Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 185 of 2016

Gun Bahadur Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	02.05.2017 Han'hle Mr. Justice D.B. Singh, Member (1)		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Con Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	O.A. No. 185 of 2016, Gun Bahadur Singh vs. Union of India and		
	others is allowed.		
	For order, see our order of date passed on separate sheets.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 433 of 2012 with M.A. No. 770 of 2017

Versus

Ram Sajiwan

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant		
	and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	<u>M.A. No. 770 of 2017</u>		
	Supplementary counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the		
	respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of		
	accordingly.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two		
	weeks' time to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit.		
	List this case on 26.05.2017 for hearing.		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)(Justice D.P. Singh)Member (A)Member (J)		
	SB		

O.A. No. 20 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2248 of 2016 & M.A. No. 771 of 2017

Versus

Bal Krishna M

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: The applicant, Shri Bal Krishna M, in person and Dr.		
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	<u>M.A. No. 771 of 2017</u>		
	Supplementary counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the		
	respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of		
	accordingly.		
	Let supplementary rejoinder affidavit be filed by the applicant		
	within four weeks.		
	List this case on 19.07.2017 for hearing.		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)		
	SB		

T.A. No. 21 of 2012

Versus

Ram Naresh Dubey

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner		
	and Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	This case seems to be part heard case. Accordingly, list this case		
	on 03.05.2017 for hearing in List (A).		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) SB	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 496 of 2017 Inre T.A. No. 185 of 2009

Versus

Vijay Kumar Yadav

Petitioner

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner		
	and Dr. Chet Narain Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Receipt of cost for Rs. 200/-, deposited by learned counsel for the		
	petitioner is taken on record. The case is restored to its original		
	number.		
	From perusal of record, it is seen that copy of counter affidavit is		
	not on record. Let it be filed by learned counsel for the respondents,		
	duly supported with an affidavit, within two weeks.		
	List this case on 26.05.2017 for hearing.		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
		tice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A) SB	Member (J)	

O.A. No. 285 of 2013

Versus

Smt. Sunita Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>02.05.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents and		
	Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for Respondent No. 6.		
	The present case relates to dispute between the wife of the		
	deceased army person and mother with regard to sharing of post		
	retirement dues.		
	It has been informed by learned counsel for the applicant that		
	wife of the deceased soldier is issueless. He further submits that the		
	matter be settled amicably to create a cordial situation. Accordingly,		
	both the applicant and respondents to appear in person on 07.07.2017		
	for mutual settlement or mediation with their consent.		
	List this case on 07.07.2017 for hearing.		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) SB (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)		

O.A. No. 164 of 2014

Versus

Ram Sunder Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri M.K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	We have perused the record. Though	the service record contains	
	an endorsement of 01.12.2000 that the ap	plicant has been dismissed	
	from service by the Commandant Al	MC Centre, Lucknow in	
	accordance with Army Act 1950 and Army	Order 439 of 1963, but the	
	order of dismissal seems to be not on reco	ord. Dismissal order should	
	have been prepared and served in accordance with provisions		
	contained in Section 23 of Army Act.		
	Let the respondents to file an affidav	it inclosing the order of the	
	dismissal and indicating the date of its service on the applicant or		
	brought on record the status of the dismissal order.		
	List this case on 10.07.2017 for hearing	ng.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel	for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to	the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) SB	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)	

O.A. No. 219 of 2015

Versus

Kamta Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant		
	and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	On call of the case, Shri O.P. Kushwaha states that Shri A.K.		
	Maurya, Advocate is out of station and prays for adjournment of the		
	case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.		
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned.		
	List this case on 13.07.2017 for hearing.		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A)	(Justice D.I. Shigh) Member (J)	
	ukt		

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A.No. 754 of 2017 With No. 755 of 2017 In O.A.No. 78 of 2016

Shiv kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate is	
	taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the	
	respondents.	
	Present: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, learned counsel for the	
	applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	M.A. No. 754 of 2017 with No.755 of 2017	
	This is an application for recall of the order dated 02.03.2017	
	passed in O.A.No.78 of 2016 dismissing the Original Application for	
	non prosecution.	
	After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, we condone the	
	delay in filing the recall application and the order dated 02.03.2017	
	passed in O.A. No.78 of 2016 dismissing the Original Application for	
	non prosecution is hereby recalled, subject to payment of cost of	
	Rs.500/-, which shall be deposited in the Bar Association, AFT,	
	Lucknow within a week.	
	Controversy relates to payment of pension of rank of Junior	
	Warrant Officer.	
	Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is	
	a fit case for admission.	
	Admit.	
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four	
	weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.	

List this case on **13.07.2017** for orders showing the name of Shri Amit Jaiswal as learned counsel for the respondents. Registry shall correct the name of the applicant as Shiv Kumar Singh in place of Shiv Kumar. . (Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

DY-No.2005 of 2016 with M.A.Nos.2102, 2201 of 2016 and M.A.No.344 of 2017 inre OA No. Nil of 2016

Jitendra Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri Alok Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Dr Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Objection against the application for amendment filed by Dr.		
	Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four		
	weeks' time to file reply to it.		
	List this case on 14.07.2017 for orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)(Justice D.P. Singh)Member (A)Member (J)PKGPKG		

Court No. 1 (List B)

EX-A. No.221 of 2016 Inre T.A. No.1026 of 2010

Versus

Bhairun Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal is		
	taken on record.		
	Present: Ms Manisha Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the		
	petitioner and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the		
	respondents.		
	EX-A No.221 of 2016		
	Compliance report alongwith the copy of PPO filed by the		
	learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.		
	From perusal of the compliance report and as also stated by the		
	learned counsel for the respondents, it is evident that necessary PPO		
	regarding the disability pension in respect of the petitioner has been		
	issued to the bank concerned.		
	Accordingly, it is directed that the bank concerned shall release		
	the outstanding dues to the petitioner within one month from its		
	communication.		
	Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of		
	accordingly.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)PKG		

M.A. No.2306 of 2016 with M.A. No. 342 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 26 of 2014

Versus

Jagdamba Prasad Tiwari

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri Udai Bhan Pandey, learned counsel for the		
	applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the		
	respondents.		
	Compliance report filed by the learned counsel for the		
	respondents is taken on record.		
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Review Medical		
	Board has not been held.		
	List this case on 17.07.2017 for orders to enable the learned		
	counsel for the respondents to seek instructions as to why the Review		
	Medical Board of the applicant has not been held in compliance of the		
	orders dated 19th November 2015 passed by	the Tribunal in O.A.	
	no.26 of 2014.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A) PKG	Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 138 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Versus

Akhilesh Kumar Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the	
	applicant and Shri Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the	
	respondents.	
	<u>M.A. No. 138 of 201</u> 7	
	Objection against the application for condonation of delay filed	
	by Shri Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents is taken	
	on record. Application aforesaid stand disposed of.	
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four	
	weeks' time to file reply to it.	
	List this case on 11.08.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	PKG	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 266 of 2015 with M.A. Nos. 1968. 2377 of 2016

Versus

Hari Bahadur

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counse	el for the respondents.
	<u>M.A. No. 1968 of 2016</u>	
	This is an application for conde	onation of delay in filing the
	original application which as per office	e report is delayed by 13 years
	and 29 days.	
	Matter relates to denial of disabili	ty pension. Grant of pension is
	recurring cause of action. The reason	s given in the affidavit filed in
	support of application for condonation of delay seem to be genuine. In	
	the interest of justice, the delay in filing the Original Application is	
	hereby condoned. Objection raised by the learned counsel for the	
	respondents is rejected.	
	Admit.	
	Let the case be registered as O.A.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two	
	weeks' time to file counter affidavit. I	Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may
	be filed by learned counsel for the	applicant within two weeks'
	thereafter.	
	With the consent of learned couns	sel for the parties, list this case
	on 28.06.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 81 of 2016 with M.A. No. 1564 of 2016

Versus

Mahendra Sharma

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Mer	<u>nber (A)</u>
	Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Ms Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Respondents counsel is directed to submit status report within a	
	week as directed by this Court on 01.03.2017 regarding holding the	
	Review Medical Board of the applicant.	
	List this case on 12.05.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 138 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2500 of 2016

Versus

VK Prajapati & Others

Applicants

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri Rajeev Srivastava, learned counsel for the	
	applicants and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the	
	respondents.	
	Shri Rajeev Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicants prays	
	for and is granted two weeks' further time to file rejoinder affidavit.	
	List this case on 17.07.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 212 of 2016

Smt Vinod

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>02.05.2017</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	Present: Shri VD Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr	
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for	r the respondents.
	Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned c	counsel for the respondents
	prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit.	
	Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the	
	applicant within two weeks, thereafter.	
	With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, list this case	
	on 29.06.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 15 of 2017

Om Prakash Tripathi

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	Present: Shri PK Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri Virendra Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Counter affidavit filed by Shri Virendra Singh, learned counsel	
	for the respondents in Court today, after serving a copy upon learned	
	counsel for the applicant, is taken on record.	
	Shri PK Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is	
	granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.	
	List this case on 09.08.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 20 of 2017

Sanjay Prakash Agnihotri

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry		
	Present: Shri JN Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr	
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents	
	prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit.	
	Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the	
	applicant within four weeks, thereafter.	
	List this case on 09.08.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

T.A. No. 39 of 2016

Ex Naik Shiv Sewak`

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner	
	and Shri VPS Vats, learned counsel for the	e respondents.
	Bench copy filed by learned counsel	for the petitioner is taken on
	record.	
	Copy of counter affidavit appears to be not in record. Shri VPS	
	Vats, learned counsel for the respondents is directed to file counter	
	affidavit supported by affidavit within two weeks.	
	With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, list this case	
	on 29.06.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining	to case for perusal of the
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 756 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Chintamani

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr Gyan Singh, Advocate is taken on	
	record. His name be shown as learned counsel	for the respondents.
	Present: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, lea	arned counsel for the applicant
	and Dr Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the re	spondents.
	<u>M.A. No. 756 of 2017</u>	
	This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read	
	with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the	
	delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 32 years, 09	
	months and 29 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the	
	learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 28.04.2017.	
	Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and	
	is granted six weeks' time to file objection. R	eplication, if any, may be filed
	by the learned counsel for the applicant within	n two weeks, thereafter.
	List this case on 11.08.2017 for admission.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RS/-	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 757 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Versus

Rakesh Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	02.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate is	
	taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents. Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri	
	Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 757 of 2017	
	This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read	
	with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 01 years, 03	
	months and 26 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the	
	learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 27.04.2017. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and	
	is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.	
	List this case on 16.08.2017 for admission.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RS/-	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 758 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Yogendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Me	mber (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shr	ri Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocate is
	taken on record. His name be shown as le	earned counsel for the respondents.
	Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned	counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the	e respondents.
	M.A. No. 758 of 2017	
	This is an application under Section	5 of the Indian Limitation Act read
	with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the	
	delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 13 years, 10	
	months and 26 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the	
	learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 28.04.2017.	
	Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and	
	is granted six weeks' time to file objection	on. Replication, if any, may be filed
	by the learned counsel for the applicant w	vithin two weeks, thereafter.
	List this case on 16.08.2017 for admission.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A) RS/-	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 759 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Madhuri Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	02.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Me	ember (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri	Siddharth Dhaon, Advocate is taken
	on record. His name be shown as learned	l counsel for the respondents.
	Present: Shri JN Mishra, learned	counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Siddharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the	e respondents.
	<u>M.A. No. 759 of 2017</u>	
	This is an application under Section	n 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read
	with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the	
	delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 04 years, 11	
	months and 04 days. Copy of this application has been served upon the	
	learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 28.04.2017.	
	Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and	
	is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed	
	by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.	
	List this case on 16.08.2017 for admission.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A) RS/-	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

EX-A. No. 74 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. 247 of 2013

Sawaran Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>02.05.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate is		
	taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Present: Shri VK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri		
	Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	EX-A. No. 74 of 2017		
	This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Arme		
	Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non		
	implementation of the judgment and order dated 18th November 2015		
	passed in O.A. No. 247 of 2013.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to show cause as to why the aforesaid order of the		
	Tribunal has not been complied with.		
	As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, list this case on		
	28.06.2017 for orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)RS/-		

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 228 of 2017 With M.A. No. 752 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Versus

Ayatu Sarki

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry	Orders of the Tr	libuliai	
Kegisti y			
	02.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri AK Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashi		
	Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 752 of 2017		
	Objection on application for condonation of	of delay filed by learned counsel for	
	the respondents is taken on record. M.A. afores		
	M.A. No. 228 of 2017	salu is disposed of accordingry.	
		rt of the application for condonation	
	Cause shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of the delay seems to be justified. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the Original Application is hereby condoned.		
	Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for		
	admission.		
	Admit.		
	Let the case be registered as O.A.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays	s for and is granted four weeks' time	
	to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if	any, may be filed within two weeks	
	thereafter.		
	As agreed by learned counsel for the part	ties, list this case on 28.06.2017 for	
	orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	RS/-		

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 236 of 2013

Bashisth Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

ctitioner for Respondents		
Orders of the Tribunal		
01.05.2017		
Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
from ble Le Gen Gyan Dhushan, Weinber (11)		
Present: Shri S.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and		
Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents.		
As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on		
24.05.2017 for hearing.		
On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
produce original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
Bench.		
(Lt Gen Gvan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
•	Member (J)	
SB		
	Orders of the 01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Me Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Me Present: Shri S.K. Mishra, learr Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned count As prayed by learned counsel f 24.05.2017 for hearing. On the date fixed, learned co produce original records pertaining Bench. (Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 87 of 2016 with M.A. No. 765 of 2016

Versus

Diwan Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A) Present: None for the applicant and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh,	
	learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.	
	List this case on 13.07.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB	

O.A. No. 174 of 2016

Versus

Kailash Kumar

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>01.05.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	Present: The applicant, Shri Kailash Kumar, in person and Dr.	
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is permitted to	
	file counter affidavit during the course of day. Rejoinder affidavit, if	
	any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.	
	List this case on 14.07.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) SB	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 19 of 2017

Versus

A.K. Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	01.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri V.A. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four	
	weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit,	
	any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two	
	weeks, thereafter.	
	List this case on 03.08.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	
	SB	

T.A. No. 172 of 2010 with M.A. No. 1446 of 2016

Versus

Kapil Dev Mishra

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	01.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: None for the petitioner and Mrs. Anju Singh, learned	
	counsel for the respondents.	
	On call of the case, Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, Advocate submits that	
	the petitioner has engaged him as learned counsel for the petitioner	
	and he will file Vakalatnama within a week.	
	As prayed, a week's time is granted to Shri P.N. Chaturvedi to file	
	Vakalatnama.	
	List this case on 09.05.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

Dy. No. 593 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Versus

Amit Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	01 05 2017	
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: None for the applicant and Maj Piyush Thakran,	
	Departmental Representative for the respondents.	
	It appears that defects, as raised by the Registry, have not yet	
	been rectified. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.	
	List this case on 18.07.2017 for declination.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A) Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 62 of 2011

Versus

Puran Chand Bhatt

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>01.05.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant	
	and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.	
	Arguments concluded.	
	Order reserved.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)uktMember (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 288 of 2013

Versus

Smt. Sarabjeet Kaur

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri P.K. Khare, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on	
	23.05.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)ukt	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 279 of 2015

Versus

Satya Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>01.05.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, M</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, N</u>	
	Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Heard learned counsel for the parties. Arguments concluded. Order reserved.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A) ukt	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 141 of 2016

Versus

Dharam Deo Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>01.05.2017</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the		
	applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	List this case on 05.05.2017 for hearing in List (A).		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) ukt (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)		

T.A. No. 30 of 2012

Court No. 1 (List B)

V.S. Malik

Versus

PCDA (P) Allahabad

Respondents

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	01.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri Ravi Prakash Tripathi, Advocate holding brief of		
	Shri S.K. Bajpai, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik		
	Chattarji, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	On call of the case, Shri Ravi Prakash Tripathi submits that Shri		
	S.K. Bajpai, learned counsel for the petitioner is out of station and		
	prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents		
	has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.		
	List this case on 09.05.2017 for hearing.		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)		

M.A. No. 97 of 2017 With M.A. No. 740 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. 135 of 2017

Shambhu Dayal Shrivastava

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and		
	Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	<u>M.A. No. 97 of 2017</u>		
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A.		
	Learned counsel for the respondents do not intend to file any objection.		
	The grounds shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application		
	for condonation of the delay seem to be justified.		
	Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the		
	Original Application is hereby condoned.		
	Controversy relates to the rounding of disability pension which has		
	been sanctioned to the extent of 20% for life. The payment of disability		
	pension itself is a recurring cause of action.		
	Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a		
	fit case for admission.		
	Admit.		
	Let case be registered as O.A.No.135 of 2017.		
	Counter affidavit has already been filed.		

ORDER (ORAL)

1. The instant Original Application has been filed on behalf of the applicant under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, whereby the applicant has sought the relief for rounding off of disability pension from 20% to 50% for life.

2. The applicant is in receipt of disability pension and he has filed this Original Application for the relief of rounding off of the disability pension. Undisputed facts of the case, as agreed by learned counsel for the parties, is that the applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 31st October 1969 and was discharged from service on 31st October 1997 in low medical category after rendering approx 28 years of service. Learned counsel for both the parties agree that the applicant is receipt of disability pension as 20% for life w.e.f. 10.03.2001 and he submitted a representation on 04.11.2016 before the respondents for rounding off of disability pension, which is still pending. Aggrieved, the applicant has filed this Original Application. The delay in filing of Original Application has been condoned today.

3. Heard Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record and with their consent, this Original Application is being disposed of at the admission stage.

4. The applicant submitted that he is in receipt of 20% disability pension for life w.e.f. 10.03.2001 and as per Govt. of India letter dated 31.01.2001, he is entitled for rounding off of disability pension but it has not been granted to him.

5. **Per contra**, the learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the applicant is in receipt of 20% disability pension for life w.e.f. 10.03.2001 as per PPO dated 13th September 2001. Since the applicant was discharged from the service on fulfilling of his terms and engagement and not invalidated out from service, he is not eligible for the rounding off of disability pension in terms of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter No. 1(2)/97/D(Pen-C) dated 31.01.2001.

6. We feel to recall the judgment of Hon'ble The Apex Court in the case of **K.J.S. Buttar vs. Union of India and others reported in SCC 2011, XI, 429** wherein Hon'ble The Apex Court has observed that a person who was discharged by retirement on completion of terms of service with a disability, was held to be 'invalided out' and was held entitled to the benefit of 'broad banding'. Hon'ble The Apex Court in Paras 17 & 18 of the

judgment of K.J.S. Buttar (supra) has observed as under :-

"17. The respondents submitted that the appellant was not entitled to the above benefits as he had retired on completion of his Short Service Commission of 10 years and had not been invalided out of service.

18. In this connection it may be mentioned that the appellant was invalided out and released in a low medical category with permanent disability assessed at 50% by the Release Medical Board. As per the Defence Services Regulations/Pension Regulations for the Army 1961 where any officer is found suffering from disability attributable to or aggravated by Military Service he shall be deemed to have been invalided out of service. Relevant provision (page 25 additional documents) read as under :-

53. Officers Compulsorily Retired on Account of Age or on Completion of Tenure.

(1) An officer retired on completion of tenure or on completion of terms of engagement or on attaining the age of 50 years (irrespective of their period of engagement), if found suffering from a disability attributable to or aggravated by military service and recorded by service medical authorities, shall be deemed to have been invalided out of service and shall be granted disability pension from the date of retirement, if the accepted degree of disability is 20% or more, and service element, if the degree of disability is less than 20%. The retiring pension/retiring gratuity, if already sanctioned and paid, shall be adjusted against the disability pension/service element, as the case may be.

(2) The disability element referred to in clause (1) above shall be assessed on the accepted degree of disablement at the time of retirement/discharge on the basis of the rank held on the date on which the wound/injury was sustained or in the case of disease. In our opinion the appellant is entitled to the benefit of the above Regulation."

7. It is also observed that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Review Petition (C) No. 2688 of 2013 in Civil Appeal No. 5591/2006, U.O.I. & ANR vs K.J.S. Buttar** has dismissed the Review Petition both on ground of delay as well as on merits on 21.01.2014.

8. On the issue of rounding off of the disability pension, we feel to recall the judgment and order of Hon'ble The Apex Court in the case of **Union of India and Ors vs Ram Avtar & ors (Civil Appeal No. 418 of**

2012 dated 10th December 2014) in which Hon'ble The Apex Court nodded in disapproval the policy of the Government of India in not granting the benefit of rounding off of disability pension to the personnel who have retired on attaining the age of superannuation or completion of their tenure of engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability.

9. In view of the directions given by Hon'ble The Apex Court in the cases of **K.J.S. Buttar vs. Union of India & others** (supra) and **Union of India & others vs. Ram Avtar** (supra), we are of the considered view that in conformity with the Rules, Regulations and the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble The Apex Court, the applicant is entitled to the benefit of rounding off of disability pension to 50%.

10. The Original Application No. 135 of 2017 succeeds and is **allowed**. The applicant is entitled for benefit of rounding off and shall be paid enhanced disability pension by rounding off from 20% to 50% for life, three years prior to filing of the Original Application i.e. 11.01.2017. The respondents are directed to pay the enhanced disability pension alongwith the arrears within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the respondents fail to give effect to this order within the stipulated time, they will have to pay interest @ 9% on the amount accrued from due date till the date of actual payment.

11. No order as to costs.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

T.A. No. 69 of 2016

Birendra Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	T.A. No. 69 of 2016 (Birendra Kumar) is allowed. Judgment passed on separate sheets, is placed on record.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RPM/-	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 156 of 2013 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2013

Versus

Faquir Baksh Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	List has been revised.		
	Present: Shri AK Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	On call of the case, Shri R Chandra, Adovate, holding brief of		
	Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant submits that		
	Shri Yashpal Singh is out of station and prays for adjournment of the		
	case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.		
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned.		
	List this case on 30.05.2017 for orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A) RPM/-	Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 1708 of 2014 With M.A. No. 2487 of 2016

Versus

Shyam Sunder Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	<u>01.05.2017</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr		
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant submits that		
	entire payment of revised pension has been made to the applicant. Hence no		
	useful purpose would be served in keeping the matter pending.		
	Since all the payment has been made to the applicant, original		
	application is rejected being infractuous.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	RPM/-		

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 1884 of 2014 With M.A. No. 2323 of 2016

Versus

Smt Mithilesh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri SSL Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr		
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	In pursuance to the information received through counter affidavit, the		
	applicant intends to amend the O.A. to challenge the order with regard to		
	declaration of desertion made by the respondents.		
	After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and in the ends of		
	justice, we allow the amendment application. Let the amendment be carried		
	out within a week,		
	List this case on 11.05.2017 for orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RPM/-	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)	

M.A. No. 1482 of 2016 With M.A. Nos. 1483 & 2586 of 2016 And M.A. No. 546 of 2017 Inre: T.A. No 894 of 2010

Jitendra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Applicant

Petitioner

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry	try		
	01.05.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sh		
	Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	Supplementary affidavit filed by Shri R Chandra, learned counsel		
	the petitioner after serving a copy upon learned counsel for the respondent in Court, today, is taken on record. Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents prays for and i		
	granted four weeks' time to file reply to the supplementary affidavit.		
	List this case on 14.07.2017 for orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 1535 of 2016 Inre: M.A. No. Nil of 2016

Versus

Gajender Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Orders of the Tribunal		
01.05.2017		
<u>Hon ble Et Gen Gyan Bhushan, Weinber (A)</u>		
Present: Dr Shesh Narain Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.		
On call of the case, Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate, holding brief of Shri		
KK Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant submits that Shri KK Mishra		
is out of station and prays for adjournment. Learned counsel for the		
respondents has no objection.		
Accordingly, the case is adjourned.		
List this case on 21.07.2017 for orders.		
(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
Member (A)	Member (J)	
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (Present: Dr Shesh Narain Pandey, learned o On call of the case, Shri Ashok Kumar, Adv KK Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant su is out of station and prays for adjournment. respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned. List this case on 21.07.2017 for orders.	

M.A. No. 1700 of 2016 With M.A. No. 2691 of 2016 and M.A. No. 608 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Versus

Applicant

Applicant

Sushil Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
9			
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>		
	Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr		
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.		
	In compliance the Court order dated 13.04.2017, supplementary		
	affidavit explaining the delay in filing the Original Application filed by Shri		
	R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant after serving a copy upon		
	learned counsel for the respondents in Court, today, is taken on record.		
	Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents prays		
	for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply to the supplementary		
	affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant.		
	List this case on 17.07.2017 for orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
	RPM/-		

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 2686 of 2016 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Versus

Shiv Nath Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal			
Registry				
	<u>01.05.2017</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)			
	Present: and Shri RKS Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents.			
	On call of the case, Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate, holding brief of Shri			
	AK Singh, learned counsel for the applicant submits that Shri AK Singh is			
	out of station and prays for adjournment.			
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned.			
	List this case on 24.07.2017 for orders.			
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RPM/-	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)		

O.A. No. 315 of 2011 With M.A. Nos. 1531,2191 and 2246 of 2016 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Versus

Triveni Prasad Shukla

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Applicant

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	01.05.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Me	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned	counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Supplementary affidavit filed by Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel	
	for the respondents after serving a copy upon learned counsel for the	
	applicant in Court, today, is taken on record.	
	Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks'	
	time to file reply to the supplementary affidavit.	
	List this case on 24.05.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	RPM/-	Wentber (J)

O.A. No. 198 of 2013 With M.A. Nos. 774 and 1682 of 2016 Alongwith (Decided O.A. No. 196 of 2013)

Versus

Vishnu Prasad Upadhiya

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Applicant

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	01.05.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr	
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file objection on amendment application. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks',	
	thereafter.	
	List this case on 25.05.2017 for orde	ers.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	RPM/-	

<u>Court No. 1 (List B)</u>

O.A. No. 225 of 2011

Shankar Datt Upadhyay

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders	of the Tribunal
Registry		
	$\frac{28.04.2017}{10}$	
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	OA. No. 225 of 2011, Shankar Datt Upadhyay vs. Union of	
	India and others is allowed.	
	For order, see our order of date passed on separate sheets.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 2716 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2016

Versus

Rajesh Tiwari

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunel	
	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	<u>28.04.2017</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, M	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, N	lember (A)
	Present: Shri V.A. Singh, lear	ned counsel for the applicant and
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two	
	weeks' further time to file objection on application for condonation of	
	delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the	
	applicant within two weeks, thereafter.	
	List this case on 29.05 .2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	SB	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 120 of 2014

Jai Ram Yadav & Another

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	Present: Shri M.S. Yadav, lear	ned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel	for the respondents.
	On call of the case, learned counsel for the respondents has	
	produced photostat copies of records whereas this Court has directed	
	to produce entire original records of the case.	
	List this case on 24.05.2017 for further hearing to enable learned	
	counsel for the respondents to produce entire original records with	
	regard to present controversy for perusal of the Bench.	
	On the date fixed, both the parties to appear in person before the	
	Court to resolve the present controversy.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	ukt	

Court No. 1 (List B)

O.A. No. 102 of 2014 with M.A. No. 253 of 2017

Versus

Sawaran Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Me	
	How we he con Gyun Dhushun, member (11)	
	Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that entire dues have	
	been paid to the applicant. Hence he does not intend to press the case.	
	Accordingly, this Original Application has become infructuous	
	and is hereby dismissed as not pressed.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	ukt	

O.A. No. 215 of 2015

Omkar Nath Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, list this case	
	on 29.06 .2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	ukt	

T.A. No. 178 of 2009

Court No. 1 (List B)

Sri Ram Pandey

Versus

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri Yash Pal Sing	h, learned counsel for the petitioner.
	On call of the case Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, informs that Shri	
	Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents is out of station	
	today and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the	
	petitioner has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.	
	List this case on 29.05.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) ukt	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

T.A. No. 1094 of 2010

Versus

Ashok Kumar Paswan

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list this case	
	on 25.05.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) ukt	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Versus

<u>Court No. 1 (List B)</u> T.A. No. 3 of 2015 with M.A. No.321 of 2016

Naval Kishore Bajpai

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	28.04.2017		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)		
	Present: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the		
	petitioner.		
	On call of the case Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, informs that Shri		
	Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents is out of station		
	today and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the		
	petitioner has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.		
	List this case on 23.05.2017 for hearing.		
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall		
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the		
	Bench.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)		

T.A. No. 10 of 2016

Versus

Yogendra Prasad

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, learned counsel for the petitione	r
	and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	Bench copy of the case, filed by learned counsel for the	
	petitioner is taken on record.	
	List this case on 18.07.2017 for hearing.	
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall	
	produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the	
	Bench.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)uktMember (J)	

T.A. No. 74 of 2016

Versus

Smt. Kaushalya Devi

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Sing	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhush	an, Member (A)
	Present: None for the peti	tioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned
	counsel for the respondents.	
	List revised.	
	From perusal of record, it appears that notice was served to the	
	petitioner but no one has turned up and Bench copy of the case has	
	also not been filed.	
	Today, on call of the case, neither the petitioner nor his counsel is	
	present nor is there any request	to pass over the case.
	Accordingly, the case is dismissed for non prosecution.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	ukt	

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 708 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Vidhi Narayan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Mem	ber (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri E	Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate is
	taken on record. His name be shown as lear	ned counsel for the respondents.
	Present: Shri Ashok Bhardwaj, learn	ed counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel f	or the respondents.
	<u>M.A. No. 708 of 2017</u>	
	This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read	
	with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the	
	delay in filing the O.A, which as per office	report, is delayed by 39 years, 04
	months and 02 days. Copy of this applied	cation has been served upon the
	learned Standing Counsel for the responder	nts on 01.03.2017.
	Learned Standing Counsel appearing	for the respondents prays for and
	is granted six weeks' time to file objection	Replication, if any, may be filed
	by the learned counsel for the applicant wit	hin two weeks, thereafter.
	List this case on 17.08.2017 for admis	sion.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	RS/-	Weinder (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 729 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017

Prakash Swarup

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Trib	unal
Registry		
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri AN T record. His name be shown as learned counsel	
	Present: Shri PK Shukla, learned counsel	•
	Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 729 of 2017	
	This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read	
	with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the	
	delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 10 years, 09	
	months and 25 days. Copy of this application	on has been served upon the
	learned Standing Counsel for the respondents of	on 27.04.2017.
	Learned Standing Counsel appearing for	the respondents prays for and
	is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Re	plication, if any, may be filed
	by the learned counsel for the applicant within	two weeks, thereafter.
	List this case on 21.08 .2017 for admission	n.
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RS/-	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

M.A. No. 720 of 2017 With M.A. No. 721 of 2017 Inre: O.A. No. 235 of 2010

Narsingh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents. Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 720 of 2017 This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitati	Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents. Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.M.A. No. 720 of 2017 This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds	Registry	
Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents.Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 720 of 2017 This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010.As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		
Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents. Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 720 of 2017 This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		
Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents. Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 720 of 2017 This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		Tion bie Et Gen Gyan Dhashan, Member (11)
for the respondents. Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. <u>M.A. No. 720 of 2017</u> This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		Memo of appearance filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal,
Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. <u>M.A. No. 720 of 2017</u> This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel
 Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 720 of 2017 This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds 		for the respondents.
M.A. No. 720 of 2017 This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr
This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.
application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		<u>M.A. No. 720 of 2017</u>
2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the
23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010. As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act
As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated
months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		23.09.2011 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 235 of 2010.
the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 05 years and 06
leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of
within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for
Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court
limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the
Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		Tribunal. Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by
and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		limitation. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the
law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd
Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds		and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the
		law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the
and reasons indicated in the officient filed in summer of the		Government. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds
and reasons indicated in the arridavit filed in support of the		and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the
application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of		application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of
the prayer for grant of leave to appeal are general in nature and do not		the prayer for grant of leave to appeal are general in nature and do not

appear to be germane.

In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No. 721 of 2017

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also rejected.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) RS/- (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

M.A. No. 726 of 2017 With M.A. No. 727 of 2017 Inre: T.A. No. 09 of 2014

Shashi Kant Jha

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Orders of the Tribunal
<u>28.04.2017</u>
<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)
Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate
is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the
respondents.
Present: Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the
applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the
respondents.
<u>M.A. No. 726 of 2017</u>
This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the
application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act
2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated
09.03.2017 passed by this Court in T.A. No. 9 of 2014.
As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 16 days in filing
the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces
Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal
<u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period
of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal.
Admittedly the application for leave to appeal is barred by limitation.
The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Office of the Chief Post
Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and
another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC has held that the law
of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.
Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons
indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our
considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for

grant of leave to appeal are general in nature	and do not appear to be
germane.	
In view of the decision of the Hon'ble	e Supreme Court in the
aforesaid case, the application for condonat	ion of delay cannot be
entertained and it is hereby rejected.	
<u>M.A. No. 727 of 2017</u>	
This is an application under section 31 ((1) of the Armed Forces
Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal	, having been moved by
the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. S	Since the application for
condonation of delay in moving this applicati	on has been rejected, in
consequence thereof, this application is also lia	able to be rejected.
Even otherwise also, we do not find any	point of law of general
public importance involved in the decision	so as to grant leave to
appeal. Accordingly, this application is also re	ejected.
(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
 RS/-	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

EX.A. No. 25 of 2017 (Inre: T.A. No. 1473 of 2010)

Nand Lal Gupta

Petitioner

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned co	unsel for the petitioner and
	Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the res	spondents.
	EX-A. No. 25 of 2017	
	It appears that a notice was inadvertentl	y not issued to the Branch
	Manager, State Bank of India, Rajdhani Distr	rict Gorakhpur, respondent
	no.5 on 21.02.2017.	
	Learned counsel for the petitioner subm	its that despite issuance of
	the PPO, the bank concerned has not paid th	e arrears of pension to the
	petitioner.	
	Accordingly, let a notice be issued to t	he Branch Manager, State
	Bank of India, Rajdhani District Gorakh	pur, respondent no.5 by
	registered post as well as regular mode of se	ervice to show cause as to
	why the order dated 17 th November 2015	passed by the Tribunal in
	T.A.No.1473 of 2010 has not been complied	with and payment has not
	been made.	
	List this case on 11.05.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

EX.A. No. 31 of 2017 (Inre: T.A. No. 11 of 2014)

Ayodhya Prasad

Petitioner

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribun	al	
Registry			
	28.04.2017		
		Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A	<u>)</u>	
	Present: Shri RK Dixit, learned counsel f	or the petitioner and Shri	
	Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respond	ents.	
	EX-A. No. 31 of 2017		
	Learned counsel for the respondents has not complied with the		
	23.09.2016 passed by the Tribunal in T.A.No.11 of 2014 despite time		
	being granted.		
	Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for t	he respondents prays for	
	and is granted two weeks' time to show cause	e as to why the aforesaid	
	order of the Tribunal has not been complied wi	th.	
	List this case on 11.05.2017 for orders.		
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	

Court No. 1 (List B)

EX.A. No. 34 of 2017

(Inre: O.A. No. 303 of 2015)

Durgesh Kumar Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	ctitioner for Respondents Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri KKS Bisht, learned counsel for the	applicant and
	Shri Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	EX-A. No. 34 of 2017	
	This is an Execution Application under Section 29	of the Armed
	Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applic	cant for non
	implementation of the judgment and order dated 04.10.2	
	the Tribunal in O.A. No. 303 of 2015.	
	the Thoular III O.A. No. 505 01 2015.	
	Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the	e order of the
	Tribunal has been complied with in letter and spiri	t. It has been
	informed by the learned counsel for the respondents t	hat the second
	appeal preferred by the applicant has already been d	
	March 2017, a copy of which has been provided to the l	
		carned counser
	for the applicant during the course of hearing.	
	In view of above, since the order of the Trib	unal has been
	complied with and the second appeal has also been deci	
	present Execution Application has become infruc	
		and is
	accordingly rejected at this stage.	
	(I + Con Guon Dhushan) (I	DD Circh
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) (Justic Member (A)	xe D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	PKG	

Court No. 1 (List B)

M.A. No. 2457 of 2016

(Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Awadhesh Kumar Pandey

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u>	
	<u>Hon ble Lt Gen Gyan Dhushan, Weinder (A)</u>	
	Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the	
	applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the	
	respondents.	
	<u>M.A. No. 2457 of 2016</u>	
	Being aggrieved by the denial of disability pension, the	
	applicant preferred a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court	
	of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow in the year	
	1993, which was dismissed in 2007. Thereafter, the applicant had	
	filed an Intra Court appeal which was decided finally vide order	
	dated 03.08.2016 by the Hon'ble High Court. The order dated	
	03.08.2016 passed by the High Court in Special Appeal is	
	reproduced as under : "Heard Mr. Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the appellant as well as Mr.	
	Deepanshu Das, learned counsel for the respondents. The appellant having been discharged on compassionate ground had claimed his discharge on medical ground and accordingly had prayed for grant of disability pension before the writ court. The learned Single Judge decided the writ petition in the light of Regulation 132 of the Pension Regulation and held that since the appellant had not completed 15 years' of service, he was not entitled for pension. Regulation 132 of Pension Regulation for the army provides that the minimum period of qualifying service without weightage actually rendered and required for earning service pension shall be 15 years. The appellant had completed only 11 years' of service, therefore, his claim for pension was rejected by the learned Single Judge, that has given rise to filing present appeal. At this stage, the appellant has filed supplementary affidavit stating therein that ultimately the appellant has been granted disability element of disability pension but he still keeps his claim alive for grant of service element. In view of the grievance raised above, we have examined the record and found that this was not the subject matter of the writ petition, therefore, it would not be appropriate to include the aforesaid claim at the stage of appeal for its adjudication. However, we feel it appropriate to give liberty to the appellant to raise his claim, as above, by moving a separate application before the Armed Forces Tribunal. With the aforesaid observation, the appeal stands dismissed."	

Thus, it appears that the applicant has been contesting his claim right from 1993 and approached the Tribunal in pursuance of the liberty granted by the High Court on 03rd August 2016.

The Original Application has been filed in 2016 and the cause shown in the affidavit filed in support of the application for condonation of the delay seems to be justified.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the Original Application is hereby condoned.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for admission.

Admit.

Let the case be registered as O.A.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **24.07.2017** for orders.

(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) _{PKG} (Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

EX.A. No. 43 of 2017

(Inre: O.A. No. 273 of 2015)

Jawahar Lal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Raksha Mantri Appellate Committee & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A	<u>)</u>
	Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned cour	nsel for the applicant and
	Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for	r the respondents.
	It is informed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for	
	the respondents that Government sanction has already been issued and	
	PPO shall be issued shortly.	
	Accordingly, four weeks' time is allow	ed to learned counsel for
	the respondents to produce copy of the PPO.	
	List this case on 26.05.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan)	(Justice D.P. Singh)
	Member (A)	Member (J)

Court No. 1 (List B)

EX.A. No. 44 of 2017 (Inre: O.A. No. 174 of 2014)

Versus

Balbir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Memb	<u>per (A)</u>
	Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learne	d counsel for the applicant and
	Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the	respondents.
	It is informed by Mrs Anju Singh, learned counsel for the	
	respondents that Government sanction has already been issued and PPO	
	shall be issued shortly.	
	Accordingly, four weeks' time is allowed to learned counsel for	
	the respondents to produce copy of the PPO.	
	List this case on 29.05.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

M.A. No. 2138 of 2015 with M.A. No. 1824 of 2016 and M.A. No. 245 of 2017

Sita Ram

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the	Orders of the T	ribunal
Registry		
	28.04.2017 Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri R Chandra, learned cou	unsel for the applicant and Shri
	Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for	the respondents.
	<u>M.A. No. 245 of 2017</u>	
	Reply to the objection filed by Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for	
	the applicant is taken on record. M.A. No. 245 of 2017 stands disposed	
	of.	
	As agreed by learned counsel for	the parties, list this case on
	29.06.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (List B)

Respondents

M.A. No. 2632 of 2016 and M.A. No. 746 of 2017 (Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2016)

Harish Chandra Dubey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	28.04.2017	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)	
	Present: Shri SG Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and	
	Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents.	
	<u>M.A. No. 746 of 2017</u>	
	Reply to the objection filed by Shri SG Singh, learned counsel	
	for the applicant against the application for condonation of delay is	
	taken on record. M.A. No. 746 of 2017 stands disposed of.	
	The grounds shown in the affidavit filed in support of the	
	application for condonation of the delay seem to be justified.	
	Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing	
	the Original Application is hereby condoned and the objection raised by	
	the learned counsel for the respondents is rejected.	
	Controversy relates to payment of disability pension which is	
	recurring cause of action and settled by Hon'ble The Apex Court.	
	Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit	
	case for admission.	
	Admit.	
	Let the case be registered as O.A.	
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted	
	four weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any,	
	may be filed within two weeks', thereafter.	
	List this case on 17.07.2017 for orders.	
	(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)	(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)
	RPM/-	