# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Supp No. 1)

# O.A. No. 134 of 2025 with M.A. No. 158 of 2025

# Smt Sarwati Devi

W/o Ex Sep Ram Kishore

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate Versus

# Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Naravan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>17.02.2025</li> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list whe the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for th applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are presen Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has no been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entilement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks three after.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate
<ul> <li>Registry</li> <li>17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list whe the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for th applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are presen Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has no been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks three tof if Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>	Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
<ul> <li>17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list whe the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for th applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are presen Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has no been properly explained.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list whe the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for th applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are presen Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A.No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matte in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has no been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is no applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>	INEGISTIY	17.02.2025
<ol> <li>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list whe the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for th applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are presen Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>MA. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matte in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has no been properly explained.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list whe the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for th applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are presen Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has no been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>D.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>MA. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>D.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when
<ul> <li>applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		the case is listed next.
<ul> <li>Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.</li> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin, Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>		2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Tatsat Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the
<ul> <li>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.
<ol> <li>There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>D.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Sr. Accts Offr, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present in Court.
<ul> <li>Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filer in support of application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		<u>M.A. No. 158 of 2025</u>
<ol> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in film. Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has no been properly explained.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is no applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		3. There is delay of 04 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing Original Application.
<ul> <li>in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in film Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		Applicant has moved delay condonation application.
<ul> <li>in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in film Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th application.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter
<ul> <li>Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file in support of application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on <b>16.05.2025</b>.</li> </ul>		in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing
<ul> <li>in support of application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to thapplicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed
<ul> <li>been properly explained.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to thapplicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><b>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</b></li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the delay has not
<ol> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><u>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</u></li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>Admit.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		been properly explained.
<ul> <li>applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><u>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</u></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
<ul> <li>application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><u>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</u></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to the applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not
<ol> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><u>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</u></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation
<ol> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing of application is condoned. Application stand decided accordingly.</li> <li><u>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</u></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to th applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fill Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
<ul> <li>decided accordingly.</li> <li><u>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</u></li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to the applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>O.A. No. 134 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to the applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of liberalized family pension to thapplicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>applicant as per entitlement as shown in PPO with interest. Matter need adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>Admit.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>12. List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 16.05.2025.</li> </ol>		
Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 12. List on <b>16.05.2025</b> .		
12. List on <b>16.05.2025</b> .		
(Vice Admired Atul Kumer Jein) (Justice Anil Kumer)		12. List on <b>16.05.2025</b> .
		(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)
Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Supp No. 2)

# O.A. No. 147 of 2025 with M.A. No. 175 of 2025

### **Ex Rect Manoj Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on 1. behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next. 2. Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate appearing on behalf of the

respondent No 4 submits that he will file vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No 4. He is permitted to file vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No 4 within two weeks.

3. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 is present through virtual mode.

4. There is delay of 01 year, 05 months and 06 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any.

6. List on **11.07.2025**.

rathore

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

**Union of India & Others** 

Respondents

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 1)

# EX-A. No. 163 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 229 of 2014

# **Ramvir Singh**

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

# **Chief of Army Staff & Others**

Chief of A	rmy Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.
	counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	3. Learned counsel for the parties submits that order under execution has
	been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex Court.
	4. List on <b>04.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 2)

# EX-A. No. 25 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 297 of 2011

# **Ex Sub Kedar Prasad Mishra**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)

1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.

- 2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- Affidavit of compliance has already been filed by the respondents. 3.

4. Objection against affidavit of compliance filed by the applicant is taken on record.

- 5. Reply may be filed by the respondents within two weeks.
- List on 04.07.2025. 6.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 3)</u>

# EX-A. No. 228 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 603 of 2021

Ex Hav (Amb Asst) Mahendra Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. counsel 1. for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present. 2. Heard learned counsel of respondents and perused the record. 3. Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. 4. Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks. 5. List on 04.07.2025. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 4)

# EX-A. No. 183 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 921 of 2022

Versus

**Ex Sgt Vinod Kumar Singh** By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RK Singh, Advocate

Applicant

	ndia & Others Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate
Notes of	
the Degistry	
Registry	17.02.2025
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. counsel
	for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the
	applicant is not present.
	2. Heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the record.
	3. Compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on
	record.
	4. Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.
	5. List on <b>04.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 5)

# O.A. No. 315 of 2022

# **Smt Seema Pandey**

Applicant

W/o late Hav Hemant Kumar Pandey By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra and Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 6 is present through virtual mode and
	Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondent No 7 and 8 is present in
	Court. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.
	2. Respondents No 7 and 8 were directed to file MoA/Master circular.
	Supplementary affidavit alongwith annexure 'A' filed on behalf of the
	respondent No 7 and 8 is taken on record.
	3. List on <b>13.05.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 6)

# O.A. No. 1002 of 2023

# Smt Manju Belwal

W/o late Maj J Belwal (Retd) & Ors

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	ractitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.
	counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant is not present.
	2. Time was granted to learned counsel for the respondents for filing
	counter affidavit, which has not been filed.
	3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents, the case is
	adjourned.
	4. List on <b>04.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 7)

# O.A. No. 1275 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1827 of 2023

#### Ex Sub Devendra Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

# Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocatze
	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant is present in Court and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents is present through virtual mode.
	2. List on <b>04.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 8)</u>

# O.A. No. 1315 of 2023

#### Smt Ritu Yadav W/o late Hav Ramesh Kumar Yadav

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudarshan Srivastava, Shri Rashtrapati Khare, Shri Pradeep Kumar Srivastava and Shri Chanchal Kumar Pandey, Advocates

Versus

# Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Pradeep Kumar 1. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present. 2. Despite availing several opportunities, counter affidavit has not been filed. Today is second last opportunity to file counter affidavit, but counter affidavit has not been filed. Cost of Rs 5000/- imposed against the respondents has also not been deposited, therefore, opportunity to file counter affidavit is closed. List on 13.05.2025. 3. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 9)

# O.A. No. 1467 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2126 of 2023

# Pradeep Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashish Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of I	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
<u> </u>	17.02.2025
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. counsel
	for the respondents is present through virtual mode. Ld. Counsel for the
	applicant is not present.
	2. This case was filed on 21.12.2023 and respondents were granted time
	to file objection on delay condonation application, which has not been filed.
	Therefore, opportunity to file objection is closed.
	3. List on <b>03.07.2025</b> for hearing on delay condonation application.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 10)</u>

# O.A. No. 11 of 2024

# Smt Anita Kumari

W/o Nk (CMP) late Niraj Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of I	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Prerna Singh, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant is present in Court and Ms Prerna Singh, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents is present through virtual mode.
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two
	weeks.
	4. List on <b>01.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 11)</u>

# O.A. No. 18 of 2024

Smt Hajra W/o Ex Spr (late) Ikramuddin

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

Versus

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri DK Pandey, Advocate	
Notes of Orders of the Tribunal	
the	
Registry	
<u>17.02.2025</u>	
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yada	∕, Ld.
Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. co	ounsel
for the respondents is present through virtual mode.	
2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on reco	ord
3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within	n two
weeks.	
4. List on <b>01.07.2025</b> .	
(Vice Admired Atul Kumer Jein) (Justice Aril Kumer)	
(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
Member (A) Member (J)	

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 12)</u>

# O.A. No. 20 of 2024

Smt Geeta Mishra W/o Spr (late) Rishi Nath Mishra

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav,

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Advocates

Union of I	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate	
	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant is present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not
	present. Departmental Representative for the respondents is present.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents.
	3. Departmental Representative for the respondents prays for and is
	allowed two weeks further time, as last opportunity, to file counter affidavit.
	4. List on <b>08.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 13)</u>

# O.A. No. 357 of 2024

### Col Gunakara Giri

Advocates

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and Shri Rohit Kumar,

Versus

Union of I	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant is present in Court and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents is present through virtual mode.
	2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.
	3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments
	have not been received. He prays for and is allowed four weeks further time to
	file counter affidavit.
	4. List on <b>08.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 14)</u>

# O.A. No. 491 of 2024

Ex Nk (TS) T Goutham KumarApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Rama Kant and Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal,

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Advocates

	ndia & Others Respondents
	Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Kavita Mishra, Advocate
	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	$\frac{17.02.2025}{100}$
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant is present in Court and Ms Kavita Mishra, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents is present through virtual mode.
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file
	rejoinder affidavit.
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	5. Arguments concluded.
	6. O.A. is disposed off.
	7. For orders, see our judgment and order passed on separate sheets.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 15)</u>

# O.A. No. 581 of 2024

# Ex Sub Bollepalli Ramulu

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Respondents

Versus

# **Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the

Registry			
	<u>17.02</u>	<u>.2025</u>	
	<u>Hon't</u>	<u>ole Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Membe</u>	<u>er (J)</u>
	<u>Hon't</u>	ole Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain,	<u>Member (A)</u>
	1.	On the case being taken up for hea	aring, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for
	the ap	oplicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu,	Ld. counsel for the respondents are
	prese	nt.	
	2.	Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the	ne respondents is taken on record.
	3.	Learned counsel for the applicant	submits that he is not inclined to file
	rejoin	der affidavit.	
	4.	Heard learned counsel for the partie	es and perused the record.
	5.	Arguments concluded.	
	6.	O.A. is disposed off.	
	7.	For orders, see our judgment and o	rder passed on separate sheets.
	()	/ice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
		Member (A)	Member (J)
	rathore	<b>)</b>	

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 16)

# O.A. No. 582 of 2024

# Ex Sub Shri Niwas Ojha

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant te

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents: Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate
	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file
	rejoinder affidavit.
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
	5. Arguments concluded.
	6. O.A. is disposed off.
	7. For orders, see our judgment and order passed on separate sheets.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 17)

# O.A. No. 695 of 2024

# Smt Mira Devi

W/o Ex GDSM Sunil Kumar Pathak & Anr Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocate

Versus

	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	ractitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.
	counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. None is present
	for the applicant.
	2. Reply to objection on maintainability filed by the applicant is taken on
	record.
	3. On request of learned counsel for the respondents the case is
	adjourned.
	4. List on <b>15.04.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A)     Member (J)       rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 18)</u>

# O.A. No. 813 of 2024

Smt Chhaya Devi W/o late Nk Badam Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KK Misra, Advocate

Versus

	ndia & Others Respondents
	ractitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld.
	counsel for the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not
	present.
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	3. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two
	weeks.
	4. List on <b>10.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 19)</u>

# O.A. No. 941 of 2024

Smt Vijay Laxmi

W/o late Hav Surendra Kumar Pandey Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Virat Anand Singh and Col SK Varshney (Retd), Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents	
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for
	the respondent No 1 to 3 is present through virtual mode. None is present for
	the applicant. Shri Saket Srivastava, Advocate appeared on behalf of
	respondent No 4, but case has already proceeded ex-parte against respondent
	No 4.
	2. Learned counsel for the respondent No 1 to 3 prays for and is allowed
	four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.
	3. List on <b>10.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 20)

# O.A. No. 1125 of 2024

Col (TS) Anil Kumar Sareen Engrs (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri JN Mishra, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocacte 1. holding brief of Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. 2. Respondents were granted time to file counter affidavit and objection on interim relief, which has not been filed. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four 3. weeks further time to file objection on interim relief and counter affidavit. 4. List on 29.07.2025. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 21)</u>

# O.A. No. 1178 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1328 of 2024

Ex Sep Chhetra Bahadur Khattri (DSC)ApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:Shri TK Shukla and Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey,

Advocates

Versus

Union of I	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	17.02.2025
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate
	holding brief of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is
	present. Ld. counsel for the respondents is not present. Departmental
	Representative for the respondents is present.
	2. Objection on delay condonation application filed on behalf of the
	respondents is taken on record.
	3. Reply may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.
	4. Applicant is also directed to file amendment application, as directed.
	5. List on <b>07.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (Ser No. 22)</u>

# O.A. No. 1184 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1333 of 2024

# Ex JWO Dinesh Singh Shakya

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi and Shri Sunil Kumar Mishra, Advocates

Versus

Union of I	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal P	ractitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Girish Chandra Tripathi,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present. Departmental Representative for the
	respondents is present.
	2. Respondents were granted time to file objection on delay condonation
	application as well as on maintainability of this O.A., which has not been filed,
	therefore, opportunity to file objection is closed.
	3. List on <b>14.07.2025</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 23)

# O.A. No. 1211 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1364 of 2024

Ex Lance Naik Ram Naresh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. 1. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present. 2. Objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. No time left. 3. 4 List on 07.04.2025. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 24)

# Ex-A/178 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 635 of 2017

# Ayodhya Tiwari

S/o late Ex Sub Brij Behari Tiwari

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Amit Pandey, Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms Nisha Pandey, Advocates

Versus

# Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Namit Sharma and Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma and Mohd Zafar Khan, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode 2. Reply to objection filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. 3. No time left. 4. List on 01.04.2025 for hearing. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 25)

# O.A. No. 264 of 2017 alongwith T.A. No. 82 of 2016 (decided)

Devendra SinghApplicantBy Legal Practitioner for the Applicant:Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Shri SS Rajawat and

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Shri Mukesh Kumar, Advocates

Union of I	ndia & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate	
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present through virtual mode
	2. No time left.
	3. List on <b>01.04.2025</b> for hearing.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 26)

# Smt Soni Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

O.A. No. 265 of 2017

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocates Notes of Orders of the Tribunal

the Registry	
rogiotry	<u>17.02.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	<ol> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present through virtual mode</li> <li>No time left.</li> <li>List on 07.04.2025 for hearing.</li> </ol>
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 27)

# O.A. No. 262 of 2021

# Ex Sub Maj Nathu Lal Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate
Notes of Orders of the Tribunal
the
Registry

Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	Hon ble vice Admiral Atur Kumar Jam, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List on <b>07.04.2025</b> for hearing.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 28)

# O.A. No. 11 of 2023

# Smt Raj Kanwar

W/o late Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Hari Singh Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocates

Versus

Respondents

# Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 17.02.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocatze holding brief of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. 2. No time left. List on 08.04.2025 for hearing. 3. (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW Court No. 2 (Ser No. 29)

# O.A. No. 298 of 2023

#### Smt Munni Devi Widow of Ex Gdsm Puran Mal & Ors

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari, Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Shri Sandeep Tripathi and Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

	ndia & Others Respondents
, ,	ractitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the	
Registry	
	<u>17.02.2025</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate
	holding brief of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.
	2. No time left.
	3. List on <b>15.04.2025</b> for hearing.
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)

# Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No. 2 (</u>Ser No. 30)

# O.A. (A) No. 474 of 2018

Mukesh Kumar through his wife Smt Pooja DeviAppellantBy Legal Practitioner for the Appellant:Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Versus		
Union of India & Others Respondents		Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate		
	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry	47.00.0005	
	<u>17.02.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hea	ring, Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate
	holding beirf of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr.	
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. counsel for the respondents are present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on <b>08.04.2025</b> for hearing.	
	(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)