

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Supp No. 1)

O.A. No. 757 of 2024

Omkar Tiwari S/o Late Mrs Nirmala Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vishal Bhatnagar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection on maintainability of this O.A.</p> <p>4. List on 08.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Ex-A/285 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 588 of 2023

Ex Nk Devi Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 08.01.2024 passed in O.A. No. 588 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 12.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Supp No. 3)

Ex-A/286 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 50 of 2023

Ex Sgt Ajay Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to move amendment application for correction of execution application.</p> <p>4. List on 08.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 1)

Ex-A/302 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 256 of 2020

Smt Haruli Devi W/o
Late Ex Sep Ram Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar and Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Vide order dated 02.07.2024, respondents were directed to show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against them for non implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Today, affidavit regarding present status of the case filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>6. List on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>7. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 2)

Ex-A/221 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 428 of 2022

Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Dhirendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. As per order dated 30.04.2024, respondents were granted two weeks time to file reply regarding annexure No A2 and A3, but reply has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file reply to the objection filed by the applicant, failing which cost of Rs 12,000/- shall be payable.</p> <p>4. List on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>5. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 3)

Ex-A/417 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 79 of 2020

Smt Yaswati Devi W/o late
Ex Gnr Ashok Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that cost of Rs 5,000/- has been paid and process for payment of remaining cost of Rs 10,000/- is in progress.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that matter is pending before PS4. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 10,000/-.</p> <p>5. Responsible officer of PS4 is directed to present before this Tribunal through virtual mode at 11 A.M.</p> <p>6. List on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>7. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 4)

Ex-A/501 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1122 of 2022

Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Satya Narayan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra
and Ms Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Shri Narayan Datt Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 8,000/-. Respondents are directed to deposit all the cost due against the respondents till next date of listing. Respondents are also directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status of the case and reasons for non implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>3. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 5)

Ex-A/63 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 514 of 2023

Ex Sgt Naval Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant are present in Court and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present in Court.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded in January, 2024. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of cost of Rs 8,000/- which shall be paid to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 12.11.2024.</p> <p>5. Dasti copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 73 of 2018

Smt Bobby Devi

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant Smt Bobby Devi for issuing direction to the respondents for grant of maintenance allowance, under Section 90 (i) of the Army Act, 1950, from her husband No 3192635N Havildar Rajkumar (respondent No 7) who was serving in JAT Regiment of the Indian Army.</p> <p>3. Applicant’s contention is that prior to filing of this O.A. she had submitted representations dated 04.12.2017 and 22.12.2017 i.e. well before the date of discharge of respondent No 7, but without considering her grievances he was discharged from service on 31.03.2018. Further submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that respondent No 7 has cheated the applicant as he has extra marital affairs with some other lady and there is every possibility that while preparing pension documents, photograph of that lady may be used for grant of family pension. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that since husband of the applicant has been discharged from service, this O.A. has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. Respondents’ contention is that grievance of the applicant was never received by them prior to receipt of copy of this O.A. It is further submitted that discharge order once issued cannot be cancelled without the consent of serving soldier in terms of Army Rule 11 (2) of Army Rules, 1954 which specifies that the discharge of a person, validly sanctioned by a competent authority, may, with the consent of the discharged person, be cancelled by any authority superior to the authority who sanctioned the discharge either without any condition or subject to such conditions as such discharged person accepts. It is also submitted that applicant’s husband has been discharged from service</p>

	<p>w.e.f. 31.03.2018 (AN), therefore, maintenance allowance is not admissible from pension. He pleaded that in the circumstances this O.A. may be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p>5. The records shows that while preparing pension documents, applicant's husband has nominated the applicant as his NOK for grant of family pension and her photograph has been affixed on all pension documents. The applicant has signed and affixed her thumb impression on pension papers, therefore, allegations levelled against the respondent No 7 that he may use photograph of other lady are baseless.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Applicant's husband has been discharged from service w.e.f. 31.03.2018 (AN). Grant of maintenance allowance to the applicant was applicable only till the time her husband was in service and no provision exists for granting maintenance allowance from the pension of respondent No 7. In our considered view Family Court is the competent authority for grant of maintenance allowance.</p> <p>8. In view of the fact that applicant's husband has already been discharged from service and no maintenance allowance is admissible from pension of respondent No 7, and also in view of submission made by learned counsel for the parties, this O.A. is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p>10. Miscellaneous application (s), pending if any, stand disposed off.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

Sandeep Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

O.A. No. 191 of 2018

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for stoppage of maintenance allowance granted to his wife Smt Pratibha Devi vide order dated 10.11.2016 passed by Headquarters Eastern Command.</div><div>3. Brief facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in the Army on 10.10.2007 in Rajput Regiment. During the course of his service he was married to Ms Pratibha on 25.02.2015 and Part-II Order No 0/0295/2016 dated 31.07.2016 was notified by 3 Rajput Regiment. Soon after marriage, relations between husband and wife became strained. They filed court cases against each other in learned court Unnao and Hardoi. On 14.02.2016, the matter was reconciled on the advice of the Commanding Officer, 3 Rajput and both promised to live in harmony. Though the applicant withdrew his case from District Court Hardoi, Smt Pratibha Devi did not withdraw her case filed against her husband. Thereafter, the applicant again filed case under Section 9 and 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for restitution of conjugal rights or else divorce.</div><div>4. During the pendency of this O.A., applicant has been discharged from service. Grant of maintenance allowance to Smt Pratibha Devi was applicable only till the time her husband was in service and no provision exists for granting maintenance allowance from the pension of the applicant.</div><div>5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>6. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties submitted that since the applicant has been discharged from service and no maintenance allowance is admissible from his pension, this O.A. has become infructuous.</div><div>7. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, this O.A. is dismissed being rendered infructuous.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 272 of 2019

Sandeep Kumar Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pratyush Chaube, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that subject matter is pending before the larger bench AFT (PB), New Delhi.</div><div>3. List on 10.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 241 of 2023

Ex PO Mohammed Rashid
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Ankur Saxena, Advocates

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 and Shri Ankur Saxena, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 4 and 5 are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 were directed to file counter affidavit, but counter affidavit has not been filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 3. Today is the last opportunity for filing counter affidavit.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit, failing which respondents shall deposit cost of Rs 4,000/-.</div><div>4. List on 03.12.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 713 of 2023 with M.A. No. 865 of 2023

Ex Chief Mech-II
Dinesh Chandra Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Shri RK Singh and
Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.08.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. As reported by the registry, notices were issued to respondent No 4 and</div><div>5. Notice issued to respondent No 4 has been received back unserved and notice issued to respondent No 5 has not been received back served/unserved.</div><div>3. Service of notice to respondent No 4 and 5 is deemed to be sufficient.</div><div>4. List on 30.08.2024 for hearing on delay condonation application.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(SI. No. 11)

O.A. No. 867 of 2023

Lt Col Susheel Kumar Mahapatro Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Respondent No 1 to 5 were granted time to file counter affidavit, but counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. On 16.12.2023 case has been proceeded ex-parte against respondent No 6 to 8. Shri Naresh Kumar Pathak, Advocate has moved an application on behalf of the respondent No 6 to 8 for recall of order dated 16.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>5. There being no objection by learned counsel for the applicant on recall application, order dated 16.12.2023 is recalled.</p> <p>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 6 to 8 prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>7. Subject matter is pending before larger bench of AFT (PB), New Delhi.</p> <p>8. List on 10.12.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

O.A. No. 1027 of 2023

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 03.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 1214 of 2023

Ex MCEAA(R)-I Hon(LT) Ganga Sagar Kushwaha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 03.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 1346 of 2023

Sgt Amit Plassar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 19.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 1349 of 2023

Sgt Sunil Nodiyal

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Applicant

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:

Respondents

Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>06.08.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. No time left.</div><div>3. List on 19.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1377 of 2023

Ex Hav Hari Bahadur KC Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan and
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Prakhar Kankan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 19.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1378 of 2023

Ex Hav Khim Bahadur Khattri Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan and
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 26.11.2024.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1390 of 2023

Ex Hav Heera Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan and
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 26.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1460 of 2023

Ex Hav Shiv Bahadur Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan and
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Perna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 26.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1465 of 2023

Smt Divya Devi W/o GDSM Late Ravendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 26.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 21)

M.A. No. 696 of 2024 Inre O.A.(A). No. 91 of 2022

Ex Sep Ajay Singh Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Col RK Tripathi (Retd) and
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against bail application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No time left.</p> <p>4. List on 22.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 22)

O.A.(A). No. 91 of 2022

Ex Hav Ajay Singh (Through Pairokar Smt Poonam Yadav (Wife) Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Col RK Tripathi (Retd)
and Shri Atul Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 22.08.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 31 of 2014

Jeetendra Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, KK Misra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 26.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 91 of 2017 Alongwith M.A. No. 470 of 2017

Jageshwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri DS Kauntae, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 07.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 970 of 2022

Rambriksh Ram S/o Late Sh Shivshankar Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : **Shri Abul Fazal Jaffrey, Advocate**

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Abul Fazal Jaffrey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 1013 of 2022

Ex POMA Parijat Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : In Person.

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijat Mishra, applicant in person and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No.1079 of 2022

Chandra Kali Devi W/o Late Ex LS Narendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sharad Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 05.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 1242 of 2023

Col Sanjay Khanduri (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col RC Jain (Veteran), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra
and Ms. Divya Jain, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 08.10.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Sl. No. 29)

O.A.(A). No. 500 of 2017

Vijay Misra Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Shri Dharam Raj Singh
and Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.08.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 21.11.2024.</p> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>