legal Fractitioner for Respondents. Shirt Affilt Jaiswar, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>14.11.2024</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JL Joel, Ld. Couns	el for the
	applicant, through virtual mode, and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counse	l for the
	respondents are present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on 10.02.2025 .	
	(Mai Can Saniau Single) (Justice Anil Ku	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Ku	,
	Member (A) Member (<i>)</i>)
1	SB	