

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Dy No 106 of 2024

Court No.2 (Supp. No. 1)

Amrendra Thakur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra and
Ms Supriya Srivastava, Advocates

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This O.A. has been filed by the applicant for revision of liberalized family pension of deceased pensioner Smt Devi Laxmi. Applicant has stated that he is son of late Smt Devi Laxmi.</div><div>4. Registry has raised objection that documentary proof for authentication of relationship (son) has not been filed by the applicant.</div><div>5. Applicant is directed to remove the defect within a week.</div><div>6. List on 01.02.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp. No. 2)
O.A. No. 73 of 2024 with M.A. No. 88 of 2024

Ex L Nk Kundan Singh Bora
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Shri Deepak Bhatt and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents:Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>M.A. No. 88 of 2024</div><div>3. There is delay of 02 years and 29 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</div><div>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</div><div>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</div><div>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</div><div>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</div><div>O.A. No. 73 of 2024</div><div>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</div><div>12. List this case on 04.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
O.A. No. 74 of 2024

Court No.2 (Supp. No. 3)

Ex Sub/MT Jitendra Bahadur Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Applicant
Respondents
Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief for Shri Santosh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any.</div><div>4. List on 05.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp. No. 4)
O.A. No. 75 of 2024 with M.A No. 89 of 2024

Hony Sub Maj Diwan Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. There is delay of 09 years, 06 months and 04 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on delay condonation application.</div><div>5. List on 23.02.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Supp. No. 5)
M.A. No. 83 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 276 of 2022

LS GI (S) Abhishek Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad vide order dated 30.11.2023 passed in writ petition No 8782 of 2022 has set aside order dated 10.08.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 276 of 2022 and order dated 06.10.2022 passed in Review Application No 86 of 2022. He further submits that the Hon'ble High Court has directed to decide this matter afresh.</div><div>4. List this matter on 15.02.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX. A. No. 19 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 34 of 2010

Ex Sep Vinay Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh and
Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>2. In this case clarification was sought regarding difference between calculation of applicant and respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents has not filed clarification.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file clarification.</p> <p>4. List on 26.03.2024.</p> <p>5. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents submits that in order dated 07.12.2023 name of applicant has incorrectly been typed which is required to be corrected.</p> <p>6. We have perused order dated 07.12.2023 and we find that due to typographical error, name of applicant has been incorrectly typed as ‘Ex CPO Radio (Tel) Mahendra Kumar’ which needs to be corrected as ‘Ex Sep Vinay Kumar’.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, name of applicant in order dated 07.12.2023 be corrected to read as Ex Sep Vinay Kumar during the course of the day.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX. A. No. 24 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2021

Smt Bimala Devi W/o Late Ex Sep Gambhir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Objection, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 26.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX. A. No. 270 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 526 of 2019

Ram Chander Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in this case PPO has been generated and sent for vetting. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance alongwith PPO.</p> <p>4. List on 23.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX. A. No. 38 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 34 of 2022

Ex Nk Ram Babu Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that compliance report shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on 26.03.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX. A. No. 88 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 133 of 2010

Ex Nk Yogendra Singh Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Objection has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time as last opportunity to file objection, if any, on second execution application filed by learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 26.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX. A. No. 183 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 921 of 2022

Ex Sgt Vinod Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 06.11.2023. He prays for and is allowed four weeks time as last opportunity to file compliance report failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable.</p> <p>4. List on 05.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 7)

EX.A. No. 306 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 351 of 2022

Ex Rifleman Laxman Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time as last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance, failing which cost of Rs 5,000/- shall be payable.</p> <p>4. List on 05.04.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 8)

EX.A. No. 314 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1028 of 2022

Ex Nk Ramfer SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 05.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 9)

EX.A. No. 320 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 168 of 2021

Jyoti Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Applicant was directed to provide certain documents to the respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that requisite documents have been sent to the respondents on 11.12.2023 by speed post.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>5. List on 09.04.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 10)

EX.A. No. 368 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 343 of 2022

Ex Nk Rajendra Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on 09.04.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 11)

EX.A. No. 446 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 854 of 2021

Ex Sep Kripa Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhishek Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Ms Versha Tripathi, Advocate is also present on behalf of the applicant. She submits that she has filed vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant but her name has not been shown in the cause list.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to trace her vakalatnama and show her name in the case list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Notices were issued to respondent No 5 and 6.</p> <p>5. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>7. List on 09.04.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

O.A. No. 608 of 2022

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Adjournment has been sought on behalf of the applicant on the ground that learned counsel for the applicant is not present today.</p> <p>3. On request on behalf of the applicant, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 09.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Sl. No. 13)

O. A. No. 860 of 2022

Chandra Bhan Singh F/o Late Ex Sep Gitam Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Perna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>18.01.2024</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Perna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 are present.</div> <div>2. Notice sent to respondent No. 4 is deemed to be sufficient but none is present today for the respondent No. 4. Now, case proceeds ex parte against respondent No. 4.</div> <div>3. On request of learned counsel for the parties, heard arguments.</div> <div>4. Judgment reserved.</div> <div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div> <div>Member (A)</div> <div>SB</div> <div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div> <div>Member (J)</div>

O.A. No. 1134 of 2022

Ex Nk/Clk (DSC) Raj Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Goswami and
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that O.A. has already been decided and correction has been made. He further submits that O.A. may be disposed off as nothing is to be decided in this case.</div><div>3. Accordingly, this O.A. is disposed off finally.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

O.A. No. 644 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 22.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 1017 of 2023

Ex Sgt Navratna Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra
and Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time as last opportunity to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 26.03.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 1102 of 2023

Ex Sub(Clk) Vinod Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Applicant in person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant in person and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</div><div>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and counter affidavit will be filed shortly. He prays for and is allowed four weeks time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</div><div>4. List on 28.03.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

O.A. No. 1222 of 2023 with M.A. No. 15 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 15 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. This is an amendment application for making amendment in prayer clause.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that nature of case will not change if the amendment is allowed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, amendment application is allowed. M.A. aforesaid is disposed off.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate necessary amendment within two weeks.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1222 of 2023</u></p> <p>7. List on 16.02.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"><div style="text-align: center;"><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div style="text-align: center;"><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1363 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1978 of 2023

Ex Nk Bablu Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :

Shri Dharam Raj Singh and
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div><div>1. Vakalatnama filed by Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6 is taken on record. His name shall be shown as learned counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 5 and 6 are present.</div><div>3. Objection filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>M.A. No. 1978 of 2023</div><div>4. There is a delay of 01 year, 02 months and 20 days in filing application.</div><div>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown for the delay is not sufficient.</div><div>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</div><div>8. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application is disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 1363 of 2023</div><div>9. Matter being related to alleged recovery needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List on 05.04.2024.</div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

O.A.(A). No. 377 of 2023

Appellant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and counter affidavit shall be filed shortly. She prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 298 of 2019 alongwith M.A. No. 2041 of 2016
Inre O.A. (A). No. Nil of 2016 (Decided)

Ex Nk Ram Kar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned counsel for the applicant the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on 19.04.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 229 of 2021

Ex Lance Nk Ram Soorat Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>18.01.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Due to paucity of time, the proceedings could not be held.</div><div>3. List on 09.04.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 400 of 2021

Surendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha and
Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the appliant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 23.04.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A.(A). No. 191 of 2014

Ravi Shankar Singh Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>18.01.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 25.04.2024.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>