

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 515 of 2024

Col Joy Das Gupta, SM

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>21.05.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding maintainability of this O.A. She prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on maintainability of this O.A.</div><div>4. List on 30.07.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>rathore</div>

**Court No. 2** (Supl. No. 2)

**O.A. No. 522 of 2024**

**Sub (Nur Tech) Ganga Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed for revised fixation of basic pay to the applicant and for payment of arrears accordingly.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Court No. 2** (Supl. No. 3)

**O.A. No. 524 of 2024**

**Ex Sub (Nur Asst) Ravi Kumar Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed for grant of correct pay and allowances to the applicant. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>6. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Court No. 2** (Supl. No. 4)

**O.A. No. 525 of 2024**

**Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Prem Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This O.A. has been filed for revised fixation of basic pay and payment of arrears to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>7. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Court No. 2** (Supl. No. 5)

**R.A. No. 23 of 2024 with M.A. No. 599 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 348 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants-Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate

## Versus

**Ex JWO Satish Kumar** Respondent-Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri ...., Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the Union of India and Others is present.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed with delay of 10 months and 13 days for review of order dated 01.06.2023 under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008. Relevant portion of aforementioned Rule is reproduced as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“18. Application for review. – (1) No application for review shall be entertained unless it is filed within thirty days from the date of receipt of copy of the order sought to be reviewed.</i> <i>(2) -----“</i></p> <p>Applicant has moved application for condoning the delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>3. This Tribunal vide order dated 01.06.2023 had allowed the O.A. No. 348 of 2023 with the directions to the respondents to grant one notional increment to the applicant w.e.f. 01.07.2018 on the ground that he served for one year w.e.f. 01.07.2017 to 30.06.2018, but he could not be granted notional increment on 01.07.2018 as he retired from service on 30.06.2018. Additionally, Civil Appeal No. 4339 of 2023 arising out of Diary No. 16764 of 2023, the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 10.07.2023 passed in <b><i>Union of India &amp; Ors vs Anand Kumar Singh</i></b> has dismissed the appeal, therefore, grant of notional increment has attained finality.</p> <p>4. The above judgment has been passed on the basis of pleadings of parties and established legal position.</p> <p>5. It is stated in review application that respondent has not rendered minimum six months of qualifying service from the date of grant of last increment/pay fixation given to him on promotion to HFO/HFL on 26 Jan/15</p>

	<p>Aug thus he is not eligible for the increment on 01 Jul/01 Jan even if he would have continued in service.</p> <p>6. Above mentioned fact has not been pleaded by the respondents in their counter affidavit.</p> <p>7. Also, it is settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the judgment and order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed vide Order 47, Rule 1 Sub rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure. Review is not an appeal in disguise. It is nowhere within the scope of review to recall any order passed earlier and to decide the case afresh. For ready reference, Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is reproduced below :-</p> <p><i>“1. Application for review of judgment.- (1) any person considering himself aggrieved-</i> <i>(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,</i> <i>(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or</i> <i>(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of Small Causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record , or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgment to the Court which passed the decree or made the order.”</i></p> <p>8. In garb of review application, applicants want this Tribunal to sit on appeal over its own order which is not permissible.</p> <p>9. The new fact was already known to the respondents but this fact was not brought on record in counter affidavit when the order was passed. In review a new judgment cannot be passed on the basis of new fact which were in knowledge of the applicants and not included in the pleading.</p> <p>10. Order 47 Rule 4 (2) (b) CPC provides ‘No such application shall be granted on the ground of discovery of new matter or evidence which the applicant alleges was not within his knowledge or could not be adduced by him when the decree or order was passed, without strict proof of such allegation’.</p> <p>11. In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible. The Hon’ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of <b>Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and others</b>, reported in (1997) 8 SCC 715, has observed as under :-</p> <p><i>“9. Under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self- evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power of review under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC. In</i></p>
--	--

	<p><i>exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."</i></p> <p>12. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay as well as application for review are <b>rejected</b>.</p> <p>13. The applicants may be informed accordingly.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>
--	---

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 106 of 2013 with M.A. No. 464 of 2020

**Mahabir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kishore Rai, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kishore Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>27.05.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX-A. No. 179 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 621 of 2017

**Ex JWO Bachcha Jee Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey  
and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani and  
Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1-4 is present through virtual mode and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 5 is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file parawise reply to the objection filed by the applicant, but the same has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file parawise reply to the objection raised by the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>13.08.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 180 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 624 of 2017

**Ex JWO Raj Nath Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey  
and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty and  
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.08.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 181 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 623 of 2017

**Arun Kumar Tiwari S/o Ex Sub Deo Nandan Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey  
and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and  
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply filed on behalf of bank respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.08.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**EX-A. No. 182 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 117 of 2018**

**Smt Sumitra Devi W/o Late Ex WO Shyamala Prasad Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey  
and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal and  
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the bank respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection filed on behalf of the bank respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.08.2024</b>.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 183 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 641 of 2017

**Ex WO Mukhtyar Ahmed** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey  
and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Amrita Chakraborty and  
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection filed on behalf of bank respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.08.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 164 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 331 of 2019

**Smt Prabhawati** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>23.08.2024</b>.</p> <div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**EX-A. No. 212 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 664 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Ram Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit regarding present status of the case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance, failing which respondents shall pay cost of Rs 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to pay all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</p> <p>6. List on <b>23.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 320 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 168 of 2021

**Jyoti** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that required documents have been submitted to Army Headquarters on 13.05.2024 and all formalities have been completed.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of compliance within four weeks.</p> <p>5. List on <b>23.08.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**EX-A. No. 368 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 343 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Rajendra Prasad**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

## Versus

### Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit regarding status report filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be deposited by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on <b>29.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 20 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 830 of 2022

JWO Harikeshwer Lal Srivastava (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Saurabh Yadav and  
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Maj Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.08.2024</b>.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 569 of 2019**

**Gurupreej Singh & another**

## Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>3. List on <b>24.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 331 of 220 with M.A. No. 376 of 2020**

## Lt Col Sandeep Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Soma Pandey and

Shri Shashank Dwivedi, Advocates

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Let it be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Subject matter is pending before the Larger Bench, AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>4. List on <b>24.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 828 of 2023**

# Ex Hav Kumar Vikaram Gurung

## Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and  
Shri RK Singh, Advocates

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 834 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub (PA) AK Tiwari**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 1165 of 2023**

**Smt Lokeshwati w/o Rfn Late Rajendra Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

## Versus

## Chief of Army Staff & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 4 to 6 are present.</li> <li>Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 3 is taken on record.</li> <li>Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No 4 to 6 has already been filed.</li> <li>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</li> <li>Interim order is extended till further order.</li> <li>List on <b>05.08.2024</b>.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p>



Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has received parawise comments today. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>05.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1329 of 2023

**Smt Islamun Nisha W/o Late Sep Farid Islam** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra and  
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>5. List on <b>09.08.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <div><div><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></div><div><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 1336 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Prakash Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to typographical error he does intend to press the rejoinder affidavit which he has filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is rejected.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant is granted two weeks time to file fresh rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on <b>23.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 1455 of 2024 with M.A. No. 2105 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Yadunath Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the parties are not present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 197 of 2024

Nk DSC Ram Pratap (Retd) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.09.2024</b>.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. Shr prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>02.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 24)

EX.A. No. 53 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 17 of 2017

**Sqn Ldr RK Kashyap (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv kant Pandey  
and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Written submission filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In this case, on 04.03.2024 parties were directed to present physically in the Court for argument but today parties are not physically present, though they are virtually present.</p> <p>4. Case needs physical hearing.</p> <p>5. List on <b>12.09.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**O.A. No. 230 of 2017**

**Smt Urmila Devi Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla and  
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocates

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Yogesh kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. List on <b>12.09.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b></p> <p><b>Member (A)</b></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b></p> <p><b>Member (J)</b></p> </div> </div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>12.09.2024</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 421 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Akhilesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

## Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>17.09.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 867 of 2022

Ex-MCAA-II (Hon.Sub.Lt) Ram Gopal Pandey & Others Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>12.07.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 350 of 2023

Ex CPL Sibnath Banerjee

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra and  
Shri Arvind Kumar, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>21.05.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Shri Arvind Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</div><div>3. List on 29.08.2024 for hearing.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>rathore</div>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 808 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt/MTD Indresh Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari,  
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep  
Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocates

## Versus

## Union of India & Others

## Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>17.09.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A.(A). No. 239 of 2016

**Shashi Mohan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht and  
Shri Udayvir Singh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>21.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>17.09.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>