

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp No. 1)

O.A. No. 687 of 2024 with M.A. No. 785 of 2024

Ex WO Awadesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and Shri DK Dixit, Advocates

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. SN Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. SN Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>M.A. No. 785 of 2024</div><div>3. There is a delay of 02 months and 09 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</div><div>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>5. Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</div><div>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</div><div>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that the cause shown is sufficient.</div><div>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Delay condonation application stand disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 687 of 2024</div><div>9. This O.A. has been filed for refund of the recovered amount. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks, thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 25.10.2024.</div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div></div>

M.A. No. 786 of 2024 with M.A. No. 787 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 390 of 2010

Shiv Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vivek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for recall of order dated 12.08.2010 passed in T.A. No. 390 of 2010. There is delay of more than 13 years in filing this application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on 30.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 1)

Ex-A/2 of 2021 with M. A. No. 180 of 2021 Inre O.A. (A) No 98 of 2014

Lt Col Subhash Chandra Pandey (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the respondents. Applicant has filed objection on affidavit of compliance.</div><div>3. Respondents were granted time to file parawise calculation but parawise calculation has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed 03 weeks further time to file parawise reply to the objection raised by the applicant.</div><div>4. List on 13.09.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 2)

Ex-A/86 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 65 of 2020

Smt Chandrawati
W/o late Ex Sep Sita Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Neither cost imposed against the respondents has been deposited nor has the affidavit of responsible officer been filed.</div><div>3. Despite availing several opportunities, interest part of the order is still to be complied with by the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 6,000/- which shall be payable to the applicant. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost till next date of listing. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case within 03 weeks, failing which they will have to pay additional cost of Rs 2,000/-.</div><div>4. List on 13.09.2024.</div><div>5. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Ex-A/192 of 2022 with M.A. No. 294 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 438 of 2019

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. In this case, notices were issued against the respondents for non compliance of the order of this Tribunal. Today, learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 4)

Ex-A/158 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 691 of 2022

Smt Om Prabha Devi
M/o late Ex Sigmn Sachin Kumar Pal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>4. List on 15.10.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 5)

O.A. No. 251 of 2023 with M.A. No. 264 of 2023

Ex ACP Nk Manoj Kumar Bhuyan & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. On the ground of illness of learned counsel for the applicant adjournment has been sought by the brief holder.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>4. List on 06.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 6)

O.A. No. 439 of 2023

Nb Sub (TA) Bhuvanesh Kumar Pathak Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Naveen Giri and
Shri Sachida Nand Tiwari, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Naveen Giri, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of respondent No 5, but counter affidavit has not been filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 4. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 08.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 7)

O.A. No. 1354 of 2023

Smt Kanchan Wife of Ex Sep Surendra Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and
Shri Ram Jeet Dubey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed 02 weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 09.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No.8)

O.A. No. 1420 of 2023

MWO Rakesh Kumar Pandey (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra
and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Respondents
Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>19.07.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been prepared and sent for vetting. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 29.08.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 9)

O.A. No. 3 of 2024

Nb Sub Ramesh Mukerjee (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>5. For order, see our order passed on separate sheets.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 10)

Shiv Kumar S/o Ex Hav Late Kanhiya Lal Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate**

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within 02 weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 26.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 11)

O.A. No. 48 of 2024

Kendre Nathrao Manika Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SSL Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SSL Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 10.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 12)

O.A. No. 89 of 2024

Ex Rfn Sher Singh Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan
and Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 10.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 13)

O.A. No. 125 of 2024

Hav Sarva Jeet Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra
and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 30.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 14)

Ex Sep Srinivas Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 15.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 15)

O.A. No. 188 of 2024

Sep Vikas Chandel (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra
and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Respondents
Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 22.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 16)

O.A.(A). No. 1381 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1997 of 2023

Gnr Rudra Mani Pandey Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Virat Anand Singh and
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1997 of 2023</u></p> <p>2. There is delay of 03 months and 05 days in filing O.A. (A). Delay condonation application has been moved by learned counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. (A) No. 1381 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. This O.A. (A) has been filed under Section 15 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against charge sheet dated 06.02.2023 and dismissal order dated 17.02.2023 passed by the respondent No 4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 13.09.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

DY. No. 1836 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 863 of 2023

Ex LD Arun Prakash Trivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Delay condonation application filed by the applicant is taken on record. Objection raised by Registry has been rectified.</p> <p>3. List this case as O.A. on 30.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

DY. No. 1871 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Dharamraj Singh, Advocate holding brief of Col AK Srivasta (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Defects have not been rectified. Brief holder for learned counsel for the applicant wants to withdraw this case with liberty to file afresh. Accordingly, learned counsel for the applicant is allowed to withdraw this case with liberty to file afresh. This case is dismissed as withdrawn. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 19)

O.A. No. 374 of 2019

Sgt Sarwan Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh and Shri SC Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 19.09.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

O.A. No. 415 of 2021

Smt Poonam Singh Wife of Sep (Late) Ajay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Shri
Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan and Shri JN Mishra, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 30.08.2024 for order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

O.A. No. 661 of 2021

Smt Mithlesh Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:	Shri Sunil Sharma, Shri Vinod Kumar Singh and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Shri Vinod Kumar Singh and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 15.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

O.A. No. 904 of 2022

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Navita Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 15.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 23)

O.A.(A). No. 597 of 2022 with M.A. No. 704 of 2022

Sep Dushyant Singh Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 02.08.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

T.A. No. 39 of 2017

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri SG Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 10.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

T.A. No. 55 of 2022

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present in Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 17.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

T.A. No. 58 of 2022

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>19.07.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri JN Mishra and Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 17.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>