

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supl. No.1)

O.A. No. 3 of 2024 with M.A. No. 03 of 2024

Nb Sub Ramesh Mukerjee

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Shri Raj Kumar
Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocates
Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the said respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 03 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 11 months and 27 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 03 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of notional increment. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p>12. List this case on 04.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 7 of 2024 Inre M.A. 07 of 2024

Shiv Kumar S/o Ex Hav Late Kanhiya Lal Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 07 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 03 years, 10 months and 08 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 07 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of family pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>12. List on 04.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 11 of 2024 with M.A. No. 9 of 2024

Smt Anita Kumari W/o Nk (CMP) Late Niraj Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs Prerna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 9 of 2024</u></p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 02 months and 12 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p>4. Heard learned counsel for the parties on delay condonation application.</p> <p>5. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>6. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>7. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 11 of 2024</u></p> <p>9. This O.A. has been filed for grant of Special Family Pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>10. Admit.</p> <p>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next.</p> <p>12. List this case on 04.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 4)

EX.A. No. 1 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 174 of 2022

Smt Rekha Devi W/o Late Gdsm Ashok Kumar Mahkey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 03.03.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 174 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 01.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 5)

EX.A. No. 3 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 246 of 2023

Ex JWO Bharat Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav
and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 24.07.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 246 of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions and file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 01.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 11 of 2024 with M.A. No. 12 of 2024 Inre O.A. No. 311 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicants-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Raj Kumar

Respondent-applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants-Union of India and others.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 11 of 2024</u></p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 10 days in filing leave to appeal.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicants-Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that after passing of the order dated 21.11.2023. sometime has taken place in obtaining instructions from the respondents. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons mentioned above and time taken by the department in processing the case for filing of the present application, delay of 10 days is condonable in filing application for leave to appeal.</p> <p>5. We have gone through the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and find that the grounds and reasons shown in the accompanying affidavit for condonation of delay seem sufficient and therefore, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>LTA No 12 of 2024</u></p> <p>6. This application has been filed under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 21.11.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No 311 of 2023.</p> <p>7. After perusing the order impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant of leave to appeal.</p> <p>8. Accordingly, leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 1)

EX.A. No. 82 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 508 of 2019

Smt Kalinder Devi alias Kalindi Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has already been filed. Applicant was granted time to file objection but objection has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week's time to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 2)

EX.A. No. 216 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 501 of 2018

Smt Geeta Pal, W/o Late Ex Cfn Ramesh Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Respondents were directed to file affidavit of the concerned officer regarding status of the case, which has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that concurrence of PIFA has been accorded on 08.01.2024. He prays for and is allowed 03 weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>5. Cost shall be deposited by the respondents by the next date of listing which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>6. List on 28.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 3)

EX.A. No. 231 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex Sgt Somdatt Awasthi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in the court and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Progress report on compliance of order filed by the respondents is taken on record. Objection on compliance report filed by the applicant is also taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated today. She prays for and is allowed 03 weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance alongwith PPO.</p> <p>4. List on 27.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 4)

EX.A. No. 314 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 283 of 2020

Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Bhuri Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been generated on 08.01.2024. He submits that affidavit of compliance shall be filed alongwith PPO within a week.</p> <p>4. List on 19.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 5)

EX.A. No. 100 of 2023 Inre O.A. 850 of 2021

Ex Hav Sharafat Minya

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that concurrence of PIFA has been accorded on 08.01.2024. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 6)

EX.A. No. 238 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 300 of 2022

Mrs Kamlesh Singh, M/o Late Lt Akash Chaudhary Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht and
Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with PS4. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>4. List on 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 36 of 2023

PO (RP) Haritendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal and

Shri Anil Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 is present in the Court. None is present for respondent No 5.2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that copy of counter affidavit has not been received by him. Let copy of counter affidavit be provided to learned counsel for the applicant.4. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within three weeks.5. Subject matter is pending before larger bench of AFT, PB, New Delhi.6. List on 19.04.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 513 of 2023

Ex Nk Sukhendra Singh Rathore

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri AK Chaudhary, Ms. Upasna
Mishra and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit, but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 07.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 537 of 2023

Ex WO (Hony Fg Offr) Kanta Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was granted time to file rejoinder affidavit but rejoinder affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that copy of counter affidavit has not been received by him.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to provide copy of counter affidavit to learned counsel for the applicant within 03 days.</p> <p>5. Rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>6. List on 07.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 557 of 2023

Ex PORTAC Gopal Krishna Gokhale

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh and Shri DC Lohumi, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Shri DC Lohumi, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 6 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, last opportunity of 06 weeks time is granted to learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p>6. Copy of this order be provided to OIC Legal Cell/learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 558 of 2023

Ex PO GI (S) Khusi Ram Chamoli

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey and

Shri DC Lohumi, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Shri DC Lohumi, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed on behalf of respondent No 1 to 5.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 6 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, 06 weeks further time as last opportunity is granted to learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 560 of 2023

Ex POELP Ravinder Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to show name of Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate as respondent No 6 in the cause list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 575 of 2023

Ex CHEAR Hari Krishan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 577 of 2023

Ex POME Mollety Nagesh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to show name of Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate as respondent No 6 in the cause list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 578 of 2023

Ex POME Wahid Ansari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey and Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 664 of 2023

Ex POME Prabhash Kumar Jha

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 700 of 2023 with M.A. No. 848 of 2023

Ex SPO Omprakash

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama filed by Mohd Zafar Kha, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 6 is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 5 and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 6 are present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed six weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>5. List on 02.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 928 of 2023

Smt Geeta Mishra M/O Late Pnr Reetesh Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Vakalatnama has been filed by Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Advocate on behalf of respondent No 9. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for respondent No 9 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Shri Suresh Chandra Pandey, Ld. Counsel for</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 8 is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Notice issued to respondent No 10 has not been received back served or unserved. Notice deemed to be sufficient. Case shall proceed ex-parte against respondent No 9.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the respondent prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within to weeks next.</p> <p>6. List on 05.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A.(A). No. 430 of 2023 with M.A. No. 525 of 2023

Ex L/Nk Mohammed Shahab Alam (Through wife Smt Saba Perveen) Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Brijendra Singh, Shri Rohit Kumar
and Shri Romil Pathak, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This case has been listed for counter affidavit and objection on bail application.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Objection on bail application has not been filed by learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks further time to file objection on bail application.</p> <p>5. List on 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A.(A). No. 1415 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2050 of 2023

Gnr (TA) Abhishek Kumar Mishra Through Pairokar Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and
Shri Prateek Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The case is listed for filing counter affidavit and objection on bail application.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have not been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit and two week time to file objection on bail application.</p> <p>4. List on 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 342 of 2020

Dashartha W/o Late Sep Prem Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Rajesh Kumar
Awasthi, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal and Shri Rajesh Kumar Awasthi, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 29.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 477 of 2021

Ex EA (P)3 Sarvesh Kumar Verma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Applicant in Person

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant in person and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Written submission filed by the applicant is available on record. Respondents may file written submission within two weeks,</p> <p>3. List on 07.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 867 of 2022

Ex MCAA-II (Hon. Sub. Lt) Ram Gopal Pandey & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time the proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 05.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A.(A). No. 1103 of 2022

Hav Ctk Swapnil Santosh Suryavanshi through Appellant
Pairokar Smt Varsha Swpnil
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.01.2024</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time the proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on 28.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>