Court No.2 (Supp No. 1)

#### O.A. No. 64 of 2025 with M.A. No. 76 of 2025

#### Roopa Chauhan W/o late Hav Yogendra Chauhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra, Ms Upasna Mishra, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra and Shri Madan Kumar Swarnkar, Advocates

#### Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
	23.01.2025		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>		
	1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on		
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the		
	cause list when the case is listed next.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld.		
	Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.		
	3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on		
	<b>06.02.2025</b> as fresh case.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	rathore		

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supp No. 2)

### O.A. No. 67 of 2025 with M.A. No. 79 of 2025

#### Ex Nk Shiv Hari Tripathi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocates

#### Union of India & Others

rathore

Versus

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar. Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) <ol> <li>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not application application is condoned.</li> <li>Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application stands decided accordingly.</li> <li>OA. No. 68 of 2025</li> <li>This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension after computing group 'X' pay. Matter needs adjudication.</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> <li>List on 26.05.2025.</li> </ol></li></ul>		(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mai Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (J) Hon'ble Mai Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 68 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension after computing group 'X' pay. Matter needs adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025</li> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>MA. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</li> <li>OA. No. 68 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension after computing group 'X' pay. Matter needs adjudication.</li> <li>10. Admit.</li> <li>11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file</li> </ul>		12. List on <b>26.05.2025</b> .			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025</li> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Mai Gen Saniay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 68 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension after computing group 'X' pay. Matter needs adjudication.</li> </ul>		Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025</li> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Mai Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application is condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 68 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension after computing group 'X' pay. Matter needs adjudication.</li> </ul>		11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025</li> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</li> <li>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 68 of 2025</li> <li>9. This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension after computing group 'X' pay.</li> </ul>		10. Admit.			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025</li> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</li> <li>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application application application application application application application application is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</li> <li>O.A. No. 68 of 2025</li> </ul>		Matter needs adjudication.			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025</li> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</li> <li>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable. A genuing and perused the record.</li> <li>8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application stands decided accordingly.</li> </ul>		9. This O.A. has been filed for revision of pension after computing group 'X' pay			
the Registry         23.01.2025         Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)         Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.         M.A. No. 79 of 2025       3.         3.       There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.         4.       Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.         5.       Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.         6.       Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.         7.       Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.		<u>O.A. No. 68 of 2025</u>			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application filed in support of delay condonation application applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application is not applicable in support of delay condonation application is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</li> </ul>		decided accordingly.			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 <ul> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</li> <li>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> </ul> </li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation</li> </ul>		8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stand			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not</li> </ul>		application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon's Usitice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> <li>6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.</li> </ul>		applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.</li> <li>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient.</li> </ul>		7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is no			
the Registry         23.01.2025         Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)         Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.         M.A. No. 79 of 2025       3.         3.       There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.         4.       Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application.         5.       Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by		6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application. application.</li> </ul>		the applicant is not sufficient.			
<ul> <li>the Registry</li> <li>23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.</li> <li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</li> <li>3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.</li> <li>4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed</li> </ul>		5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by			
the Registry       23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.         M.A. No. 79 of 2025       3.         3.       There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.         4.       Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing		in support of application.			
the Registry       23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.         M.A. No. 79 of 2025       3.         3.       There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.         4.       Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter		Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed			
the Registry       23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.         M.A. No. 79 of 2025       3.         3.       There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application.		in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing			
the Registry23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.M.A. No. 79 of 2025 3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application.		4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matte			
the Registry          23.01.2025         Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)         Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.         M.A. No. 79 of 2025		Applicant has moved delay condonation application.			
the Registry       23.01.2025         Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)         Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.		3. There is delay of 08 years, 08 months and 03 days in filing Original Application			
the Registry       23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are		<u>M.A. No. 79 of 2025</u>			
the Registry         23.01.2025         Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)         Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)         1.       Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.         2.       On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel		present.			
the Registry           23.01.2025           Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)           Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)           1.         Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next.		for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are			
the Registry           23.01.2025           Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)           Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)           1.         Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when		2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counse			
the Registry           23.01.2025           Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)           Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)           1.         Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of		the case is listed next.			
the Registry <u>23.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>		the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list whe			
the Registry		Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)			
the	Registry				
Notes of Orders of the Tribunal	Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal			

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supp No. 3)

#### O.A. No. 68 of 2025 with M.A. No. 80 of 2025

#### Ex Sub Bhim Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri VP Pandey, Shri Mridul Pandey, Shri RK Singh and Shri DK Dixit, Advocates

#### Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate Orders of the Tribunal		
the Registry			
rtogiotiy	<ul> <li><u>23.01.2025</u></li> <li><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u></li> <li><u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></li> <li>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of</li> </ul>		
	the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when		
	the case is listed next.		
	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the		
	applicant is present in Court and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents is present through virtual mode.		
	<u>M.A. No. 80 of 2025</u>		
	3. There is delay of 02 years, 03 months and 15 days in filing Original Application.		
	Applicant has moved delay condonation application.		
	4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter		
	in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing		
	Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed		
	in support of application.		
	5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by		
	the applicant is not sufficient.		
	6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not		
	applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation		
	application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.		
	8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands		
	decided accordingly.		
	O.A. No. 68 of 2025		
	9. This O.A. has been filed for payment of arrears of pension. Matter needs		
	adjudication.		
	10. Admit.		
	11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file		
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.		
	12. List on <b>26.05.2025</b> .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

Court No.2 (Supp No. 4)

O.A. No. 69 of 2025 with M.A. No. 81 of 2025 Applicant

#### Ex Nk Dev Narayan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate Versus

#### **Union of India & Others**

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) Memo of appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. M.A. No. 81 of 2025 3. There is delay of 01 month and 05 days in filing Original Application. Applicant has moved delay condonation application. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter 4. in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application. 5 Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown by the applicant is not sufficient. 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. 7. Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned. 8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly. O.A. No. 69 of 2025 9. This O.A. has been filed to restore commuted value pension with immediate effect and refund of excess amount already deducted. Matter needs adjudication. 10. Admit. 11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 12. An interim relief has also been sought by the applicant to stop further recovery. 13. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions and file objection, if any, on interim relief sought by the applicant. 14. List on 26.05.2025.

> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.2 (Supp No. 5)

#### O.A. No. 70 of 2025 with M.A. No. 82 of 2025

#### Ex Sub Manoj Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri VP Pandey, Shri Mridul Pandey, Shri RK Singh and Shri DK Singh, Advocates

#### Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

		(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
		12. List on <b>26.05.2025</b> .		
		11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to fil Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.	e	
			0	
		Matter needs adjudication. 10. Admit.		
			ι.	
		9. This O.A. has been filed to fix group 'X' pay and grant of arrears of pensior	2	
		O.A. No. 70 of 2025		
		decided accordingly.	0	
		8. Accordingly, delay in filing Original Application is condoned. Application stand	Is	
		application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.		
		applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonatio		
		<ol> <li>Theard learned course for the parties and perused the record.</li> <li>Considering that in matters involving recurring benefits bar of limitation is not</li> </ol>	ot	
		6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
		the applicant is not sufficient.	,	
		5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that cause shown b	v	
		in support of application.		
		Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of reasons stated in affidavit file	•	
		in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filin		
		4. Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter	ər	
		Applicant has moved delay condonation application.		
		3. There is delay of 04 years, 04 months and 02 days in filing Original Application	า.	
		M.A. No. 82 of 2025		
		respondents is present through virtual mode.		
		applicant is present in Court and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for th		
		2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for th	e	
		the case is listed next.		
		the respondents is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when		
		<ul> <li>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</li> <li>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</li> <li>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of</li> </ul>		
rtogio	ti y	<u>23.01.2025</u>		
the Regis	trv			
Notes	of	Orders of the Tribunal		

Court No.2 (Supp No. 6)

### O.A. No. 73 of 2025

#### Ex Sep Rajwinder Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocates Versus

# Union of India & Others Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

	respondents within 48 hours free of cost for	or onward transmission.	
		rovided to learned counsel for the	
	7. With the aforesaid direction, the Or		
	and communicate the decision to the applicant, accordingly.		
	within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order		
	speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law, if not already decided,		
	to the respondents to decide the appeal of	of the applicant dated 15.07.2024 by a	
	into merits of the case, we hereby dispose	e off this O.A. finally with the direction	
	6. With the consent of learned couns	el for the parties and without entering	
	Court Martial Proceedings which is pendir	ng with the respondents.	
	5. The applicant has submitted appea	al dated 15.07.2024 against Summar	
	4. Heard learned counsel for the parti	es and perused the record.	
	applicant's appeal dated 15.07.2024.		
	3. This O.A. has been filed issuing di	rections to the respondents to decide	
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents is presen	nt in Court.	
	for the applicant is present through virtual	I mode and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta	
	2. On the case being taken up for he	aring, Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counse	
	cause list when the case is listed next.		
	<ol> <li>Memo of appearance filed by Shr behalf of the respondents is taken on rec</li> </ol>	ri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate or ord. His name shall be shown in the	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Membe Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Membe	<u>r (A)</u>	
Registry	23.01.2025		
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		

#### Court No.2 (Supp No. 7)

### M.A. No. 87 of 2025 Inre O.A.No. 808 of 2024

Applicant

**Cpl Saurabh Tiwari (Retd)** By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Applicant in person

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	rion bio maj con canjay enign, meniber (74		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant is present in Court		
	and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present		
	through virtual mode.		
	2. M.A. No. 87 of 2025 has been moved by the applicant for extension of		
	interim relief granted vide order dated 15.10.2024 and for early hearing in O.A.		
	No. 808 of 2024.		
	3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	4. Interim relief granted to the applicant is extended till next date of listing.		
	M.A. aforesaid is disposed off.		
	5. List on <b>28.03.2025</b> .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	rathore		

Court No.2 (Ser No. 1)

#### O.A. No. 608 of 2022

## B Ekta Suman Srinivas

W/o late Wg Cdr Bhamidipati Srinivas Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<u>23.01.2025</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for		
	the respondents is present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present.		
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	3. Since learned counsel for the applicant is not present, hearing is		
	adjourned.		
	4. List on <b>03.04.2025</b> for hearing.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		
	rathore		

Court No.2 (Ser No. 2)

#### O.A. No. 321 of 2023

### Smt Shahnaz Bano

w/o late Hav Shaikh Hafeezullah

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharn	na Atal, Advocate	
	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry	23.01.2025		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing	, Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counse respondents are present.		
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits	that he is not well today. He	
	prays for adjournment.		
	3. As prayed, list this case on <b>08.05.2025</b> for	hearing.	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 3)

### O.A. No. 305 of 2017

#### **Rajbeer Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocates

Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	<u>23.01.2025</u>		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Mem		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Memb	er (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel		
	for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents is present through virtual mode.		
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	3. Argument of learned counsel for the respondents is not audible.		
	4. List this case on <b>13.03.2025</b> for physical hearing.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)		

Court No.2 (Ser No. 4)

### O.A. No. 229 of 2021

#### Ex Lance Nk Ram Soorat Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal		
the			
Registry			
	23.01.2025		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld.		
	Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.		
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.		
	3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file		
	supplementary rejoinder affidavit.		
	4. Pleadings are complete.		
	5. List on <b>02.05.2025</b> for physical hearing.		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)		
	Member (A) Member (J)		
	rathore		

Court No.2 (Ser No. 5)

#### O.A. No. 236 of 2021

#### Ex Hav Ram Bachan Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal

the				
Registry				
	23.01.2025			
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Memb			
	rion ble maj Cen Ganjay Gingh, Memb			
	1. On the case being taken up for	hearing, Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld.		
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai	Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the		
	respondents are present.			
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.			
	3. This case is listed for final hearing. Today, learned counsel for the			
	respondents raised preliminary objection that this O.A. is not maintainable			
	under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.			
	4. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is passed			
	over.			
	5. List on <b>25.03.2025</b> for hearing.			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)			
	Member (A)	Member (J)		
<u>L</u>				

Court No.2 (Ser No. 6)

### O.A. No. 378 of 2021

Versus

#### Hav Pranav Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri KP Datta, Advocate

#### **Union of India & Others**

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. 2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. 3. List this case for physical hearing on 02.05.2025. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

Court No.2 (Ser No. 7)

### O.A. No. 173 of 2022

#### Ex Hav Dwarika Nath Singh & Ors

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants :Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate

Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of	Orde	Orders of the Tribunal			
the					
Registry					
		<u>1.2025</u>			
		<u>'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb</u>			
	Hon	<u>'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Membe</u>	er (A)		
	1.	1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Ankur Rawat, Advocate on behalf of the			
	appli	applicant is taken on record. His name shall be shown in the cause list when			
	the c	the case is listed next.			
	2.	2. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Ankur Rawat, Ld. Counsel			
	for th	for the applicants is present. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is not present.			
	3.	3. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, hearing is adjourned.			
	4.	4. List this case for physical hearing on <b>02.05.2025.</b>			
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)				
	ratho	rathore			

Court No.2 (Ser No. 8)

### O.A. No. 484 of 2023 with M.A. No. 571 of 2023

#### Ex Nb Sub James T

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)rathore	
	3. List on <b>08.05.2025</b> for hearing.	
	2. On request of brief holder, the case is passed over for the day.	
	present through virtual mode.	
	present in Court and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is	
	holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate	
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
Registry	22.04.2025	
the		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 9)

#### O.A. No. 1363 of 2023

#### Ex Nk Bablu Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocates

Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal P	Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar and Mohd Zafar Khan, Advocates		
Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
	1. On the case being taken up for hea	ring, Shri GS Sikarwar and Mohd	
	Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. None is present or		
	behalf of the applicant.		
	2. Since none is present on behalf of the applicant, the case is dismissed in default.		
	(Mai Can Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Apil Kumer)	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)	
	rathore		

Court No.2 (Ser No. 10)

#### O.A. No. 1451 of 2023

Versus

#### Ex Nk (DSC) Hansa Bahadur Rana

Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore	
	5. List on <b>28.02.2025</b> for hearing.	
	for the day.	
	4. On request of learned counsel for the applicant, the case is passed over	
	has been provided to learned counsel for the applicant in Court.	
	3. Case laws filed on behalf of the respondents are taken on record. Copy	
	2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.	
	respondents are present.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld.	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
Registry	23.01.2025	
the Registry		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 11)

#### O.A. (A) No. 441 of 2022

#### **Rect Vivek Kumar**

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant :Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd) and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocates

Versus

#### **Union of India & Others**

rathore

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. 1. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of the appellant. 2. Heard learned counsel for the respondents. 3. Despite grant of several opportunities, learned counsel for the appellant is not present to argue the case.

The case is dismissed in default. 4.

> (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)

(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Court No.2 (Ser No. 13)

### Ex-A/100 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 144 of 2016

#### Sgt Rajendra Prasad Pal (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

	Member (A) rathore	Member (J)
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	4. List on <b>21.02.2025</b> for further hearing.	
	is taken on record.	
	3. Objection against affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the applicant	
	record.	
	2. Heard learned counsel for both the parties at length and perused the	
	the respondents are present.	
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
Registry		
Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 14)

#### Ex-A/256 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 195 of 2021

### Smt Laxmi Kandari

W/o Hav Sohan Singh (Missing)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on <b>28.03.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)rathore	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 15)

### Ex-A/314 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 283 of 2020

#### Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Bhuri Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal		
Registry			
	23.01.2025		
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>		
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh,		
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld.		
	Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode.		
	2. No time left.		
	3. List on <b>03.03.2025</b> .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore		

Court No.2 (Ser No. 16)

#### O.A. No. 277 of 2022

# Smt Jaswant Jit Kaur

W/o late Nk Jagdish Singh Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Orders of the Tribunal	
/	
	( N
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member	<u>(A)</u>
1. On the case being taken up for he	aring, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh,
Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar and Mohd Zafar Khan, L	
Counsel for the respondents are present.	
2. No time left.	
3. List on <b>28.03.2025</b> .	
(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)
	<ol> <li>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member</li> <li>On the case being taken up for he</li> <li>Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS</li> <li>Counsel for the respondents are present.</li> <li>No time left.</li> <li>List on 28.03.2025.</li> <li>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)</li> </ol>

Court No.2 (Ser No. 17)

#### O.A. No. 14 of 2023

### Smt Kamla Devi

W/o late Ex Nk Shri Krishan

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	<u>23.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the	
	respondents are present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on <b>05.05.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 18)

### O.A. No. 289 of 2023 with M.A. No. 319 of 2023

#### Ex Nk Rajendra Chauhan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry	/ <u>23.01.2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the	
	<ul> <li>respondents are present.</li> <li>2. No time left.</li> <li>3. List on 05.05.2025.</li> </ul>	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 19)

### O.A. No. 457 of 2024

#### Ex Rfn (MACP-I Nk) Krishna Singh Thapa

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	23.01.2025	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant is present through virtual mode and Shri Rajeev	
	Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present in Court.	
	2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent No 4 is taken on	
	record.	
	3. No time left.	
	4. List on <b>05.05.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)	
	rathore	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 20)

#### O.A. No. 461 of 2024

#### JWO Chandan Kumar Mishra (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra and Shri Dharmender Singh, Advocates

Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents	
	are present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on <b>06.02.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 21)

### O.A. No. 589 of 2024

## Ex WO Gajendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocates

Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Union of I	ndia & Others	Respondents
By Legal F	Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra	, Advocate
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>23.01.2025</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing,	Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents	
	present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on <b>13.05.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)	(Justice Anil Kumar)
	Member (A) rathore	Member (J)

Court No.2 (Ser No. 22)

#### O.A. No. 980 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1081 of 2024

#### Sub Bipin Kumar Singh (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate Versus

### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh)(Justice Anil Kumar)Member (A)Member (J)rathore	
	3. List on <b>01.04.2025</b> .	
	2. No time left.	
	for the respondents is present through virtual mode.	
	for the applicant is present in Court and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
rtogioti y	23.01.2025	
the Registry		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 23)

#### O.A. No. 982 of 2024

#### Nk Salok Kumar Shukla (Retd)

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms Upasna Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra and Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocates

#### Versus

Union of India & Others Resp By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the Registry		
rtegisti y	23.01.2025	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Me	mber (J)
Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)		
1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mish		or hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.
Counsel for the applicant and Ms Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for		Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.	
2. No time left.		
		(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Court No.2 (Ser No. 24)

#### O.A. No. 985 of 2024

#### Nk Vijay Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms Upasna Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra and Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocates

#### Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Kavita Mishra, Advocate Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 23.01.2025 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J) Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A) 1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present in Court and Ms Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through virtual mode. 2. No time left. 3. List on **19.05.2025**. (Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

Court No.2 (Ser No. 25)

#### O.A. No. 993 of 2024

#### Sub Shiv Kumar (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Ms Upasna Mishra, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Shri Narayan Dutt Mishra and Shri Amit Kumar Shukla, Advocates Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>23.01.2025</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld.	
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for t	
	respondents are present.	
	2. No time left.	
3. List on <b>19.05.2025</b> .		
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J) rathore	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 26)

#### O.A. No. 995 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1096 of 2024

Sub (Nur Tech) Rameshwar Singh (Retd) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate Applicant

Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of	tes of Orders of the Tribunal	
	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>23.01.2025</u>	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Membe	<u>r (J)</u>
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being taken up for hea	ring, Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents a	
	present.	
2. No time left.		
	3. List on <b>22.04.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Court No.2 (Ser No. 27)

#### O.A. No. 66 of 2025 with M.A. No. 78 of 2025

### Smt Reena Chaudhary

W/o late Nk Gian Inder Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri KK Misra, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	23.01.2025	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Memb	
	<u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u>	
	1 On the ease being taken up for h	earing Chri KK Miere I d Coursel for
	1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for	
	the applicant and Ms Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on <b>28.01.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Anil Kumar)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)
	rathore	

Court No.2 (Ser No. 28)

### T.A. No. 61 of 2023

#### PN Tewari

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner :Shri ....., Advocate

Petitioner

Versus

### Union of India & Others

Union of India & Others		Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate		
Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal	
the		
Registry		
	<u>23.01.2025</u>	
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar, Member (J)		
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Membe	e <u>r (A)</u>
	1. On the case being taken up for he	aring, Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the respondents is present.	
	2. No time left.	
	3. List on <b>19.05.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)

Court No.2 (Ser No. 29)

### Dy No. 2620 of 2024 with M.A. No. 1060 of 2024

#### Smt Roma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant :Shri Avinash Mishra, Advocate Versus

#### Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms Anju Singh, Advocate		-	
	Notes	f Orders of the Tribunal	

the	Orders of the Tribunal	
Registry		
	23.01.2025	
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kun	
	Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)	
	1. On the case being ta	ken up for hearing, Shri Avinash Mishra, Ld.
Counsel for the applicant and Ms Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the responde		Ms Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
are present.		
	2. Application for implead	ment filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on
	record.	
	3. No time left.	
	4. List on <b>28.01.2025</b> .	
	(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A) rathore	(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)