

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 854 of 2024 with M.A. No. 954 of 2024

Hav Banwari Lal (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Shri Amit Kumar Shukla and Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 954 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 05 years, 02 months and 10 days in filing Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 854 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for re-fixation of basic pay of the applicant w.e.f. 01.01.2017 and grant of consequential pensionary benefits. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 17.12.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 855 of 2024 with M.A. No. 955 of 2024

Smt Raj Kumari W/o Late Sep Man Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Digvijay Singh Yadav and
Shri Surya Prakash Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Surya Prakash Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 955 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year and 11 months in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 855 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of family pension to the applicant after death of her husband. Matter needs adjudication.</p>

	<p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>12. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that order may be passed to decide applicant's statutory representation dated 22.04.2024, which is pending with the respondents.</p> <p>13. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties and without entering into merits of the case, we hereby dispose of the O.A. finally with the direction to the respondents to decide the representation of the applicant dated 22.04.2024 by a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p>14. With the aforesaid direction, the Original Application is disposed off finally.</p> <p>15. Let a copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents within 48 hours, free of cost for onward transmission.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>
--	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 856 of 2024 with M.A. No. 956 of 2024

Ex Sub Surendra Prasad Gurung
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Shri DK Dixit, Shri RK Singh
and Shri Mridul Pandey, Advocates

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>3. There is delay of 06 years, 02 months and 11 days in filing Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on maintainability of this O.A. as well as on delay condonation application.</div><div>5. List on 17.12.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 4)
O.A. No. 857 of 2024 with M.A. No. 957 of 2024

Ex Sub Sunil Kumar Rai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div><u>M.A. No. 957 of 2024</u></div><div><div>3. There is delay of 01 year, 03 months and 26 days in filing Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has moved application for condonation of delay.</div><div>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</div><div>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</div><div>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</div><div>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</div><div><u>O.A. No. 857 of 2024</u></div><div><div>9. This O.A. has been filed for re-fixation of band pay and grant of arrears of difference in basic salary. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 17.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 858 of 2024 with M.A. No. 958 of 2024
Ex Nk Vijay Singh (DSC) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 958 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 56 days in filing Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 858 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for condonation of deficiency/shortfall of 09 months and 02 days in service for grant of second service pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 20.12.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 867 of 2024

Sub (Nur Tech) Yeshwant Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>3. This O.A. has been filed for revised fixation of basic pay of the applicant and arrears of enhanced pay and allowances w.e.f. w.e.f. 18.03.2008 alongwith consequential benefits.</div><div>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>5. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 20.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 869 of 2024 with M.A. No. 967 of 2024

Ex Sub Satheesh Kumar S

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>03.09.2024</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></div></div> <div><div>1. Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents through virtual mode submits that he will file memo of appearance during course of the day.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div><u>M.A. No. 967 of 2024</u></div><div>3. There is a delay of 239 days in filing Original Application. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</div><div>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</div><div>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application and perused the record.</div><div>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</div><div>8. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</div><div><u>O.A. No. 869 of 2024</u></div><div>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 20.12.2024.</div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supl. No. 8)

O.A.(A) No. 449 of 2024 with M.A. No. 528 of 2024

Raju SinghAppellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Ankur Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. This O.A. (A) has been filed under Section 15 (3) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against sentence awarded by GCM vide order dated 20.11.2023 by which the appellant has been punished with to be reduced to the ranks, to suffer rigorous imprisonment for five years and to be dismissed from service.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</div><div>4. This appeal is entertainable by this Tribunal.</div><div>5. The appellant has also moved application for grant of bail.</div><div>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection on bail application.</div><div>7. List bail application alongwith application for condonation of delay on 28.11.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 1)

Ex-A/19 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 34 of 2010

Ex Sep Vinay Kumar

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh and Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Respondents were directed to file calculation sheet and clarification regarding different between calculation of applicant and calculation of respondents but it has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file clarification.</div><div>4. List on 24.10.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 2)

Ex-A/24 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2021

Smt Bimla Devi
W/o late Ex Sep Gambhir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Time was granted to learned counsel for the applicant for filing objection on compliance affidavit, but despite granting several opportunities objection has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week’s further time to file objection, failing which this execution shall be disposed off.</p> <p>5. List on 26.11.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 3)

Ex-A/225 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 77 of 2021

Rekha Devi
W/o late Hav Daya Shankar Pandey & Another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present in Court.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed despite availing several opportunities. Cost imposed against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Affidavit showing status report of the case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PIFA has given their concurrence on 30.08.2024 and this file is at present with PS2. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit, failing which additional cost of Rs 8,000/- shall be payable.</p> <p>5. On the next date fixed, Director PS2 shall remain present before this Tribunal through virtual mode to explain the reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. List on 24.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 4)

Ex-A/231 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex Sgt Somdatt Awasthi

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present in Court and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and progress report has been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to file reply on progress report filed by the respondents within a week. List on 22.10.2024. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 5)

Ex-A/93 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 835 of 2021

Ex Sep Raj Nath Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashwat Shukla, Advocate

Versus

The Record Officer AMC & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</div><div>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Applicant was granted time to file objection on affidavit of compliance, but no objection has been filed. Today none is present for the applicant.</div><div>4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that order has been complied with.</div><div>5. Since order has been complied with and no objection has been filed on behalf of the applicant, this execution application is disposed off being infructuous.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Ser No. 6)

O.A. No. 191 of 2023

Ex POME Bipin Kumar Varnwal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant was directed to file rejoinder affidavit, but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 7)

Ex POELR Pramod Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant was directed to file rejoinder affidavit, but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 07.01.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 8)

O.A. No. 194 of 2023

Ex POME Khusheel Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Ors Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that Ld. Counsel for the respondents Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate is not well.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant was directed to file rejoinder affidavit, but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 07.01.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 325 of 2023

LME Aditya Arun (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal and
Shri Nitin Khanna, Advocates

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.11)

O.A. No. 557 of 2023

Ex PORTAC Gopal Krishna Gokhale
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh and Shri DC Lohumi, Advocates

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Ms. Anju Singh and Shri DC Lohumi, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, for filing rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>5. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 558 of 2023

Ex PO GI (S) Khushi Ram Chamoli
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey and Shri DC Lohumi, Advocates

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey and Shri DC Lohumi, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Two weeks further time, as last opportunity, is allowed to learned counsel for the applicant for filing rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No.13)

O.A. No. 577 of 2023

Ex POME Mollety Nagesh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey and
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 6 is taken on record.</div><div>3. Respondents No 1 to 5 were directed to file copy of Annexure R-3 and 4 but it has not been filed. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file copies of annexures aforementioned.</div><div>4. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 664 of 2023

Ex POME Prabhash Kumar Jha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 5 is taken on record.</div><div>3. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, two weeks further time, as last opportunity, is allowed for filing rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 07.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1031 of 2023

Smt Durvasa Devi W/o Late Virendra Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Hari Prakash Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Hari Prakash Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents is not well.</p> <p>2. Today is the last opportunity for filing counter affidavit, therefore, opportunity for filing counter affidavit is hereby closed.</p> <p>3. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 86 of 2024

Smt Aradhana Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan and
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present. It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not well.</p> <p>2. Notice is unserved on respondent No 6. Applicant is directed to provide correct address of respondent No 6 and take steps for issuance of notice to respondent No 6.</p> <p>3. List on 15.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 450 of 2024

Ex Hav Dilip Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col RC Dixit (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col RC Dixit (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to enormous corrections, he wants to withdraw this O.A.</p> <p>3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, this O.A. is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 453 of 2024

Sep Sudhakar Mishra (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 05.12.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 455 of 2024

Ex Sep Devendra Pratap Mourya (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla
and Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 05.12.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p>rathore</p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 20)

O.A.(A) No. 663 of 2023 with M.A. No. 797 of 2023,
M.A. No. 798 of 2023 and M.A. No. 971 of 2024

Ex Sep (L/Nk) Rajendra Prasad
(Through wife & Pairokar Smt. Ravina)
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Advocate

Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the appellant is present in Court and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 971 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. Application regarding calling for original record of SGCM proceedings including pre and post confirmation petition is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 26.11.2024 for hearing on M.A. No. 971 of 2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 21)

O.A.(A) No. 1169 of 2023

Ex Cpl Praveen Awasthi Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Rahul Mishra and
Shri Vatsaly Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been prepared and sent for vetting. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 15.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 193 of 2021

Sub (NT) Suresh Shirahatti Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 17.12.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 23)

O.A. No. 691 of 2021

Lt Col Hardat Singh Keswan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey and
Shri Yogendra Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 17.12.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 585 of 2022

Capt (IN) Kamlesh Kumar Varma (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhishek R Shukla, Shri Ashish Asthana
and Shri Dharma Raj Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abhishek R Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Cdr Aman Chibber alongwith Shri C Marimuthu, Jt Director, Indian Navy are also present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 07.01.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 819 of 2022

Lt Col Yashendra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 17.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 927 of 2022

Smt. Munni Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 19.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 27)

T.A. No. 2 of 2019

Ex L Nk RA Gupta

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Shivendra Pratap Singh, Shri Vivekanand
and Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>03.09.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shivendra Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. No time left.</div><div>3. List on 19.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 28)

T.A. No. 10 of 2024

Cdr Kuldeep Sharma (Retd) Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Ramakant Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ramakant Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 07.01.2025.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>