ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)

O.A. No.1063 of 2024

Lt Col NirvanBajpai

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: ShriPankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Advocates

By Legai	I Practitioner for Respondents :ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Advocates		
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal		
of the			
Registry			
	22.10.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf		
	of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for		
	the respondents when the case is listed next.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav,		
	Advocate holding brief of ShriPankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the		
	applicant and ShriAlok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through		
	virtual mode, are present.		
	3. This Original application has been filed for grant of training allowance as		
	per entitlement for the period from 09.08.2021 to 27.04.2024.		
	4. Matter needs adjudication.		
	5. Admit.		
	6. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file		
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two wee		
	thereafter.		
	7. List on 04.02.2025.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

O.A.(A). No.1061 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1188 of 2024

Hav (Clk IM)Narendra Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAshish Kumar Singh, Advocates

	Tractitioner for Respondents .ShinAshish Rumar Singh, Advocates	
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal	
of the		
Registry		
	<u>22.10.2024</u>	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. Memo of Appearance filed by ShriAshish Kumar Singh, Adve	ocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be s	hown as
	counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.	
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer RaghavChau	ubey, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriAshish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.	
	3. There is delay of 10 months and 03 days in filing Original Application.	
	Delay condonation application has been filed by the applicant.	
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents raised objection in	regarding
	maintainability of this Original Application. He prays for and is gran	nted four
	weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay condonation	
	application as well as maintainability of the Original Application.	
	5. List on 04.02.2025 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (Supl. No. 4)

EX.A. No.416 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 87 of 2023

Ex RHM Shiv Shakti Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriRajesh Shukla, Advocates

	Practitioner for Respondents :ShriRajesh Shukia, Advocates		
Notes	Orders of the Tribunal		
of the			
Registry	00.40.0004		
		<u>).2024</u> hlaMr Tustica Anil Kumar Mar	nher (I)
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
			
	1.	Memo of Appearance filed by	Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of
	the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the		is name shall be shown as counsel for the
	respondents when the case is listed next.		
	2.	On the case being taken up fo	hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents, through		
	virtual mode, are present.		
	3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order		
	dated 04.01.2024 passed in O.A. No. 87 of 2023.		
	4.	This is fresh execution case.	
	5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks		
	time to seek instruction and file compliance affidavit.		
	6.	List on 04.02.2025 .	
	(Maj	Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	0.0	Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 1)

EX-A. No. 231 of 2022 InreT.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex Sgt SomdattAwasthi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriShailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Ms.AppoliSrivastava, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>22.10.2024</u>	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriShailendra Kumar Singh,	
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms.AppoliSrivastava, Ld. counsel for the	
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr.	
	Accounts Officer, PCDA (P) Prayagraj is also present.	
	2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to progress report filed by the	
	respondents which has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks	
	further time to file reply.	
	4. List on 03.12.2024 .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 327 of 2022Inre O.A. No. 238 of 2019

Ex L/NkAwadhesh Kumar Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriShailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriBipin Kumar Singh and Mohd.Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>22.10.2024</u>		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	Tion blema den danjay dingn, member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriShailendra Kumar Singh,		
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriBipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents No. 1 to 4 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondents		
	No. 5 &6 are present.		
	2. Supplementary affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the		
	respondents No. 5 & 6.		
	3. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit which has not bee		
	filed.		
	4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two week		
	further time to file reply to supplementary affidavit.		
	5. List on 17.12.2024 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 379 of 2022Inre O.A. No. 71 of 2020

Smt. Vitana Devi W/o Late Sep Surya Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriParijaatBelaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
registry	22.10.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriParijaatBelaura, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and ShriRajiv Pandey, Ld.		
	counsel for the respondentsalongwithShri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accounts Officer,		
	PCDA (P) Prayagraj are present.		
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs. 12,000/-		
	will be deposited during the course of day.		
	4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four		
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of		
	Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.		
	5. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till		
	next date of listing. Respondents are also directed to file status report till next		
	date of listing.		
	6. List on 17.12.2024 .		
	7. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the		
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J) SB		
	OD		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 383 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 53 of 2023

Sony Kushwaha Widow, Late Ex NkNagendra Singh Kushwaha Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriRahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	22.10.2024	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the	
	applicant. ShriChet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present.	
	2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four	
	weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of	
	Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.	
	4. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against them till	
	next date of listing. Respondents are also directed to file status report till next	
	date of listing.	
	5. List on 19.12.2024 .	
	6. Let a copy of order be provided to learned counsel for the	
	respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A) Member (J) SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1222 of 2023

Ex NkSurendraDutt

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Lt Col NidhikantDhyani (Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms.Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriGS Sikarwar, Advocate

	Colors of the Till and		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry	20.40.0004		
	22.10.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriGS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the		
	respondents are present through virtual mode.		
	2. Applicant was granted time to incorporate amendment in the Original		
	Application which has not been carried out.		
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks		
	further time, as last opportunity, to carry out amendment failing which this		
	Original Application shall be dismissed in non prosecution.		
	4. List on 02.01.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1278 of 2023

SmtDilmani W/o Nk(Late) MohdSaheed

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri R Chandra and

Ms.SupriyaSrivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	22.10.2024	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	non biemaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriR Chandra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents,	
	through virtual mode, are present.	
2. Today is last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit which has		
	filed.	
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file	
	rejoinder affidavit.	
4. List this case for final hearing on 02.01.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1309 of 2023

Ex NkTejBahadurThapa Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriViratAnand Singh, Shri Rahul DevTripathi andShriRaghuveer Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriYogeshKesarwani, Advocate

by Logai i	racilioner for redpondente controgecimecal warn, ravecate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>22.10.2024</u>		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the		
	applicant.ShriYogeshKesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is		
	ready. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time, as last opportunity,		
	to file counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 07.02.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J) SB		

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.2</u> (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 1310 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1897 of 2023

Kamla Devi wife of Late HavJauhariLal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriPrahladMaurya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriPushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	22.10.2024	alta a 7 D	
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Mem	Der (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up	o for hearing, ShriPrahladMaurya, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriPushpendra Mishra, Ld. counsel for the		
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Objection on maintainability	of this case filed on behalf of the	
	respondents is taken on record.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to provide copy of this		
	objection to learned counsel for the applicant within a week.		
	4. Reply, if any, may be filed within	n two weeks, thereafter.	
	5. List on 02.01.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	SB		

Court No.2 (SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1396 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2042 of 2023

Ex SprRashpal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriAshok Singh and ShriAnkurRawat, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	22.10.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriAshok Singh, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant and ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the		
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.		
	2. In compliance of the order dated 01.04.2024, Objection on delay		
	condonation application as well as maintainability of the Original Application		
	has already been filed by the respondents and is available on record. Objection		
	filed by the respondents is taken on record.		
	3. Reply to the objection has been filed by the applicant is also taken on		
	record.		
	4. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant under Section		
	14 of the AFT Act, 2007 to quash/set aside order dated 22.07.1998 by which		
	Commanding Officer has dismissed to the applicant from service and also to		
	set aside the impugned order dated 17.08.2023 passed by the Chief of the		
	Army Staff affirming the sentence passed by SCM and to grant service pension		
	to the applicant with all consequential benefits taking into consideration 15		
	years service of the applicant.		
	5. There is delay of more than 22 years in filing Original Application. The		
	applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.		
	6. Objection regarding delay and maintainability of the Original Application		
	has been filed by the respondents wherein it is stated that instant application is		
	delayed by more than 22 years and long delay of 22 years has not been		
	properly explained on day to day basis. With regard to maintainability of the		
	Original Application, it is stated that instant Original Application is arising out of		
	a Court Martial proceedings but the applicant has challenged the dismissal		
	order under Section 14 of the AFT Act, 2007 which is not maintainable before		
	the Tribunal lacking jurisdiction and deserves to be dismissed at the admission		

stage itself.

- 7. Learned counsel for the applicant, on the other hand, submitted that during course of proceedings for Summary Court Martial (SCM), Commanding Officer not provided the copy of SCM proceedings to the applicant nor after passing the sentence of dismissal. Hence, applicant moved a representation dated 13.12.1999 before the Commanding Officer which was not considered. When no action was taken on the representation dated 13.12.1999, applicant moved another representation before the Commanding Officer and copy of SCM proceedings were provided to the applicant vide 268 Engineer Regiment letter dated 18.03.2000. After receipt of SCM proceedings, the applicant moved another application dated 21.06.2002 asking discharge certificate as well as recording of Summary of Evidence to file a case before the court but no action was taken by the Commanding Officer to provide the documents, hence, applicant approached the respondents by filing representations dated 19.09.2005 and 23.08.2009 but no reply was given to the applicant. Thereafter, applicant processed his case asking documents of SCM proceedings through RTI vide application dated 30.05.2018 and then it was replied by OIC Records, Bombay Engineer Group vide letter dated 02.08.2018 that SCM proceedings has already been destroyed by burning in terms of Para 592 of Regulations for the Army 1987 (Revised). In pursuance to reply dated 02.08.2018, applicant filed appeal dated 14.10.2020 before the Chief of the Army Staff which was kept pending and on receipt of no reply, applicant filed O.A. No. 296 of 2021 before this Tribunal which was disposed of vide order dated 02.07.2021 with direction to the respondents to decide the appeal of the applicant within four months. The appeal of the applicant was rejected by the authority concerned on 17.08.2023 and thereafter, present Original Application has been filed before this Tribunal. He further submittedthat delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate and intentional but on account of reasons stated in affidavit mainly due to communication gap of the respondent authorities in providing documents. It was also submitted that Original Application is maintainable having jurisdiction before this Tribunal.
- 8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 9. Service matters in relation to persons subject to Army Act, 1950, Navy Act, 1957 and Air Force Act, 1950 have been defined in Section 3(o) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. The case of the applicant filed under Section 14 of the AFT Act, 2007 is covered in Section 3 (o)(iv) under clause, Any other matter, whatsoever, but shall not include matters relating to sub section (iv)'Summary Court Martial except where the punishment is of dismissal or imprisonment for more than three months'. Since, the punishment of dismissal by SCM is covered under Section 3 (o) (iv), therefore, this Original Application is maintainable.

M.A. No. 2042 of 2023

- 10. There is delay of more than 22 years in filing Original Application.
- 11. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay caused in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.
- 12. We are of the view that grounds shown in affidavit filed in support of application as mentioned in Para 7 above are sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.
- 13. We are also of the view that under the provisions of Section 3 (o) (iv) of AFT Act, 2007punishment of dismissal by SCM is covered therefore, this Original Application is maintainable before the Tribunal.

O.A. No. 1396 of 2023

- 14. This Original Application has been filed to quash/set aside order of dismissal and to grant pensionary benefits to the applicant.
- 15. Matter needs adjudication.
- 16. Admit.
- 17. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 18. List on **27.02.2025**.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1469 of 2023

Ex Spr Ram Ji Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri R Chandra and

Ms.SupriyaSrivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : ShriShyam Singh, Advocate

	SB	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	(Mai	Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)	
	5.	List on 07.02.2025 .		
	direc	ted to take step within a week.		
	be is	sued to respondents No. 5 &	6 returnable within four weeks. Applicant is	
	4.	Notice has not been served	to respondents No. 5 & 6. Let fresh notice	
	3.	None is present for responde	ents No. 5 & 6.	
	recor	d.		
	2.	Counter affidavit filed on beh	alf of the respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on	
	throu	gh virtual mode, are present.		
	the a	pplicant and ShriShyam Singh	, Ld. counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 4,	
	1.	On the case being taken up t	or hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for	
	Hon'	bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Mo	ember (A)	
		Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
Registry	22.10.2024			
the				
Notes of		rs of the Tribunal		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1471 of 2023

NkZafar Salam (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriMahendra Kumar Singh and

Shri Sunil Kumar Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriSunil Sharma, Advocate

Dy Logai i	Tacitioner for Respondents Somodriii Gharma, Navocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>22.10.2024</u>		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriMahendra Kumar Singh,		
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriSunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the		
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.		
	3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.		
	4. List on 07.02.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB		

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 12)

O.A. No. 22 of 2024

SmtSheetalYadavW/o Late Sep/Ash Arvind Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriRavi Kumar Yadav and
ShriSaurabhYadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

by Legal Fractitioner for Respondents livis. Affilia Charlaborty, Advocate			
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	22.10.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriRavi Kumar Yadav, Ld		
	Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.		
	3. Applicant has moved application for amendment in the Origina		
	Application.		
	4. Objection, if any, may be filed within two weeks.		
	5. List on 03.01.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

Form No. 4 {Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 35 of 2024

Sep (Gnr) Raju Ram (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriSarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :ShriRC Shukla,Advocate

	Tacition of Teoporations .orm to original, tavocate		
	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	22.10.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing	g, ShriSarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriRC Shukla	Ld. counsel for the respondents,	
	through virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents	s submits that he has received	
	parawise comments. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time, as		
	last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 13.02.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	SB	. ,	

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 53 of 2024 with M.A. 63 of 2024

SmtMalti Devi w/o Ex Late Sep Rishi Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri R Chandra and

Ms.SupriyaSrivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriChet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	20.40.0004
	22.10.2024
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	Tion blemaj Cen Canjay Cingn, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriR Chandra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and ShriChet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents No.
	1 to 4 are present.
	2. Notice is deemed to be sufficient on respondents Bank but none is
	present for respondents No. 5 & 6. Case shall proceed ex-parte against
	respondents No. 5 & 6.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant intends to move amendment
	application for typographical mistake in the Original Application. He prays for
	and is granted two weeks time to move amendment application.
	4. List on 03.01.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No.2 (SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 133 of 2024

WO DarshanLalDhingra (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriAbhay Kant Upadhyay, ShriMadan Pal Vats andShri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriArun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

	Tacilloner for Nespondents.ormArun Numar Garia, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	22.10.2024		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriRaj Kumar Mishra, Lo	d.	
	Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and ShriArun Kumar Sahu, L	d.	
	counsel for the respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit ha	3S	
	been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file		
	counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 13.02.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) SB		

Court No. 2(SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 188 of 2024

Sep VikasChandel (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriRaj Kumar Mishra, ShriPradeep Kumar Mishra and Ms.Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

	raciilloner for Respondents: Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	22.10.2024
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriRaj Kumar Mishra, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant, through virtual mode, and ShriArvind Kumar Pandey,
	Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has
	been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file
	counter affidavit.
	4. List on 14.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) SB
	1 OD

Court No. 2(SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 229 of 2024

SmtParwati Devi w/o Late Ex HavGopal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriVP Pandey, Shri Deepak Bhatt andShri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms.Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>22.10.2024</u>		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriVP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for		
	the applicant and Ms.Preeti Mala, Ld. counsel for the respondents, through		
	virtual mode, are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.		
	3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.		
	4. List on 03.01.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J)		
	SB		

Court No. 2(SI. No. 18)
O.A. No. 240 of 2024 with M.A. No. 303 of 2024

Ex (HonyNb Sub) Arun Kumar Singh

SB

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriAshok Kumar, Advocate Versus

	ndia & Others Respondents Respondents
Notes of	ractitioner for Respondents: ShriAshish Kumar Singh, Advocate Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	22.10.2024
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriAnkurRawat, Advocate
	holding brief of ShriAshok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriAshish
	Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the
	respondents and reply to the objection filed on behalf of the applicant are taken
	on record.
	M.A. No. 303 of 2024
	3. There is delay of 16 years, 08 months and 15 days in filing Original
	Application. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it is pensionary matter in
	which bar of limitation is not applicable being recurring cause of action.
	6. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of
	application is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in
	filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed
	of.
	O.A. No. 240 of 2024
	7. This Original Application has been filed for grant of pensionary benefits.
	8. Matter needs adjudication.
	9. Admit.
	10. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file
	counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks
	thereafter.
	11. List on 14.02.2025.
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)

Court No. 2(SI. No.19)

O.A. No. 263 of 2024 with M.A. No. 374 of 2024

Ex Sgt Ram Nitin Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriVP Pandey, Shri Deepak Bhatt andShri RK Singh, Advocates Versus

Union of I		I I
		oner for Respondents:ShriKaushikChatterjee, Advocate
Notes of the	Order	rs of the Tribunal
Registry		
		2024
		oleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) oleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1.	On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriVP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for
	the a	oplicant and ShriKaushikChatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are
	prese	
		No. 374 of 2024
	2.	There is delay of 02 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original
	Applic	cation. The applicant has moved application for condoning the delay.
	3.	Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	4.	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing Original
	Applic	cation is not deliberate but for the reasons mentioned in the affidavit.
	5.	Written objection on delay has not been filed by the respondents but
	delay	has been vehemently opposed by the respondents.
	6.	We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit filed in support of
	applic	cation is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in
	filing	application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed
	of.	
	O.A.	No. 263 of 2024
	7.	This Original Application has been filed for conduction of RSMB.
	8.	Matter needs adjudication.
	9.	Admit.
	10.	Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file
	count	er affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks
	therea	after.
	11.	List on 09.01.2025.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A)

Member (J)

SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 267 of 2024

Smt Anjali Devi W/o Ex Sigmn Late Kapil Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriRavi Kumar Yadav and ShriSaurabhYadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India& Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriRajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>22.10.2024</u>		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	Tion blemaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriRavi Kumar Yadav, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriRajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. counsel for the		
	respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.		
	3. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks.		
	4. Application moved on behalf of the applicant for withdrawal of Original		
	Application is taken on record.		
	5. Objection, if any, may be filed within a week.		
	6. List on 03.01.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J) SB		

Court No. 2(SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 375 of 2024

Nk Kamal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriBhanuPratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

	ractitioner for Respondents:SnriAmitJaiswai, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>22.10.2024</u>		
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriBhanuPratap Singh		
	Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. counsel for		
	the respondents are present.		
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.		
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has		
	been sent for vetting. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file		
	counter affidavit.		
	4. List on 13.02.2025 .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) SB		

Court No. 2(SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 634 of 2024

Nb Sub (Gnr) Manoj Kumar PK

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriShailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	<u>Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriShailendra Kumar Singh,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments
	are awaited. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter
	affidavit.
	4. List on 27.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
1	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 637 of 2024

Sgt Mahendra Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and ShriSaurabhYadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriYogeshKesarwani, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
registry	22.10.2024
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and ShriYogeshKesarwani, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks further time to file counter affidavit.
	4. List on 27.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 691 of 2024 with M.A. No. 789 of 2024

Narendra Kumar Dixit and Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: ShriVijay KumarPandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms.AppoliSrivastava, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriVijay KumarPandey,Ld.
	Counsel for the applicants and Ms.AppoliSrivastava, Ld. counsel for the
	respondentsNo. 1 to 3, through virtual mode, are present.
	2. Objection on delay filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 is taken
	on record.
	3. ShriAshish Mishra, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondents No. 4
	& 5 and submits that he will file Vakalatnama and objection on delay
	condonation application. As prayed, two weeks time is granted.
	4. List on 28.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) SB

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2(SI. No. 25)</u>

O.A. No. 693 of 2024 with M.A. No. 791 of 2024

Narendra Kumar Dixit and Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants :Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriArun Kumar Sahu, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey,Ld.
	Counsel for the applicants and ShriArun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the

respondents are present.

2. Objection on delay filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 is taken on record.

M.A. No. 791 of 2024

- 3. There is delay of 06 years, 04 months and 11 days in filing Original Application. The applicants have moved application for condoning the delay.
- 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 5. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that it is pensionary matter which is recurring cause of action and delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate but for the reasons mentioned in the affidavit.
- 6. We are of the view that ground shown in affidavit is sufficient. Delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed of.

O.A. No. 693 of 2024

- 7. This Original Application has been filed for revision of service pension of deceased father of the applicant.
- 8. Matter needs adjudication.
- 9. Admit.
- 10. Learned counsel for the respondents is granted four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
- 11. ShriAshish Mishra, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondents No. 4
- & 5. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Vakalatnama and counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents no. 4 & 5.
- 4. List on **28.02.2025**.

(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A)

Member (J)

SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 818 of 2024

Sgt BirendraChaudhary (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :In Person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	22.10.2024
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, SgtBirendraChaudhary (Retd),
	the applicant in person and ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents, through virtual mode, are present.
	2. Respondents were granted time to file objection which has not been
	filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks
	further time to file objection.
	4. List on 06.12.2024 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 27)

O.A.(A) No. 1381 of 2023

GnrRudra Mani Pandey

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant :ShriViratAnand Singh and

ShriNarendra Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present for the
	appellant. ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present.
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.
	4. List on 28.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 164 of 2013 with M.A. No. 1406 of 2014

Warrant Officer Shashi Kant Chaturvedi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :In Person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Advocates

by Legain	Tacitioner for Nespondents. Officialiendia offarma Attai, Advocates
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Warrant Officer Shashi Kant
	Chaturvedi, the applicant in person and ShriShailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.
	counsel for the respondents, through virtual mode, are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 07.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 29)

O.A. No. 217 of 2017

Brijesh Kumar Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriVinayPandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: ShriAmitJaiswal, Advocate

by Legal I	racilioner for Respondents. SimAmilioaiswai, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriVinayPandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and ShriAmitJaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List for hearing on 06.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

Court No. 2(SI. No. 30)

O.A. No. 985 of 2022

Hav (DMT) Jitendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :ShriShashankShekarShukla and ShriKuldeep Singh Sikarwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms.AppoliSrivastava, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2024</u>
	Hon'bleMr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'bleMaj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, ShriShashankShekarShukla,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms.AppoliSrivastava, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present through virtual mode.
	2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned
	for the day.
	3. List for hearing on 07.02.2025 .
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB