

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court 2**

**M.A. No. 982 of 2017 with M.A. No. 983 of 2017**  
**(Inre : T.A. No. 1204 of 2010)**

**T Kishan**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Sharma, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents, when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present: Shri Pradeep Chandola, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is a part heard matter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>04.07.2017</b> for order before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court 2**

**M.A. No. 2244 of 2016 with M.A. No. 198 of 2017  
(Inre : O.A.(A) No. Nil of 2016)**

**Om Prakash**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed today by the learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit within three weeks. He may also file replication in terms of the earlier order.</p> <p>List this case on <b>03.08.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court 2**

**T.A. No. 13 of 2017**

**Sgt SP Pandey**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is a part heard matter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>17.08.2017</b> for re-hearing before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>The retirement shall be subject to out-come of the present T.A.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court 2**

**O.A. No. 18 of 2011**

**Satyavir Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Inspite of the time granted to the learned counsel for the respondents, original record has not been produced. A last opportunity is given to the learned counsel for the respondents to produce the original record in terms of the earlier order and file an affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.08.2017</b> for further hearing.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court 2**

**O.A.(A) No. 81 of 2012**

**Manoj Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Lalit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is a part heard matter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>05.07.2017</b> for further hearing before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court 2**

**T.A. No. 59 of 2011**

**Hayat Singh Bankoti**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Lalit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is a part heard matter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>05.07.2017</b> for further hearing before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 248 of 2015**

**Smt. Malti Devi**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on <b>29.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) SB</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 152 of 2016**

**Arbind Kumar Dubey**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.07.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>08.09.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>In the meantime, learned counsel for the respondents shall provide copies of relevant documents i.e. Court of Inquiry, Summary of Evidence and Summary of Trials to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Lt Gen Gyan Bhushan) Member (A) Ukt</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 41 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 504 of 2012**

**Desh Raj**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>Ex-A No. 41 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 6<sup>th</sup> December 2012 passed in O.A. No. 504 of 2012 which relates to grant of pension to the rank of (Honorary Naib Subedar) wef 01.01.2006 within stipulated time</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued and a copy of the same has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant today in the Court.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of presentation of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 52 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 213 of 2011**

**Pratap Singh Chauhan**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to show cause as to why the aforesaid order of the Tribunal has not been complied with.</p> <p>List this case on <b>21.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 54 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 416 of 2011**

**Braj Bhushan Pandey**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>Ex-A No. 54 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 10<sup>th</sup> January 2012 passed in O.A. No. 416 of 2011 which relates to grant of pension of Hony Naib Subedar with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued and a copy of the same has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant today in the Court.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of presentation of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 55 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 210 of 2015**

**Akil Ahmed Ansari**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Sidharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>Ex-A No. 55 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 17<sup>th</sup> August 2016 passed in O.A. No. 210 of 2015 which relates to grant of disability pension with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued and a copy of the same has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant today in the Court.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of presentation of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A. No. 71 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. 205 of 2013**

**Prashant Raj**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Somesh Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Salen Xaxa, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><b><u>Ex-A No. 71 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 20<sup>th</sup> January 2016 passed in O.A. No. 205 of 2013 which relates to grant of disability pension with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued and a copy of the same has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant today in the Court.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of presentation of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 463 of 2014**

**Govind Singh Rautella**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Gyan Singh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time file replication to the objection filed by the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>28.07.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 1833 of 2015 With M.A Nos. 1236 & 2314 of 2016 & M.A. No. 444 of 2017**

**Ram Naresh Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri AK Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Better affidavit against delay condonation application in filing original application filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file replication to the objection filed by learned counsel for respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>11.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 1149 of 2016 With M.A. Nos. 1766 & 2135 of 2016 & M.A. No. 419 of 2017**

**Harendra Kumar**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file better affidavit. Rejoinder may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within a week, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>31.07.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RS/-</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 986 of 2017**

**Inre: O.A. No. Nil of 2017**

**Inder Ram**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents, when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present: Shri PN Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 986 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application under Section 5 of the Indian Limitation Act read with Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for condoning the delay in filing the O.A, which as per office report, is delayed by 08 years and 04 months. Copy of this application has been served upon the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents on 23.06.2017.</p> <p>Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file objection. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>07.09.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 214 of 2017**

**Gyan Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Saxena, Advocate is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents, when the case is next listed.</p> <p>Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Saxena, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>28.07.2017</b> to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to receive instructions as to why O.A. may not be admitted.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A/79 of 2017  
Inre MA 995 of 2016**

**Parshu Ram**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In pursuance of the order dated 10.08.2016 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.Nil of 2016, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the respondent has decided the appeal of the applicant on 27<sup>th</sup> June 2017, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant's counsel.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Ex. Application loses its efficacy and is hereby rejected with liberty to the applicant to approach the appropriate forum, if so advised.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A.No.1000 of 2017 With MA No.1001 of 2017  
Inre OA No.215 of 2013**

**Union of India & others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Pooja Devi**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Ms Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p><b><u>M.A.No.1000 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 31.08.2016 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 215 of 2013.</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 08 months and 25 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <b><u>shall</u></b> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for grant of leave to appeal do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another</b> reported in <b>2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC</b> in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the</i></p>

*modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”*

The Hon’ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

*“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation .....They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.*

In view of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

**M.A. No 1001 of 2017**

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we find that the matter pertains to grant of disability pension but we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also rejected.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)  
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

PKG

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A.No.1003 of 2017 With MA No.1004 of 2017  
Inre OA No.243 of 2015**

**Union of India & others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Babu Ram**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p><b><u>M.A.No.1003 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 25.08.2016 passed by this Court in O.A. No. 243 of 2015..</p> <p>As per stamp reporter's report, there is delay of 09 months in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <b><u>shall</u></b> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for grant of leave to appeal do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another</b> reported in <b>2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC</b> in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the</i></p>

*modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”*

The Hon’ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

*“Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitation .....They cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings”.*

In view of the decision of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

**M.A. No 1004 of 2017**

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we find that the matter pertains to grant of disability pension but we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also rejected.

(Air Marshal Anil Chopra)  
Member (A)

(Justice D.P. Singh)  
Member (J)

PKG

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A/237 of 2016**

**Inre OA No.110 of 2014**

**Pratap Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four week's further time to receive the instructions and to show cause as to why the order dated 30.05.2016 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.110 of 2014 has not been complied with.</p> <p>List this case on <b>03.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A/17 of 2017**

**Inre MA 222 of 2016 & OA Nil of 2016**

**BBS Chauhan**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three week's further time to receive the instructions and to show cause as to why the order dated 12.07.2016 passed by the Tribunal in MA No.222 of 2016 and O.A.No.Nil of 2016 has not been complied with.</p> <p>List this case on <b>04.08.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A/25 of 2017**

**Inre TA No. 1473 of 2010**

**Nand Lal Gupta**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and S/Shri Sunil Sharma and DC Lohumi, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit on behalf of opposite no.5 filed by the opposite party no.5-Bank is taken on record. According to the affidavit, the order of the Tribunal has been complied with and the petitioner has been paid all outstanding dues in terms of the PPO.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Ex. Application loses its efficacy and is hereby rejected</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**EX-A/31 of 2017**

**Inre TA No. 11 of 2014**

**Ayodhya Prasad**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

**Respondents**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri RK Dixit, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>EX-A. No. 31 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>This is an Execution Application under Section 29 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 filed by the applicant for non implementation of the judgment and order dated 23.09.2016 passed in T.A. No. 11 of 2014 which relates to the grant of disability pension with arrears within the stipulated time.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal, necessary PPO has already been issued, a copy of which has been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant during course of hearing.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the outstanding dues to the applicant within one month from the date of communication/ presentation of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, this Execution Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A) PKG</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2090 of 2016**  
**(Inre : O.A. No. 329 of 2015)**

**Smt Pyari Gurung**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri PK Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Dr Gyan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents prays for -and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit in terms of earlier order of this Court dated 01.12.2015. Replication if any may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks', thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>11.08.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 2289 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2090 and 2291 of 2016  
(Inre : T.A. No. 79 of 2016)**

**Suresh Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

**Petitioner**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against the application for setting aside abatement under provision to sub Rule (2) of Rule 19 of the AFT, (Procedure) Rule, 2008 filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents, in Court, today is taken on record.</p> <p>Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply to the objection filed by the learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>26.07.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 19 of 2017**

**(Inre : O.A. No. Nil of 2017)**

**Khajan Ali**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Rakesh Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file objection against the application for condonation of delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks', thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>01.09.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 256 of 2017**  
**(Inre : O.A. No. Nil of 2017)**

**Faujdar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri OP Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against the application for condonation of delay filed by Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents, in Court, today is taken on records.</p> <p>Shri OP Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply to the objection.</p> <p>List this case on <b>04.09.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 296 of 2017**  
**(Inre : O.A. No. Nil of 2017)**

**Smt Sunita Jaiswal**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashutosh Nigam, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Objection against the application for condonation of delay filed by Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents, in Court, today is taken on records.</p> <p>Shri Ashutosh Nigam, learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply to the objection.</p> <p>List this case on <b>05 .09.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>



Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**M.A. No. 427 of 2017**  
**(Inre : O.A. No. Nil of 2017)**

**Rajendra Kumar Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on <b>01.09.2017</b> for order.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>RPM/-</p>

Form No. 4

[See rule 11(1)]

**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 142 of 2016**

**Yaduvir Singh Sisodiya**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

**Applicant**

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

**Respondent**

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Col (Retd) YR Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Siddharth Dhaon, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>At the threshold of the arguments, learned counsel for the respondents states that necessary PPO has been issued to the applicant on 23.07.2017. Let the PPO be served upon learned counsel for the applicant during course of the day.</p> <p>Since the PPO has already been issued, the present application loses its efficacy.</p> <p>It is directed that the Bank concerned shall release the amount due to the applicant expeditiously within one month from the date of communication of this order.</p> <p>Subject to the above, aforesaid Original Application is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A) RPM/-</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 113 of 2017**

**Sukhraj Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh states that Shri Rohit Kumar is out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>06.09.2017</b> for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 154 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1036 of 2017**

**Radhey Shyam Verma**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1036 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on <b>28.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents to produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 161 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1035 of 2017**

**Biresh Kumar**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Virender Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1035 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on <b>07.09.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents to produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 162 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1034 of 2017**

**Ram Sagar Singh & Another**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants

Applicants

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1034 of 2017</u></b></p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List this case on <b>08.09.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents to produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 619 of 2010**

**Ram Yash Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri P.N. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection on Amendment Application. Replication if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the petitioner within four weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>06.09.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents to produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 187 of 2015**

**Ram Gopal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that entire amount including arrears, due to the applicant, has been paid.</p> <p>Since the entire amount has been paid to the applicant, this Original Application has lost its efficacy and is accordingly rejected.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>



Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 221 of 2015**

**Smt. Moti Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R.P.S. Kuruvanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed, list this case on <b>20.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents to produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 179 of 2016**

**Anil Kumar Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>Arguments concluded.</p> <p>Order reserved.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 287 of 2016**

**Mohan Chand**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on <b>18.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**O.A. No. 153 of 2017**

**Bhawani Datt**  
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list this case on <b>29.08.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 349 of 2010**

**Ramayan Mishra**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ramayan Mishra, the petitioner, in person and and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case Shri Ramayan Mishra appears and states that he wants to change his present counsel, Shri P.K. Shukla and wants to engage Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate. He has submitted an application for change of his counsel. Application is allowed.</p> <p>List this case on <b>04.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 67 of 2011**

**Rameshwar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Rajeev Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri O.P. Kushwaha states that Shri Rajeev Srivastava is out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>04.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>

Form No. 4  
[See rule 11(1)]  
**ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

**Court No. 1 (List B)**

**T.A. No. 70 of 2012**

**Smt. Omvati Devi**  
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>30.06.2017</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.P. Singh, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Air Marshal Anil Chopra, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Present: Shri Ravi Prakash Tripathi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On call of the case, Shri Ravi Prakash Tripathi states that Shri Virat Anand Singh is out of station and prays for adjournment of the case. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on <b>26.07.2017</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Air Marshal Anil Chopra) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice D.P. Singh) Member (J)</p> <p>ukt</p>