

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 548 of 2024 with M.A. No. 631 of 2024**

**LAC Raj Kumar Lal (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Atul Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>5. List on <b>27.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 550 of 2024**

**Ex Sub Kuldeep**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents raised oral objection regarding maintainability of this O.A. He prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 559 of 2024**

**Ex Sub Kuldeep**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to seek instruction and file objection on delay and territorial jurisdiction of this O.A.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 583 of 2024 with M.A. No. 661 of 2024**

**Ex JWO Dharendra Kumar Dixit** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Ors** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 19 years, 10 months and 05 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on <b>13.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp No. 5)**

**EX-A. No. 226 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 444 of 2022**

**Smt Rajni Devi W/o late Ex Rfn Dinesh Kumar Sol** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 19.04.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 444 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>17.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supp No. 6)**

**R.A. No. 22 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 51 of 2023**

**Ex Capt Viraj Singh Chaudhary**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate  
Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Advocate holding brief of Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Brief holder submits that learned counsel for the applicant is out of station. He prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p>4. As prayed, list on <b>05.07.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 1)**

**EX-A. No. 195 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 546 of 2018**

**Lt Col Raja Singh Parihar (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant has no objection.</p> <p>4. Since order has been complied with, this execution application is disposed off finally in full and final satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 354 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 507 of 2022**

**Mukesh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report, failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.09.2024</b>.</p> <p>5. Dasti copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 3)**

**EX-A. No. 396 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 513 of 2022**

**Ex Sub (RT) Dilip Kumar Tripathi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and Shri Rohit Kumar,  
Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with.</li><li>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of compliance within two weeks, failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be paid by the respondents which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>5. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost due against them till next date of listing.</li><li>6. List on <b>17.09.2024</b>.</li><li>7. Dasti copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 4)**

**EX-A. No. 430 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 879 of 2022**

**Ashish Kumar S/o late Ex Nk Kishan Lal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, on compliance report may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Ser No. 5)

EX-A. No. 483 of 2023 Inre O.A.(A) No. 383 of 2018  
alongwith O.A. (A) No 169 of 2020

**Ex Cpl Achchhan Shekh**  
(through his wife **Smt Shaheen Shekh**) Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Supplementary affidavit regarding present status of the case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 6)**

**EX-A. No. 505 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 576 of 2021**

**Ex Sub Maj Ram Krishna Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Manoj Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 7)**

**EX-A. No. 135 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 165 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Ravi Sharan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 8)**

**EX-A. No. 136 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 247 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Maurare Tiwari**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 9)**

**M. A. No. 1957 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1958 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 166 of 2011**

**Ex Hony Nb Sub Sachchidanand Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>29.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 220 of 2016**

**Radhey Shyam** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file reply/clarification regarding pending dues submitted by the applicant. Despite grant of several opportunities, reply/clarification has not been submitted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file clarification/reply to pending dues submitted by the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 96 of 2023**

**Ex Hony Capt Ashok Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Despite grant of several opportunities, rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit, failing which opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 142 of 2023**

**Hav/Clk Abhijeet Joshi**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended portion of O.A. but supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended portion of O.A.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 389 of 2023**

**Ex Hav/Clk Vinay Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Today is the last opportunity for filing counter affidavit, but counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as second last opportunity, to file counter affidavit, failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>13.09.2024</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 465 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Rakesh Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Despite grant of several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and it will be filed within a week.</p> <p>4. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, a week's further time is allowed for filing counter affidavit, failing which opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed.</p> <p>5. List on <b>13.09.2024</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 519 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Rajesh Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Jyotsana Srivastava, Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava and Shri Shyam Kinkar Pandey, Advocates

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 1449 of 2023**

**Munna @ Radhey Shyam**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 17)**  
**O.A. No. 1466 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2125 of 2023**

**Ram Narayan Yadav F/o Late Sep Ram Bilas** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra and  
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2125 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 25 years, 05 months and 29 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Time was granted to learned counsel for the respondents to file objection on delay condonation application, but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1466 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter being related to special family pension needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. Issue notice to respondent No 5 and 6 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>13. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week.</p> <p>14. List this case on <b>17.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 1489 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Munna Prasad Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate holding brief of Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Four weeks further time is allowed to learned counsel for the respondents for filing counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 1491 of 2023**

**Ex Sep Kedar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 1498 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Ram Rup Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present in Court. Learned counsel for the parties are not present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>19.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 1501 of 2023**

**Smt Rekha Mishra Mother of Sep (Late) Vijay Kumar Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and  
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 1507 of 2023**

**Sub Hansraj Singh (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra  
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court. No one is present for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record..</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 23)**  
**O.A. No. 1515 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2185 of 2023**

**Smt Oma Devi widow of Ex Hav Late Bharat Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2185 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 25 years, 05 months and 06 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1515 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter being related to special family pension/revision of pension needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on <b>26.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 24)**  
**O.A. No. 1516 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2186 of 2023**

**Smt Hemanti Devi W/o Ex Gnr Late Lohar Sai**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2186 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 17 years, 02 months and 05 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1516 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter being related to special family pension needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent No 1 to 4 is taken on record.</p> <p>12. Issue notice to respondent No 5 and 6 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>13. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week.</p> <p>14. List this case on <b>26.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 25)**  
**O.A. No. 1517 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2187 of 2023**

**Smt Rani Yadava W/o L/Nk Ram Surat Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 2187 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 15 years, 06 months and 05 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1517 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter being related to revision of liberalised family pension needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on <b>26.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 1528 of 2023**

**Mrs Mansi Bisht W/o Col (Late) Himanshu Bisht** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and  
Shri Shubham Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 99 of 2024**

**Ex Sgt Ashok Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>27.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (SerNo. 28)**

**O.A. No. 105 of 2024**

**Smt Devendri W/o Late Sub Ravi Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>27.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 29)**

**O.A. No. 262 of 2024 with M.A. No. 373 of 2024**

**Ex Nk Than Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh  
and Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 373 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>2. There is delay of 08 years, 07 months and 18 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 262 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>9. Matter being related to service pension needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on <b>29.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 406 of 2024 with M.A. No. 487 of 2024**

**Nb/Sub PA MD Mukhtar Alam**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application and maintainability of this O.A., but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed three weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file objection on delay condonation application as well as on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.08.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 31)**

**O.A.(A). No. 1052 of 2022**

**Ex Cfn Deepak Chandra Pandey (Through Parokar Smt Renu Pandey) Appellant**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>13.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 32)**

**O.A. No. 594 of 2021**

**Smt Mamta Chauhan widow of Late Maj Virendra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Ser No. 33)**

**T.A. No. 1 of 2022**

**Wg Cdr Peter Carl Francis D' Souza (Retd)** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Anurag Tyagi and  
Shri Udayan Pratap Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.05.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anurag Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the case could not be taken up.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.09.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>