

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supl. No.2)**

**O.A. No. 18 of 2024 with M.A. No. 21 of 2024**

**Smt Hajra W/o Ex Spr (Late) Ikramuddin**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates  
Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in the Court and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 21 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 04 months and 01 day in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</p> <p>6. Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 18 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>8. This O.A. has been filed for grant of family pension. Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>11. List this on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 20 of 2024 with M.A. No. 23 of 2024**

**Smt Geeta Mishra W/o Spr (Late) Rishi Nath Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates  
Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 23 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>3. This application has been filed for condonation of delay in filing Original Application. As per report of the registry, there is no delay in filing Original Application, therefore this application is dismissed being not required.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 20 of 2024</u></b></p> <p>4. Matter relates to grant of family pension which needs adjudication.</p> <p>5. Admit.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file counter affidavit, to which rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks next.</p> <p>7. List on <b>04.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supl. No.4)**

**O.A. No. 22 of 2024 with M.A. No. 25 of 2024**

**Smt Sheetal Yadav W/o Late Sep/Ash Arvind Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates  
Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in the Court and Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 10 years, 02 months and 09 days in filing Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Supl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 24 of 2024 with M.A. No. 27 of 2024**

**Ex Sgt Ramesh Kumar Prajapat**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocates  
Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. There is delay of 01 year, 03 months and 08 days in filing Original Application Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection on maintainability and application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. List on <b>19.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 1)**

**EX.A. No. 321 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 421 of 2020**

**Ex Gnr Ram Sharan @ Ram Saran**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost has also not been deposited by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to show cause as to why their property/account should not be attached for non implementation of the order of this Tribunal. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of responsible officer regarding present status in execution of order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</p> <p>4. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/OIC Legal Cell in usual sway.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 2)**

**EX.A. No. 121 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 676 of 2022**

**Nk Ram Pal (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed. Cost of Rs 5,000/- has also not been deposited by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file compliance report on payment of additional cost of Rs 5,000/-.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 224 of 2022**

**Ex Tradesman Mate Rajinder Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajay Sharma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Learned counsel for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. On 06.10.2023, order was passed to issue notice for respondents No 5 and 6 returnable within four weeks and applicant was directed to take steps, but steps have not been taken. Today none is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week failing which O.A. will be dismissed in non prosecution.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 277 of 2022**

**Smt Jaswant Jit Kaur W/o Late Nk Jagdish Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri GS Sikarwar and  
Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate holding brief for Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Learned counsel for the respondents is not present.</p> <p>2. Departmental Representative for the respondents accepts notice on behalf of respondent No 9. Notice deemed to be sufficient on respondent No 9. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos 1 to 4, 9 and 7 are not present.</p> <p>3. Counter affidavit may be filed within six weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 266 of 2023**

**Ex Swr Kalicharan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra and Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed a week's further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>07.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 729 of 2023**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Khim Singh Kaira**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in the Court and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter and rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the parties are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>4. List on <b>29.02.2024</b> as part heard case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 833 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub (PA) Manoj Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 1199 of 2023**

**Ex Nk Ram Dulare Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satya Prakash Pandey and  
Shri Ashutosh Pathak, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Satya Prakash Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents No 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. Issue notice to respondent No 5 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>5. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week.</p> <p>6. List on <b>08.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 1310 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1897 of 2023**

**Klamla Devi wife of Late Hav Jauhari Lal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prahlad Maurya, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Prahlad Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection on maintainability has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed a week's time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any.</p> <p>4. List on <b>08.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 1342 of 2023**

**Chandra Bhan Singh Yadaw (Retd) & 3 Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 1373 of 2023**

**Ex Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Dinesh Prakash**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in the Court and Ms. Purna Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A.(A) No. 1391 of 2023**

**Abhay Datt Tripathi**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents was granted time to file to file objection, but objection has not been filed. He prays for and is allowed a week's time, as last opportunity, to file objection, if any.</p> <p>3. List on <b>29.02.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 13)**

**T.A. No. 29 of 2022**

**Km. Naseem Jahan, D/o Late Sep Ahmed Shah Khan** Petitioner  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Ikram Ahmed, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ikram Ahmed, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present in the Court and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.03.2024</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>5. Shri Ikram Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his name has wrongly been mentioned in the cause list. He further submits that his actual name is Shri Ikram Ahmed whereas his name has been shown as Shri Iqbal Ahmed.</p> <p>6. Registry is directed to correct his name when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 141 of 2022**

**Smt Rekha**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present before the Tribunal.</p> <p>2. Supplementary counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Despite granting sufficient opportunity requisite documents i.e. court of inquiry and summary of evidence have not been filed.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time as last opportunity to file supplementary counter affidavit alongwith required documents failing which opportunity shall be closed at the risk of the respondents.</p> <p>5. List on <b>26.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 381 of 2021**

**Ex Maj Taj Mohd Sheikh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kazim Ibrahim, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Shri Nimit Srivastav and Shri Ahutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocates

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Kazim Ibrahim, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present before the Tribunal.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this O.A. be dismissed as infructuous as relief sought in this case has been redressed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, this O.A. is <b>dismissed</b> being rendered infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 212 of 2023**

**Smt Sadhna Singh W/O Late Hav Veer Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and  
Shri Santosh Singh, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on <b>28.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 399 of 2023**

**Ex WO Ganesh Shankar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Due to paucity of time, the proceedings could not be held.</p> <p>3. List on <b>28.03.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. (A). No. 500 of 2017**

**Vijai Misra**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant : Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none is present on behalf of the parties. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No. 2 (Sl. No. 19)**

**T.A. No. 149 of 2010**

**Virendra Kumar**

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.01.2024</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is present. It is submitted by Shri RC Shukla, Advocate that Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. counsel for the respondents is out of station.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.04.2024</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Justice Anil Kumar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><i>rathore</i></p>