

O.A. No. 541 of 2024 with M.A. No. 624 of 2024

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, learned counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 624 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. There is delay of 01 year, 11 months and 05 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 541 of 2024</u></p> <p>8. This O.A. has been filed for grant of 3rd MACP. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List on 21.03.2025.</p> <div><div><p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p><p><i>rathore</i></p></div><div><p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p></div></div>

O.A. No. 594 of 2024 with M.A. No. 676 of 2024

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

rathore

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Supp No. 3)

O.A. No. 610 of 2024 with M.A. No. 699 of 2024

Ex JWO Jai Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, Advocate
Versus

Applicant
Respondents

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</div></div><div><div>M.A. No. 699 of 2024</div><div>2.</div><div>There is delay of 25 years, 11 months and 13 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>Per contra, Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</div></div><div><div>5.</div><div>Heard learned counsel for the applicant and Departmental Representative for the respondents and perused the record.</div></div><div><div>6.</div><div>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</div></div><div><div>7.</div><div>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</div></div><div><div>O.A. No. 610 of 2024</div><div>8.</div><div>This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension of the applicant to the rank of JWO from the date of discharge. Matter needs adjudication.</div></div><div><div>9.</div><div>Admit.</div></div><div><div>10.</div><div>Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div></div><div><div>11.</div><div>List this case on 21.03.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Supp No. 4)

O.A. No. 617 of 2024 with M.A. No. 709 of 2024

Miss Sneha D/o late Cfn Yogendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate
Versus

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.11.2024</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</div><div>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>M.A. No. 709 of 2024</div><div>3. There is delay of 20 years, 01 month and 09 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</div><div>4. Learned counsel for the applicant has pleaded that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</div><div>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</div><div>6. Heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</div><div>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</div><div>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</div><div>O.A. No. 617 of 2024</div><div>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of special family pension. Matter needs adjudication.</div><div>10. Admit.</div><div>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>12. List this case on 21.03.2025.</div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div><div>rathore</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 1)

Ex-A/135 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 5 of 2019

Ex Gdsm Rohitaswa Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Versus

Petitioner

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance is already on record.</p> <p>3. Petitioner was granted time to file objection on affidavit of compliance, which has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>5. List this case on 28.03.2025.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 2)

Ex-A/280 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 331 of 2021

Ex Cpl Dharm Raj

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Himanshu Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Himanshu Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Preeti Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost of Rs 50,000/- imposed against the respondents has also not been deposited. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that against order of this Tribunal writ petition has been filed. He has also filed affidavit regarding present status of the case which is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 15,000/- or bring stay order, if any. Respondents are also directed to deposit all the cost till next date of listing. List on 24.02.2025. AOC (DAV) shall be present before this Tribunal through virtual mode on the date of listing to explain the reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 03)

EX- A/81 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 482 of 2018

Smt Manpreet Kaur W/o Late Gnr Jagdeep Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the respondents.</p> <p>4. Applicant was granted time to file objection on affidavit of compliance, if any, but no objection has been filed.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with.</p> <p>6. Since order has been complied with and no objection has been filed on compliance affidavit, this execution application is disposed off in full and final satisfaction.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 04)

EX- A/255 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 406 of 2020

Smt Urmila Devi W/o Late Ex Nk (TS) Ram Naresh Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is also present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that Govt sanction has been accorded on 09.10.2024. Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj submits that claim has not been received by PCDA (P).</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 15,000/-, failing which responsible officer shall be present before this Tribunal through virtual mode on next date of listing to explain the reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. List on 03.02.2025.</p> <p>6. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 05)

EX- A/46 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 322 of 2023

Ex Sgt Praphool Chandra Saraswati

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost imposed against the respondents has also not been deposited.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for respondents submits that in this case Govt sanction has been accorded. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance on payment of additional cost of Rs 10,000/-.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit regarding present status of the case and reasons for delay in implementation of order of this Tribunal, failing which respondents shall pay additional cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>5. List on 03.03.2025.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 06)

EX- A/107 of 2024 Inre T.A. No. 3 of 2017

Kamlesh Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that writ petition is being filed against order of this Tribunal. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of additional cost of Rs 10,000/- or file stay order, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 24.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 07)

EX- A/108 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 200 of 2020

Ms Arti Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Navneet Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Gaurav Chand Kaushik, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Gaurav Chand Kaushik, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>3. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that some documents were sought from the applicant, which have not been submitted.</p> <p>5. On perusal of order dated 29.03.2023 as per which direction has been issued to the respondents to notify Part-II Order on the basis of documents provided by Zila Sainik Board vide letter No 10.12.2020, which has not been done.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance, failing which cost of Rs 15,000/- shall be payable which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>7. List on 03.02.2025.</p> <p>8. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Court No.2 (Ser No. 08)

Nk (TS) Dharamendra Singh Tomar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that implementation of order is in process. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit on payment of cost of Rs 10,000/- which shall be payable to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 24.02.2025.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 09)

O.A. No. 1054 of 2023

Ex Sub Maj Narayan Dutt Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: In Person

Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</div><div>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</div><div>4. Facts mentioned in Para 6 of the rejoinder affidavit are different from the fact submitted by the respondents in counter affidavit.</div><div>5. Respondents are directed to seek instructions and file supplementary counter affidavit within two weeks regarding para 6 of the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant.</div><div>6. List on 30.01.2025.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Ser No. 10)

O.A. No. 1453 of 2023

Hav (MACP Nb Sub) Dharam Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amendment application filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>4. This application has been filed for correction of date and event on page 2 and 3 of O.A.</p> <p>5. There is no objection on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>6. Since, nature of O.A. will not change after incorporating the amendment, this application is allowed.</p> <p>7. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to carry out amendment within two weeks.</p> <p>8. List on 28.03.2025.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 11)

O.A. No. 1485 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2147 of 2023

Smt Gani Magarni Alias Ganisara Rana Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and
Shri TK Shukla, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply to objection filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file supplementary affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 10.03.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 12)

O.A. No. 1494 of 2023

CPL Satyendra Kumar (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and forwarded to learned counsel for the respondents. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 21.03.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 13)

O.A. No. 327 of 2024 with M.A. No. 234 of 2024

Ram Devi Gurung W/o Late Nk Dhan Bahadur Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd) and
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file translated copy of page 16 , which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Applicant is directed to rectify the defect and file translation copy of page 16, failing which O.A. will be dismissed in default.</p> <p>4. List on 04.02.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 14)

O.A. No. 343 of 2024 with M.A. 423 of 2024

Smt Bittan Devi Widow of Late Sep Balbir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation application filed by the applicant, which has not been filed.</p> <p><u>M.A. 423 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 14 years, 04 months and 20 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and Departmental Representative for the respondents and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 343 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List on 10.01.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 15)

O.A. No. 398 of 2024

Nb Sub Ram Shankar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present in Court.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on 28.03.2025 for hearing.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 16)

O.A. No. 399 of 2024

Sub Shankar Dayal Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satya Narayan Mishra and
Shri Onkar Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court. Departmental Representative for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents that parawise comments have been received and provided to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. On 05.08.2024 further time was granted to file counter affidavit, but counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Departmental Representative for the Respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time as last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 27.03.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 17)

O.A. No. 411 of 2024 with M.A. No. 491 of 2024

Ex Sep Harish Chandra Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file reply to objection filed by the respondents, which has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file reply.</p> <p>4. List on 13.01.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2(Ser No. 18)
O.A. No. 415 of 2024 with M.A. No. 495 of 2024

Ex Nk Baij Nath Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani and
Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 5 are present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of respondent No 5 is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 495 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 18 years, 01 months and 23 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, Departmental Representative for the respondents submits that delay has not been properly explained.</p> <p>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</p> <p>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 415 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for release of service pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>12. List this case on 07.03.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) rathore</div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 19)

Ex Hav Rama Shankar Singh

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 27.03.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 20)

O.A. No. 444 of 2024 with M.A. No. 524 of 2024

Smt Neelam Singh W/o Late PTR Byas SinghApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari,
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi
and Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>25.11.2024</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Reply to objection filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</div><div>3. List on 28.11.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>rathore</div></div><div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 27.03.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 22)

Ashim Lahiri S/o Late Maj Amarandra Nath Lahiri Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 24.03.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 23)

Smt Ranjita Rana Bhat Chhetri Second Applicant
Wife of Ex Nk (TS) Krishan Bahadur Thapa Chhetri
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 28.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 24)

Ex Hony Sub Maj Riyasat

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Hemlata, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 28.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 25)

O.A.(A). No. 292 of 2016

Shaik Shabber Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri GK Gaur, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri GK Gaur, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 24.03.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 26)

O.A.(A). No. 29 of 2021

Ex Nk Shankar Ingali Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Vinay Pandey and
Shri Prasoon Kumar Anjor, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 24.03.2025.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 27)

Abhishek Kumar Mishra, S/o Sh Krishna Kumar Mishra Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 25.03.2025.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 28)

T.A. No. 68 of 2023

Ex Hav Panne Lal
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi
and Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocates

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 25.03.2025.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Court No. 2(Ser No. 29)

O.A. No. 1 of 2023

Ex Nk Rauf Khan (DSC) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 17.03.2025 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 30)

O.A. No. 122 of 2023

Ex Spr Harpal Singh) Applicant
Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka and
Shri Rama Kant, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 03.03.2025 for hearing.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 31)

O.A. No. 580 of 2023

Smt Chandrami Devi

Applicant

Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Mohd. Zafar Khan and
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Mohd Zafar Khan and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 12.12.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 32)

T.A. No. 149 of 2010

Virendra Kumar Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 17.03.2025 for hearing.</p> <div><div>(Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) <i>rathore</i></div><div>(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2(Ser No. 33)

T.A. No. 35 of 2023

Smt Seema Devi

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Shamshad Alam, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shamshad Alam, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. No time left.</p> <p>3. List on 12.12.2024 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Lt Gen Anil Puri) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J) </p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>